© MAR 2025 | IRE Journals | Volume 8 Issue 9 | ISSN: 2456-8880

Strategic Business Operations and Competitive Positioning of Unilever: A Case Study Analysis.

MICHAEL AKINTOMIWA OYEDEJI
Faculty of Management, Department of Finance Accounting and Management, University of Bradford, UK

Abstract- Unilever, a global leader in the fast-moving consumer goods (FMCG) sector, operates across more than 190 countries and offers a diverse range of products in food, beverages, personal care, and household goods. This case study delves into Unilever's strategic business operations and competitive positioning, analyzing its market strategies, supply chain efficiency, sustainability efforts, and brand strength. The paper employs theoretical frameworks, including Porter's Five Forces and the Resource-Based View (RBV), to explore Unilever's competitive advantage in a dynamic global business environment. The study compares Unilever's strategies with key competitors such as Procter & Gamble, Nestlé, and Colgate-Palmolive, providing insights into how Unilever maintains its market leadership amidst growing competition and shifting consumer preferences. Emphasizing the company's commitment to sustainability and ethical responsibility, this paper evaluates Unilever's operational strategies and its ability to adapt to new market challenges. The findings suggest that while Unilever faces challenges from increasing competition and evolving consumer expectations, its strong brand portfolio, digital transformation, and sustainability initiatives continue to provide a competitive edge, ensuring its position as a market leader in the FMCG industry.

Indexed Terms- Unilever, Competitive Positioning, Strategic Business Operations, Sustainability Initiatives, Supply Chain Efficiency, Resource-Based View (RBV)

I. INTRODUCTION

Significance of Studying Unilever in the Business Landscape

Unilever is one of the world's leading multinational corporations, operating in over 190 countries with a vast portfolio of consumer goods, including food, beverages, personal care, and household products. As a market leader in the Fast-Moving Consumer Goods (FMCG) sector, Unilever's ability to maintain competitive advantage through innovative strategies, supply chain optimization, sustainability efforts, and strong brand positioning makes it a critical case study in global business management. Understanding Unilever's business operations provides key insights into how multinational corporations (MNCs) navigate competitive markets, economic fluctuations, consumer behavior shifts, and sustainability challenges.

Furthermore, in an era where businesses are increasingly focusing on sustainability, digital transformation, and corporate social responsibility (CSR), Unilever serves as a model for how corporations can integrate these factors into their business strategy while maintaining profitability and brand equity. Analyzing its strategies offers valuable lessons for business leaders, policymakers, and researchers seeking to understand the interplay between business growth and ethical responsibility.

Research Objectives
This study aims to:

1. Analyze Unilever's market positioning and competitive strategies within the FMCG industry.
2. Evaluate its supply chain and operational efficiency in a globalized economy.
3. Examine the role of sustainability and CSR in Unilever's business model.
4. Assess the financial performance and brand strength of Unilever compared to its key competitors.
5. Identify future challenges and opportunities for Unilever in an evolving business environment.

Methodology

This study employs a case study approach, relying on secondary data sources such as:

- Unilever's annual reports and financial statements to evaluate financial performance.
- Industry reports from sources like McKinsey, Bloomberg, and the World Economic Forum to assess competitive positioning.
- Academic journal articles and business publications to examine strategic frameworks.
- Market data and consumer insights from Nielsen and Statista to analyze consumer trends.

A comparative analysis is conducted between Unilever and its major competitors, such as Procter & Gamble (P&G), Nestlé, and Colgate-Palmolive, to provide a holistic understanding of its industry standing. Additionally, a SWOT analysis and Porter's Five Forces framework are applied to evaluate internal strengths, weaknesses, and external market forces influencing Unilever's strategic decisions.

Literature Review with In-Text Citations

Multinational Corporations (MNCs) and Global Business Strategies

Multinational corporations (MNCs) play a crucial role in global economic development by operating across multiple countries, leveraging economies of scale, and adapting to diverse market environments. According to Dunning's Eclectic Paradigm (2015), MNCs succeed by optimizing ownership advantages, location advantages, and internalization strategies. Research by Buckley & Casson (2016) highlights how MNCs expand through foreign direct investment (FDI) while mitigating risks through strategic alliances, mergers, and acquisitions. Unilever, as one of the world's leading MNCs, exemplifies this model by maintaining strong local market adaptation while leveraging global efficiencies (Singh & Sharma, 2018).

Supply Chain Strategies in FMCG Companies

Efficient supply chain management is a cornerstone of success for FMCG companies. Christopher (2016) emphasizes that supply chains in this sector must balance speed, cost-effectiveness, and flexibility to meet rapidly changing consumer demands. Porter's Value Chain Model (1985) suggests that companies that integrate their logistics, procurement, and production processes gain a competitive advantage. Studies by Kumar & Zia (2017) indicate that Unilever's supply chain is one of the most advanced in the FMCG industry, incorporating digital technologies, AI-driven forecasting, and sustainable sourcing initiatives. Compared to competitors like Procter & Gamble (P&G) and Nestlé, Unilever has prioritized eco-friendly supply chain innovations, such as reducing carbon footprints and implementing blockchain technology for greater transparency (Monczka et al., 2021).

Brand Positioning and Competitive Strategies

Brand positioning determines how a company differentiates itself in a crowded marketplace. Kotler & Keller (2016) define brand positioning as the process of creating a unique identity in consumers' minds through pricing, product quality, and marketing communication. Research by Aaker (2017) highlights Unilever's multi-brand strategy, where products cater to different market segments, such as luxury brands (Dove), mass-market brands (Vaseline), and sustainable brands (Seventh Generation). Compared to P&G, which focuses on premium innovation, and Nestlé, which dominates food-related branding, Unilever's strength lies in its sustainability-driven branding and extensive product diversification (Smith, 2019).

Comparative Analysis: Unilever vs. Key Competitors

Several academic studies have compared Unilever's strategies with its main competitors. Singh & Sharma (2018) analyzed the sustainability efforts of Unilever and P&G, concluding that Unilever leads in eco-friendly initiatives through programs like the Unilever Sustainable Living Plan (USLP). Meanwhile, Nestlé has focused on nutrition and wellness positioning, making it a dominant force in the food sector. P&G, on the other hand, continues to lead in R&D-driven product innovation, particularly in personal care and household products (Monczka et al., 2021).

Table: Comparative Analysis of Key Competitors

Factor	Unilever	P&G	Nestlé
Market Strategy	Sustainability & affordability	Premium quality & innovation	Nutrition & health focus

Supply Chain	Digitalized & sustainable	Efficiency -driven & cost-focused	Food-focused global logistics
Branding	Multi-brand, sustainability-driven	R&D-focused premium branding	Health & wellness positioning

The literature suggests that Unilever's competitive advantage lies in its sustainability-focused supply chain, digital transformation, and strong brand portfolio. While P&G excels in product innovation and Nestlé in nutrition-based branding, Unilever's balance of sustainability, affordability, and global reach makes it a unique case study in the FMCG industry (Smith, 2019).

Overview of the Case study

The Firm's Businesses and Brief Chronological History

Unilever is a prominent multinational conglomerate headquartered in the United Kingdom, formed in 1929 through the merger of Margarine Unie (a Dutch margarine producer) and Lever Brothers (a British soap manufacturer). Over the decades, the company expanded into food, home care, and personal care industries, acquiring globally recognized brands such as Lipton, Dove, Magnum, and Hellmann's.

In the post-World War II era, Unilever expanded its reach across Europe, Asia, and Africa. During the 1970s and 1980s, the company diversified into financial services and undertook major acquisitions to strengthen its portfolio. However, recent challenges, including increased competition from rival consumer goods firms, have compelled Unilever to streamline its operations, divest underperforming brands, and refocus on its core business segments.

Despite these challenges, Unilever remains one of the world's largest and most respected consumer goods companies, widely recognized for its commitment to sustainability, corporate responsibility, and high-quality products.

Business and Operations

Unilever operates across multiple industries, with its primary business segments including:

- Food & Beverages: Brands such as Knorr, Lipton, Hellmann's, and Magnum produce soups, sauces, broths, and frozen foods.
- Home Care: Unilever offers cleaning and laundry products under brands like Omo, Surf, and Cif.
- Personal Care: Major brands include Dove, Vaseline, and Lux, producing skincare, haircare, and hygiene products.
- Ice Cream: Unilever is a leading ice cream manufacturer, with brands such as Ben & Jerry's, Breyers, and Magnum.
- Sustainable Living Initiatives: Unilever has embedded sustainability in its operations through the Unilever Sustainable Living Plan, aimed at reducing environmental impact, promoting health and well-being, and improving supplier conditions (Unilever, 2023).

Unilever Business Operations and Rivalry

Unilever faces intense competition from global consumer goods companies across multiple industries:

- Personal Care & Beauty: Competitors include Procter & Gamble (P&G), L'Oréal, and Estée Lauder.
- Home Care: Major rivals are Reckitt Benckiser, P&G, and Colgate-Palmolive.
- Food & Beverages: Unilever competes with Nestlé, Kraft Heinz, and Mars.

Additionally, Unilever sells its products through diverse retail channels, including supermarkets, drugstores, and e-commerce platforms, competing with global retailers such as Amazon and Walmart.

Secondary industries:

- Retail and Distribution: Unilever sells its products through a variety of retail channels, including supermarkets, drug stores, and online retailers. Competitors in this industry include Procter & Gamble, Nestle, and Colgate-Palmolive.
- Manufacturing: Unilever operates manufacturing facilities around the world to produce its products. Competitors in this industry include Procter & Gamble, Nestle, and Colgate-Palmolive.

Type of business strategy: Differentiation Strategy

Unilever's largest sales come from its Personal Care and Beauty product lines, which include brands such as Dove, Lipton, and Axe. The company employs a differentiation business strategy in this industry. Differentiation is a business strategy where a company sets itself apart from its competitors by offering unique and superior products, services, or features. This can include using higher-quality ingredients, offering unique packaging, or emphasizing a specific benefit or attribute of the product.

Unilever differentiates its personal care and beauty products by emphasizing their natural and sustainable ingredients, and promoting the social and environmental impact of their products. They have a program called "Unilever Sustainable Living Plan," which is an initiative to create a sustainable business model that meet the needs of consumers and society, while also reducing environmental impact and increasing positive social impact. Also, Unilever invests in research and development to create new, innovative products that meet the changing needs and preferences of consumers, such as new line of eco-friendly and sustainable personal care products.

By differentiating its products in this way, Unilever can command a premium price for its products and build a loyal customer base. This differentiation strategy has helped Unilever to establish a strong market position in the personal care and beauty industry and generate significant sales.

Corporate Governance and Stakeholder Ownership

Unilever is a publicly traded company with a complex corporate governance structure. The company's C-suite top managers are responsible for the day-to-day operations of the business, while the board of directors is responsible for overseeing the management of the company and making strategic decisions. Additionally, Unilever has several large shareholders who own significant portions of the company's stock.

The C-suite top managers of Unilever include the CEO, CFO, and other senior executives. As of 2021, the CEO is Alan Jope. The CFO is Graeme Pitkethly. The company's board of directors is composed of non-executive directors, who have a variety of backgrounds and expertise, and are responsible for overseeing the management of the company and making strategic decisions. The Chairman of the board is Nils Smedegaard Andersen.

Large shareholders of Unilever include institutional investors such as BlackRock, The Vanguard Group, and Norges Bank Investment Management. These shareholders own significant portions of the company's stock, and their actions can have a significant impact on the company's performance.

In terms of majority shareholders having the same surname as any other shareholder or director, Unilever is a dual-listed company with a Dutch and a UK legal structure but none of them have the same surname as any other shareholder or director., with Unilever PLC being the parent company and Unilever NV being the subsidiary company with no single shareholder having a controlling stake.

Stakeholder management and social report

Unilever has a strong focus on stakeholder management, as it believes that it is important to engage with a wide range of stakeholders to create long-term value for the company and society. Unilever's stakeholders include its shareholders, employees, customers, suppliers, communities, and the environment.

Unilever has several initiatives and programs in place to engage with and manage its stakeholders effectively. For example, Unilever has a program called "Unilever Sustainable Living Plan," which is an initiative to create a sustainable business model that meet the needs of consumers and society, while also reducing environmental impact and increasing positive social impact. Additionally, Unilever has several initiatives in place to engage with its employees, such as employee engagement surveys and employee development programs.

In terms of social reporting, Unilever issues an annual Sustainability Report, which provides an overview of the company's sustainability performance and initiatives. This report covers a wide range of topics, including environmental impact, social impact, governance, and economic performance. The report is available on the Unilever's website and in compliance with the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards (Global Reporting Initiative (n.d).

Additionally, Unilever also issues an annual Integrated Report. An integrated report is a report that provides an integrated view of the company's financial and non-financial performance, as well as its strategy, governance, and prospects. The report is also available on the Unilever's website.

Internal Analysis of Unilever

The resource-based view (RBV) Analysis of Unilever

The resource-based view (RBV) is a theoretical framework that suggests that a company's resources and capabilities are the primary determinants of its competitiveness and performance (Barney1991). The RBV framework posits that a firm's resources and capabilities can be classified into two categories: tangible and intangible resources. Tangible resources include financial and physical resources, while intangible resources include human resources, organizationalresources, and reputation (Madhani 2010).

.

The resource-based view (RBV) Framework (Barney 1991).

Unilever is a multinational consumer goods company that owns several well-known brands such as Dove, Lipton, and Ben & Jerry's. The company has a strong financial position, with a considerable number of financial resources, which enables it to invest in research and development, marketing, and acquisitions (Unilever, 2020). This strong financial position is a tangible resource that the company can leverage to achieve a competitive advantage.

Unilever's capabilities include its strong distribution network, which allows the company to reach a large and diverse customer base (Unilever, 2022). Additionally, the company has a strong R&D department, which enables it to constantly innovate and improve its products (Unilever, 2023). These capabilities are also tangible resources that enable Unilever to serve its customers better and stay ahead of the competition.

Unilever's core competencies include its ability to create and maintain strong relationships with suppliers and customers, as well as its ability to effectively manage and develop its employees (Unilever, 2020). The company has a strong corporate culture that values sustainability and corporate social responsibility, which helps it to attract and retain employees and customers (Unilever, 2020). These intangible resources can be considered as core competencies as they are difficult to imitate and provide a sustainable competitive advantage.

External Environment Analysis of Unilever

The Five Forces Model (FFM) is a theoretical framework developed by Michael Porter (2008) that helps to analyse the competitive forces in an industry and the potential profitability of a market. The Five Forces are: the threat of new entrants, the bargaining power of suppliers, the bargaining power of buyers, the threat of substitute products or services, and the intensity of competitive rivalry (Porter, 2008; Bhaskar et al 2019).

Unilever is a multinational consumer goods company that operates in the fast-moving consumer goods (FMCG) industry. The FMCG industry is characterized by many competitors and a high degree of fragmentation (Petruzzi 2022). The main players in this industry are Procter & Gamble, Nestle, Unilever, and Colgate-Palmolive (Petruzzi2022).

The Five Forces Model of Unilever (Porter 2008).

The threat of new entrants in the FMCG industry is relatively low. The industry requires significant capital and economies of scale to be competitive, which can be a barrier for new entrants. Additionally, established companies have a strong brand reputation, which can be difficult for new companies to replicate.

The Five Forces Model (Porter, 2008)

Force	Analysis
Threat of New Entrants	Low – High capital requirements and brand loyalty deter new competitors.
Bargaining Power of Suppliers	Moderate – Supplier relationships are strong, but raw material costs can fluctuate.
Bargaining Power of Buyers	High – Retailers and consumers have alternatives, increasing price sensitivity.
Threat of Substitutes	Moderate – Organic/natural product trends pose a growing substitution risk.
Competitive Rivalry	High – Intense competition from Nestlé, P&G, and Colgate-Palmolive.

Unilever is a well-established company with a strong brand and a wide range of products. The threat of new entrants to the market is relatively low due to the high barriers to entry that exist. These barriers include the excessive cost of setting up a production facility, the need for economies of scale, and the need for significant capital investment. Additionally, Unilever has strong relationships with suppliers and distributors, which can make it difficult for new entrants to establish themselves in the market.

However, there are some potential threats from new entrants that Unilever should be aware of. One of the main threats is from online retailers and e-commerce platforms, which have made it easier for new companies to enter the market and reach customers. Another threat is from smaller, niche players who may be able to target specific segments of the market that Unilever does not currently serve.

Overall, while the threat of new entrants to the market is relatively low, Unilever should still be aware of potential threats and take steps to protect its market position, such as by diversifying its product range and investing in e-commerce and digital marketing.

The bargaining power of suppliers in the FMCG industry is moderate. There are many suppliers of raw materials and packaging materials, which means that companies in this industry have a degree of choice when it comes to suppliers. However, the cost of raw materials can be an outsize proportion of the total cost of goods, so suppliers can exert some pricing pressure on FMCG companies.

The bargaining power of suppliers is a key factor to consider when analysing a company's competitive environment. In the case of Unilever, the company has a diverse range of products and a global supply chain, which gives it some leverage over its suppliers. However, there are also factors that can increase the bargaining power of Unilever's suppliers.

One factor that could increase the bargaining power of suppliers is the availability of substitute products. If there are many substitute products available, suppliers may be able to demand higher prices or better terms from Unilever. Additionally, if Unilever is dependent on a small number of suppliers for a particular product or raw material, those suppliers may have increased bargaining power.

The bargaining power of buyers in the FMCG industry is high. There are many retailers, and consumers have a wide range of choices when it comes to purchasing FMCG products. This means that retailers have a significant degree of power when it comes to negotiating prices and terms with FMCG companies.
The bargaining power of buyers is a principal factor to consider when analysing a company's competitive environment. In the case of Unilever, the company has a wide range of products and a strong brand, which gives it some leverage over its buyers. However, there are also factors that can increase the bargaining power of Unilever's buyers.

One factor that could increase the bargaining power of buyers is the availability of substitute products. If there are many substitute products available, buyers may be able to demand lower prices or better terms from Unilever. Additionally, if Unilever's products are undifferentiated, buyers may have more bargaining power.

Another factor that could increase the bargaining power of buyers is the cost of switching to a new supplier. If it is costly for buyers to switch to a new supplier, such as in the case of specialized equipment or unique raw materials, the current supplier may have increased bargaining power.

Additionally, the power of buyers increases when they can purchase in enormous quantities. Large retailers, such as Walmart, have a significant bargaining power over Unilever and other consumer goods manufacturers, as they can purchase products in bulk and negotiate lower prices and better terms.

The threat of substitute products or services in the FMCG industry is moderate. There are many substitute products, such as private label and store brands, that can be considered as substitutes for FMCG products. Additionally, the rise of e-commerce and online grocery delivery can also be considered as a substitute.

The threat of substitute products or services is a crucial factor to consider when analysing a company's competitive environment. In the case of Unilever, the company offers a wide range of products in various categories, such as personal care, home care, and food and beverage. However, there are also factors that can increase the threat of substitute products or services.

One factor that could increase the threat of substitute products or services is the availability of alternative products. For example, natural and organic products are increasingly popular among consumers, and this trend could pose a threat to Unilever's products that are not marketed as natural or organic. Additionally, the rise of e-commerce and online marketplaces has made it easier for consumers to purchase substitute products from a wider range of suppliers.

Another factor that could increase the threat of substitute products or services is the emergence of innovative technologies. For example, the growth of the sharing economy and the rise of subscription-based models could pose a threat to Unilever's traditional products and services.

In conclusion, the threat of substitute products or services for Unilever is moderate. Unilever's strong brand, wide range of products, and strong distribution network are factors that can decrease the threat of substitutes. However, the emergence of natural and organic products, online marketplaces and innovative technologies are factors that can increase the threat of substitute products or services.

The intensity of competitive rivalry in the FMCG industry is high. The industry is highly competitive, with many competitors, and the competition is fierce for market share and profitability.

The intensity of competitive rivalry is a key factor to consider when analysing a company's competitive environment. In the case of Unilever, the company operates in a highly competitive market with several large and well-established competitors, such as Procter & Gamble, Nestle, and Colgate-Palmolive.

One factor that contributes to the intensity of competitive rivalry in Unilever's market is the high degree of product similarity among competitors. Many of Unilever's competitors offer related products in the same categories, such as personal care, home care, and food and beverage. This high degree of product similarity makes it difficult for any one company to differentiate itself from the competition and can lead to intense price competition (Zion Market Research 2020). Additionally, the intensity of competitive rivalry increases when the industry growth is slow, as companies fight for market share in a stagnant market. Unilever operates in mature markets, where the industry growth is slow, which increases the intensity of competitive rivalry.

In conclusion, the intensity of competitive rivalry in Unilever's market is high. The high degree of product similarity among competitors, the substantial number of competitors, and slow industry growth are factors that contribute to the intensity of competitive rivalry.

Discussion

Unilever Competitive Advantage

In evaluating and understanding the extent at which Unilever’s competitive advantage is sustainable as a company in fast-moving consumer goods (FMCG) industry, this report engaged some theoretical frameworks such Porter's Five Forces, SWOT analysis, and the Resource-Based View to carry out its quest.The company continues to survive on its strengths which include its strong brand portfolio, its distribution network, and its ability to innovate, its global scale, and its commitment to sustainability. However, the analysis of these frameworks also the company’s to be reliance on few key markets and challenges in the e-commerce space.Overall, Porter's Five Forces, SWOT analysis, and the Resource-Based View are all useful frameworks for evaluating Unilever's competitive advantage in this consumer goods industry. Each framework provides different insights and perspectives on the company's strengths and weaknesses, as well as the external factors that are likely to impact its performance.

Unilever Strategic Position

Unilever is a consumer goods company that operates in various categories such as personal care, home care, and food and refreshments. Following the analysis conducted in this report, Unilever has a strong brand portfolio and a global reach, which gives it a competitive advantage in the industry. Similarly, one of the key strengths of Unilever is its focus on sustainability and social responsibility. The company has set ambitious goals for reducing its environmental impact and promoting social and economic development. This positioning has allowed Unilever to differentiate itself from its competitors and appeal to increasingly socially conscious consumers. Also, Unilever has been expanding its presence in emerging markets, to further allow the company to reach new customers and expand its reach in key markets (David 2011).

However, Unilever also faces several challenges. The company operates in a highly competitive and dynamic industry, which makes it difficult to maintain a sustainable competitive advantage. In addition, Unilever faces significant pressure from private label brands and new digital entrants, which could erode its market share.Given these factors, a strategic option for Unilever's future success is that the company should continue to focus on sustainability and social responsibility as a key differentiator. while also investing in the new normal, that is, digital marketing and e-commerce to reach new customers. Additionally, Unilever could also focus on innovation and developing new products and services that meet the changing needs of consumers. Finally, Unilever should constantly aim towards expanding in emerging markets to drive growth and increase its market share.

CONCLUSION

Following this case study analysis, this paper evaluated and elucidates the mission, andoperations ofUnilever, a multinational consumer goods company that operates in a variety of industries, including food, home care, and personal care products. With an analysis on the strategic environment of this company, this paper employs some theoretical frameworks like the Five Forces Model (FFM), and resource-based view (RBV) tohelp to understand the Internal, external environment and competitive forces of Unilever's industry. This paper argued that Unilever operates in a highly competitive FMCG industry, characterised by a low threat of new entrants, moderate bargaining power of suppliers, high bargaining power of buyers, moderate threat of substitute products or services, and high intensity of competitive rivalry.

Similarly, the Unilever's resources, capabilities, and core competencies, as analysed through the resource-based view, are strong and contribute to the company's competitiveness and performance in the consumer goods market. Hence the paper concludes that the company has a strong financial position, a strong distribution network, a strong R&D department, a formidable reputation, and a strong corporate culture, all of which are tangible and intangible resources that the company can leverage to achieve a competitive advantage. However, Unilever must continue to protect its strong strategic position in the market, innovate and adapt to changing market conditions to maintain its competitive advantage and ensure future success.

REFERENCES

[1] Aaker, D. A. (2017). *Managing Brand Equity: Capitalizing on the Value of a Brand Name*. New York: The Free Press.

[2] Buckley, P. J., & Casson, M. C. (2016). *The Future of the Multinational Enterprise*. Palgrave Macmillan.

[3] Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & Supply Chain Management (5th ed.)*. Pearson.

[4] Dunning, J. H. (2015). *The Eclectic Paradigm as an Envelope for Economic and Business Theories of MNE Activity*. International Business Review, 9(2), 163-190.

[5] Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing Management (15th ed.)*. Pearson Education.

[6] Kumar, R., & Zia, M. (2017). *Digital Transformation in FMCG Supply Chains: A Case Study of Unilever*. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 18(3), 215-228.

[7] Monczka, R. M., Handfield, R. B., Giunipero, L. C., & Patterson, J. L. (2021). *Purchasing and Supply Chain Management (7th ed.)*. Cengage Learning.

[8] Porter, M. E. (1985). *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. Free Press.

[9] Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2019). *Research Methods for Business Students (8th ed.)*. Pearson.

[10] Singh, R., & Sharma, K. (2018). *Sustainable Business Practices: A Comparative Study of*

Unilever and P&G. Journal of Business Ethics, 154(2), 285-302.

[11] Smith, J. (2019). *Unilever's Sustainable Living Plan: A Case Study*. Corporate Social Responsibility Journal, 12(4), 67-85.

[12] Barney, J. (1991) Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of management*, 17(1), 99-120.

[13] Bhaskar, M.,Pawar, S.and Hole, Y. (2019). JARDCS - porter's five forces model. *International journal of physics*. 4. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348351396

[14] David, F. R. (2011) *Strategic management concepts and cases.* Pearson Education.

[15] Global Reporting Initiative (n.d), *The global reporting initiative (GRI) standards*. GRI - Standards (globalreporting.org) Accessed 14 January, 2023

[16] Madhani, P. (2010) Resource based view (RBV) of competitive advantage: An overview. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/45072518 Accessed 13 January, 2023

[17] Porter, M. E. (1985) *Competitive advantage: creating and sustaining superior performance*. New York: Free Press

[18] Petruzzi, D. (2022) *Unilever - statistics & facts* Unilever - Statistics & Facts | Statista Accessed 14 January, 2023

[19] Transparency Market Research (2016). *The global personal care products market: industry analysis, size, share, growth, trends, and forecasts 2016-2024.*

[20] Unilever (2020) Unilever's top 10 global competitors. *Achievers*, https://achievers.com/blog/unilevers-top-10-global-competitorsAccessed 9 January, 2023

[21] Unilever (2020) *Unilever's supplier code of conduct* https://www.unilever.com/sustainable-living/supply-chain/our-supplier-code-of-conduct/ Accessed 9 January, 2023

[22] Unilever (2020) *Unilever's customer code of conduct* https://www.unilever.com/sustainable-living/supply-chain/our-customer-code-of-conduct/ Accessed 9 January, 2023

[23] Unilever (2020) *Unilever's annual report 2020*Annual Reports | Unilever Accessed 11 January, 2023

[24] Unilever (2021) *Unilever's annual report 2021*Annual Reports | Unilever Accessed 11 January, 2023

[25] Unilever (2022) *Unilever's annual report 2022*Annual Reports | Unilever Accessed 9 January, 2023

[26] Unilever (2022) *Unilever Leadership*. https://www.unilever.com/about/who-we-are/leadership/ Accessed 10 January, 2023

[27] Unilever (2023) *Unilever's history and business* - https://www.unilever.com/about/who-we-are/our-history/ Accessed 11 January, 2023

[28] Unilever (2023) *Unilever's brands* - https://www.unilever.com/brands/our-brands/ Accessed 11 January, 2023

[29] Unilever (2023) *Unilever sustainable living plan*. Unilever Global: Making sustainable living commonplace | Unilever, https://www.unilever.com/sustainable-living/ Accessed 12 January, 2023

[30] Unilever (2023) Unilever sustainability report. https://www.unilever.com/sustainable-living/reporting/sustainability-report/ Accessed 12 January, 2023

[31] Unilever (2023) Unilever Integrated Report. https://www.unilever.com/sustainable-living/reporting/integrated-report/ Accessed 12 January, 2023

[32] Unilever (2023) *Unilever PLC (UL) company profile & facts*." Yahoo finance, https://finance.yahoo.com/quote/UL/profile/ Accessed 12 January, 2023

[33] Zion Market Research (2020) *The natural and organic cosmetics market: global industry analysis, trends, market size, and forecasts up to 2025.*