A Comparative Analysis of Natural Gas and Hydrogen Pipeline Safety Standards: Lessons for the Transition to Clean Energy

AYODELE OWATE

***Abstract-** The shift from natural gas to hydrogen pipelines represents an important component of the global transition to sustainable energy systems. This article investigates the readiness of existing pipeline infrastructure for hydrogen transportation by analyzing safety standards, materials, and emerging technologies. Through a comparative framework, we examine established natural gas regulations, such as those outlined by the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) and the American Petroleum Institute (API), against evolving hydrogen-specific guidelines. Our findings highlight key challenges, including material embrittlement, higher diffusion rates, and insufficient monitoring technologies to address hydrogen's unique properties. Proposed solutions include advanced material treatments, such as intelligent bi-directional induction techniques, and the integration of IoT-enabled safety systems for real-time leak detection. The study emphasizes the urgent need for policymakers, engineers, and industry stakeholders to collaborate in updating safety standards, investing in innovative technologies, and adopting a proactive approach to hydrogen infrastructure development. These steps are essential for ensuring hydrogen's safe and efficient transport and accelerating its role in achieving global climate targets.*

***Indexed Terms-** Hydrogen Pipelines, Natural Gas Standards, Hydrogen Embrittlement, Pipeline Safety, IoT Leak Detection, Sustainable Energy Transition, ASME, API, PHMSA.*

I. INTRODUCTION

The global transition to clean energy has positioned hydrogen as pivotal in achieving net-zero emissions targets. As outlined in the 2021 World Energy Transitions Outlook by the International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), hydrogen and its derivatives are anticipated to account for 12% of global energy consumption by 2050.

Within this forecast, green hydrogen—produced via renewable electricity—will contribute two-thirds of the demand, while blue hydrogen—derived from fossil fuels with carbon capture technologies—will provide the remaining one-third. This underlines the urgency of advancing hydrogen infrastructure to meet environmental and economic objectives. For instance, the United States has allocated over $8 billion in federal funding through the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law to establish regional hydrogen hubs, reflecting the strategic importance of hydrogen in decarbonizing energy systems (DOE, 2020).

However, transitioning from natural gas to hydrogen as a clean energy carrier introduces a complex set of technical and safety challenges, particularly in pipeline infrastructure. Natural gas, the dominant energy resource for decades, is transported through an extensive network of over 3 million miles of pipelines in the United States alone (EIA, 2024). In contrast, hydrogen presents unique risks due to its physical and chemical properties. Hydrogen (H_2) leaks at rates comparable to natural gas (NG) in low-pressure systems but carries a significantly wider flammability range of 4-75% in air, compared to 5-15% for methane. This stark difference elevates combustion risks and necessitates rigorous safety protocols and material adaptations (Pipeline Safety Trust, 2023).

Therefore, developing a hydrogen-ready pipeline network requires a nuanced understanding of the materials, technologies, and regulatory frameworks that govern existing natural gas pipelines. Current standards and practices in the natural gas industry offer valuable insights, yet they are insufficient for hydrogen transport without significant modifications. This article analyzes the safety standards, material requirements, and technological innovations used in natural gas pipelines and those necessary for hydrogen. This study aims to inform policymakers, industry stakeholders, and researchers on the path forward by identifying critical gaps and proposing actionable solutions. Ensuring the safe and efficient hydrogen transport is paramount as governments and industries accelerate toward a low-carbon future.

This analysis's findings are relevant to infrastructure planning and have broader implications for energy security, public safety, and the economics of clean energy adoption. Understanding its challenges and opportunities will be crucial for achieving a sustainable and secure global energy system as hydrogen emerges as a cornerstone of the energy transition.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Overview of Natural Gas Pipeline Standards

Natural gas pipelines' safety and operational integrity are governed by rigorous standards focusing on materials, construction, and monitoring systems. Globally, polyethylene (PE) pipes have become the predominant choice for new gas distribution pipelines, accounting for 90–95% of installations in Europe and the United States due to their durability and corrosion resistance (Wang et al., 2024). This material innovation has been pivotal in reducing maintenance costs and enhancing the long-term reliability of pipeline networks.

Corrosion management remains a critical component of pipeline safety, requiring precise material selection tailored to specific operational environments. Febri (2023) emphasizes that factors such as working conditions, regulatory frameworks, and fluid properties must guide the choice of materials to ensure effective corrosion protection. This is particularly relevant in the oil and gas industry, where pipelines are exposed to varying stress levels, pressure, and environmental factors that can compromise their structural integrity.

Regulatory bodies play a crucial role in ensuring adherence to pipeline safety standards. In the United States, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA), under the Department of Transportation, oversees compliance with safety protocols designed to protect the public and the environment from the hazards of transporting natural gas and other materials. Specifically, PHMSA's Offices of Pipeline Safety and Hazardous Materials Safety are tasked with enforcing standards, conducting inspections, and implementing safety programs (PHMSA, 2024).

Technological advancements have further strengthened pipeline safety practices. Integrating advanced sensor technologies and real-time monitoring systems has revolutionized leak detection, allowing operators to identify hazardous gas concentrations early and mitigate risks effectively. Ahmed (2023) notes that these innovations enhance industry compliance by improving safety measures, ensuring quality control, and facilitating adherence to regulatory standards in high-risk environments such as chemical plants and industrial facilities.

However, the challenges posed by aging pipeline infrastructure present significant hurdles to maintaining safety and operational efficiency. Sharma et al. (2024) argue that the increasing demand for energy resources has exacerbated the strain on older pipeline networks, necessitating a careful balance between leveraging existing infrastructure and upgrading to meet modern safety standards. Aging pipelines often face issues such as corrosion, material fatigue, and structural degradation, which pose risks to surrounding communities and ecosystems (Hassan et al., 2023). Addressing these challenges requires proactive strategies, including periodic maintenance, material upgrades, and adopting predictive analytics to anticipate and mitigate potential failures.

In summary, the evolving landscape of natural gas pipeline standards reflects a continuous effort to integrate advanced materials, robust regulatory frameworks, and innovative technologies. These measures are essential for safeguarding the integrity of pipeline networks and ensuring natural gas's safe and efficient transport in response to growing energy demands.

- Emerging Hydrogen Pipeline Standards

The development of hydrogen pipeline standards is critical to enabling the transition to clean energy, as hydrogen's unique physical and chemical properties present distinct challenges. One significant issue is hydrogen's small molecular size, which increases its permeability and propensity to leak through materials. Additionally, hydrogen can cause embrittlement in metals, such as steel, weakening the structural integrity of pipelines over time.

Emerging research is focused on identifying materials capable of addressing these challenges. Li et al. (2024) explored non-metallic polyethylene (PE) pipes as a potential solution to avoid hydrogen embrittlement commonly observed in steel pipelines. However, their findings revealed limitations in this approach. Specifically, their study on PE100 material demonstrated that gas permeability increased by 83% when the hydrogen content reached 60% compared to standard natural gas mixtures. This highlights the trade-offs associated with using PE materials, which, while resistant to embrittlement, pose challenges in containing high concentrations of hydrogen due to increased leakage risks.

Another proposed solution involves blending hydrogen with natural gas in existing pipeline networks. Islam et al. (2024) reviewed the feasibility of this approach, focusing on material compatibility and safety. While hydrogen blending offers a near-term solution for reducing carbon emissions, it introduces risks such as hydrogen-assisted material degradation and pipeline integrity issues. These findings underline the necessity for updated codes and standards that address the unique demands of hydrogen transportation. The research also calls for further studies into advanced coatings and composite materials capable of mitigating these risks.

The European Hydrogen Backbone initiative represents one of the most comprehensive efforts to harmonize hydrogen pipeline standards across member states. According to Muthmann (2021), the initiative advocates retrofitting existing natural gas pipelines as a cost-effective strategy to scale hydrogen transport. This involves reinforcing pipelines with materials that withstand hydrogen's higher diffusivity and embrittlement potential. Early-stage harmonization efforts also emphasize the importance of standardizing safety protocols, pressure ratings, and material requirements to facilitate cross-border hydrogen transport within the European Union.

Despite these advancements, significant gaps remain in developing materials and technologies for hydrogen pipeline infrastructure. Research into composite materials, advanced coatings, and hybrid pipeline designs offers promising avenues for reducing hydrogen permeation and enhancing durability. Additionally, establishing globally unified standards for hydrogen transport is essential to ensure safe and efficient deployment at scale. Collaboration between policymakers, industry stakeholders, and researchers will address these challenges and enable a seamless transition to hydrogen-based energy systems.

- Comparative Insights

While the existing literature converges on the potential of hydrogen as a clean energy carrier, it highlights divergent approaches to pipeline adaptation. European studies focus heavily on retrofitting and harmonizing standards, while U.S. research prioritizes incremental technological upgrades and regulatory innovation. Overall, the transition from natural gas to hydrogen pipelines requires a nuanced understanding of material science, engineering, and policy design, emphasizing safety and efficiency.

III. METHODOLOGY

The methodology for this study involved a comparative analysis of safety standards, materials, and technologies for natural gas and hydrogen pipelines. The approach was structured as follows:

- Data Collection

Primary sources included existing safety codes such as the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) B31.12 for hydrogen pipelines and the American Petroleum Institute (API) 1104 for natural gas pipelines. Additionally, reports from industry organizations, including the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) and the European Committee for Standardization (CEN-CENELEC), were analyzed to understand regulatory frameworks and innovations in pipeline design. Academic research was also utilized, particularly peerreviewed articles focusing on material science, hydrogen embrittlement, and leak detection technologies.

- Comparative Framework

The comparative framework was conducted across three primary dimensions. First, safety standards were evaluated, focusing on differences in regulatory requirements such as pressure thresholds, leak detection protocols, and construction materials. Second, the properties of pipeline materials were analyzed, including their resistance to corrosion, embrittlement, and high-pressure conditions, based on studies from journals like Materials Science and Engineering. Finally, technological innovations were reviewed, highlighting advancements in monitoring systems and pipeline treatment technologies, particularly hydrogen-specific adaptations like real-time IoT-enabled leak detectors and enhanced material treatments.

IV. DISCUSSIONS AND ANALYSIS

- Safety Standards in Pipeline Transitions

Comparison of Regulatory Requirements for Natural Gas Versus Hydrogen Pipelines

Natural gas pipeline regulations are well-established and prioritize materials that resist corrosion, pressure standards, and leak prevention. In the U.S., the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) outlines stringent construction, operation, and maintenance requirements. Steel pipelines coated with anti-corrosive layers and polyethylene pipes are standard materials that minimize gas leakage and maintain durability under high-pressure conditions. Polyethylene (PE) liners are used in gathering pipelines to prevent internal corrosion. Still, the diffusion of carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide can saturate these polymers over time, potentially leading to steel corrosion if water is present (Matthew et al., 2020). In contrast, hydrogen pipeline standards are still evolving. Steel demonstrates that gaseous hydrogen can be safely moved through pipelines built according to hydrogen-specific codes, which are more stringent than natural gas ones. Despite this, the exact mechanisms of hydrogen damage remain debated, with the consensus that it involves hydrogen concentrating at high-stress areas in the metallic lattice, such as crack tips (Gallon, 2020). Hydrogen's unique properties, including its small molecular size and propensity to embrittle certain metals, necessitate new guidelines. Organizations like the European Committee for Standardization (CEN), CENELEC, and ASME have started adapting standards, but their application remains inconsistent globally (CEN-CENELEC, 2024). Recent research highlights the need for more specialized materials such as composites and advanced polymer linings to handle hydrogen transport safely (Nachtane, 2023).

V. CHALLENGES IN APPLYING NATURAL GAS STANDARDS TO HYDROGEN

Applying natural gas standards to hydrogen pipelines presents several technical and operational challenges. First, Hydrogen Embrittlement (HE) is a significant concern when using natural gas/hydrogen mixtures. It involves the weakening of materials' mechanical properties due to hydrogen exposure. Hydrogen can penetrate steel in pipelines carrying hydrogen-blended gas under pressure, causing microcracks and deteriorating the material's macro mechanical properties over time. This embrittlement weakens the metal's structural integrity and increases the likelihood of fractures (Ghadiani et al., 2024). PHSPoly (2024) expressed that polyethylene, a material resistant to embrittlement, could manage this issue, although transitioning to such materials requires significant retrofitting costs. Second, hydrogen's smaller molecular size leads to higher diffusion rates than methane, increasing the potential for leaks. While natural gas pipelines are optimized for methane's diffusion properties, hydrogen's permeability through seals and joints demands innovations in gasket and connector designs. A report from Ferreira et al. (2024) shows that the integration of drones and satellite technology for surveillance enables rapid and effective inspection of large areas, facilitating quick leak response and minimizing environmental impact. These advancements are crucial for addressing the challenges of aging infrastructure and meeting regulatory demands. By enhancing leak detection accuracy and reducing response times, these technologies and improvements in leak detection methods support more sustainable and resilient pipeline management practices. Third, Hydrogen can be transported through pipelines like natural gas, but high initial capital costs and technical challenges hinder expansion. U.S. Department of Energy. (2024) reported that hydrogen typically requires higher pressure for transport to achieve similar energy densities as natural gas, and current pipeline infrastructure is not universally rated for these elevated pressures, complicating direct adaptation. Potential solutions include using fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) pipelines and adapting natural gas infrastructure to accommodate hydrogen, either in blends or pure form—lastly, the Congressional Research Service. (2021) reported that developing hydrogen pipelines faces significant regulatory and technical challenges, including enhanced materials to prevent hydrogen embrittlement, leak detection improvements, and higher-pressure requirements. As of the time of the report, the U.S. hydrogen pipelines are primarily in the Gulf Coast, but expanding this infrastructure nationally requires substantial federal research and regulatory updates. Federal agencies and Congress are working on legislation and funding to support these developments.

- Identifying Gaps and Proposing Adaptations

Natural gas standards often fail to address material compatibility when applied to hydrogen. Traditional natural gas pipelines, made primarily of steel, are designed to resist corrosion and withstand the pressures associated with methane transport. However, hydrogen's unique properties, particularly its ability to cause embrittlement, pose a challenge to these materials. Studies like those conducted by Wasim & Ngo (2020) emphasize that prolonged exposure to hydrogen under high pressure can weaken the structural integrity of steel, leading to micro-cracks and potential failures (Wasim & Ngo, 2020). This issue highlights a critical gap, as current natural gas standards do not account for hydrogen's interaction with pipeline materials like they do for methane (CSA Group, 2024).

Leak prevention is another significant challenge when applying natural gas standards to hydrogen pipelines. Methane, being a larger molecule, is less prone to escaping through seals and joints in pipelines designed for natural gas (Hora et al., 2024). Hydrogen, on the other hand, has a much smaller molecular size and is highly diffusive, making it more likely to leak through even minute imperfections. CRS report (2021) notes that existing sealing technologies, which perform adequately for natural gas, are insufficient for preventing hydrogen leakage dyes to its nature to cause embrittlement. This disparity necessitates the development of advanced sealing solutions specifically designed for hydrogen's properties.

Pressure and storage design also represent areas where natural gas standards are inadequate for hydrogen applications. Hydrogen transport requires higher pressures to achieve energy densities comparable to natural gas (Hren et al., 2023). Existing natural gas pipelines and storage systems are not typically rated for such elevated pressures, which introduces risks of material degradation and operational failures. Without modifications to these standards, the safe and efficient hydrogen transport on a large scale becomes a daunting challenge, particularly in transitioning existing infrastructure (Lipiäinen et al., 2023).

Finally, natural gas safety monitoring and response systems cannot handle hydrogen's distinct properties. Hydrogen disperses faster than methane and has lower ignition energy, making leaks more dangerous (Brzezińska, 2021). While natural gas safety protocols rely on slower diffusion rates and different ignition thresholds, hydrogen necessitates real-time, high-precision monitoring to detect leaks and prevent catastrophic incidents. Current safety systems must be upgraded to integrate advanced technologies, such as IoT-enabled sensors, to provide the precision required for hydrogen pipelines.

To address the challenges of hydrogen embrittlement, emerging material treatment techniques offer potential solutions to enhance pipeline durability. One promising approach involves manipulating the microstructure of pipeline materials to improve their resistance to hydrogen-induced damage. Research by Wang et al. (2024) highlights the importance of refining metal grain structures to reduce hydrogen accumulation in high-stress areas, which can weaken the material over time. While specific technologies, such as magnetic or induction-based treatments, are still in exploratory stages, studies like those of Huang et al. (2023) suggest that advanced methods for aligning grain boundaries or modifying surface properties could enhance the resilience of existing infrastructure for hydrogen transport. These developments underscore the need for continued innovation to ensure hydrogen pipelines' safe and efficient use.

Integrated digital systems can also effectively ensure the safety and reliability of hydrogen pipelines. Advanced monitoring technologies, such as IoT sensors, enable real-time detection of leaks and pressure anomalies, reducing response times and mitigating risks. Research by Al-Sabaeei et al. (2023) demonstrates that predictive maintenance systems powered by AI maintenance have shown promise in experimental setups, lowering gas leakage rates and improving overall pipeline safety. Applying these systems to hydrogen pipelines can ensure proactive management of potential hazards.

Another key adaptation lies in the development of hybrid materials and linings. Composites and polymer coatings are increasingly being explored as alternatives to traditional steel pipelines, including introducing nanocellulose (Seydibeyoğlu et al., 2023). These materials simultaneously address hydrogen permeability and embrittlement, offering dual compatibility for hydrogen and methane during the transition phase. Such innovations can extend the lifespan of pipelines and reduce retrofitting costs, making the transition more economically viable for stakeholders.

Lastly, dynamic regulatory frameworks are essential to support the evolving needs of hydrogen transport, emphasizing the need for a more strategic approach to ensure optimal performance (Bade et al., 2023). Organizations like PHMSA, ASME, and CEN must adopt adaptive guidelines incorporating the latest research and field data from pilot projects. A technology-agnostic approach to regulations would allow for continuous updates, ensuring that standards remain relevant as hydrogen technologies mature. By providing collaboration between industry and regulatory bodies, such frameworks can effectively address safety and operational challenges, paving the way for a seamless transition from natural gas to hydrogen pipelines.

- Technological and Policy Frameworks

Transitioning from natural gas to hydrogen pipelines requires an interdisciplinary approach integrating technological innovations and comprehensive regulatory policies. This transition poses unique challenges due to hydrogen's distinct properties, such as its small molecular size, high diffusivity, and potential for embrittlement in pipeline materials.

Technological Considerations

Mohammed and Zekai (2024) extensively reviewed hydrogen leak detection standards, analyzing various sensing technologies, including electrochemical sensors, thermal conductivity sensors, and fiber optic systems. Their findings highlight the strengths and limitations of these methods, such as the high sensitivity of fiber optics and their susceptibility to environmental interference. Moreover, they emphasize the challenges in achieving real-time, precise, and reliable detection of hydrogen leaks, a critical factor given hydrogen's flammability and potential risks. Such insights provide a roadmap for improving existing technologies and developing next-generation solutions to enhance hydrogen safety standards.

Beyond detection, advancements in pipeline materials and coatings are also pivotal. Research into materials like composite polymers and metal alloys shows promise in addressing hydrogen-induced embrittlement, yet these technologies remain nascent. Continued investment in material science research is essential to ensuring the structural integrity of hydrogen pipelines under varying operational conditions.

Policy Frameworks

From a policy perspective, Nyangon and Darekar (2024) argue that transitioning to a hydrogen economy requires robust financial and regulatory support mechanisms. They advocate for incentives such as tax credits, subsidies for infrastructure upgrades, and targeted funding for pilot projects to facilitate gradual integration. Their research also warns against the risks of accelerated adoption without sufficient testing, noting potential incompatibilities and safety concerns when blending hydrogen with natural gas in existing pipeline networks.

A phased integration strategy, as proposed by Nyangon and Darekar, involves incrementally increasing hydrogen content in natural gas pipelines to assess material compatibility, operational performance, and safety standards. This approach allows policymakers and engineers to identify potential failures early while minimizing risks. International harmonization of hydrogen safety codes and standards is also necessary to ensure consistency across borders, particularly for regions engaged in hydrogen export and import.

Lessons for the Transition
The juxtaposition of technological advancements and policy initiatives underscores the need for a balanced approach. While technological innovations drive the feasibility of hydrogen adoption, robust policy frameworks ensure its safe and sustainable implementation. Collaborative efforts between industry stakeholders, regulatory bodies, and research institutions are imperative to overcoming these challenges and achieving a seamless transition to hydrogen as a clean energy carrier.

VI. POLICY IMPLICATIONS FOR ENERGY TRANSITION

Regulatory Changes Needed to Facilitate Hydrogen Pipeline Development
The transition to hydrogen as a major energy carrier requires substantial updates to existing regulatory frameworks primarily designed for natural gas. Regulatory bodies must establish guidelines that address hydrogen's unique properties, such as its high diffusivity and potential for pipeline embrittlement. This includes adopting hydrogen-specific standards for material compatibility, safety testing, and operational procedures (Wang et al., 2024). For example, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) in the U.S. could expand its regulations to mandate the use of advanced materials and real-time monitoring systems for hydrogen pipelines. Additionally, harmonizing standards across countries is essential to foster international cooperation and facilitate the global hydrogen supply chain.

Incentives for Research, Development, and Deployment of Hydrogen-Specific Safety Technologies
To accelerate the deployment of hydrogen infrastructure, governments and private sectors must provide targeted incentives. Funding programs for research and development can advance innovations in materials science, such as hydrogen-resistant alloys and advanced coatings. Incentives like tax credits, subsidies, or public-private partnerships can encourage industries to adopt hydrogen-specific safety technologies, such as IoT-enabled sensors and predictive maintenance systems. With the Clean Hydrogen Production Tax Policy in the U.S, producers of clean hydrogen can receive a tax credit of up to $3 per kilogram of hydrogen produced, provided that the hydrogen does not generate more than 4 kilograms of carbon dioxide equivalent emissions per kilogram (DOE, 2023). Furthermore, pilot projects demonstrating the safe operation of hydrogen pipelines under operational conditions can provide valuable data and build confidence in the technology. Policies that support these initiatives are critical for scaling hydrogen as a sustainable energy solution.

VII. LESSONS FOR THE TRANSITION TO CLEAN ENERGY

Each energy transition has its unique characteristics, but the shift to clean energy shares the gradual nature driven by necessity and innovation. Unlike past transitions, this one is propelled by environmental needs, making it vital to ensure a smooth, sustainable shift that benefits all, without leaving disadvantaged communities behind, through government and stakeholder collaboration to overcome challenges (International Energy Forum, 2024). The transition to hydrogen infrastructure reveals critical insights into scaling a cleaner energy grid. Hydrogen's unique challenges, including embrittlement, high diffusivity, and distinct safety requirements, necessitate a strategic approach (Aashna, 2024). The comparative analysis emphasizes integrating advanced materials, adopting real-time monitoring systems, and revising safety standards (Ahmed, 2023). Lessons from the natural gas industry highlight the need for systematic testing and phased implementation, ensuring infrastructure can meet hydrogen's technical demands while maintaining reliability and safety (Calabrese et al., 2024, Caporin et al., 2024).

Best Practices from Natural Gas Pipeline Management
The natural gas sector's long-standing expertise in pipeline management offers valuable best practices for

hydrogen adaptation. Techniques such as cathodic protection for corrosion prevention, rigorous material testing, and comprehensive leak detection systems can inform hydrogen pipeline strategies (May et al., 2022). Adopting proven methods, while adapting them for hydrogen's properties, can help bridge gaps in current safety protocols. Additionally, workforce training programs modeled after natural gas management practices are essential to ensure skilled operators can safely handle hydrogen systems.

- Broader Implications for Achieving U.S. Clean Energy Goals

Scaling hydrogen infrastructure aligns directly with U.S. clean energy goals, including achieving net-zero emissions by 2050. Hydrogen's role as a versatile energy carrier—capable of decarbonizing sectors like transportation, industry, and power—emphasizes the need for cohesive policies and investments. Lessons learned from the natural gas-to-hydrogen transition highlight the importance of a unified regulatory framework, cross-sector collaboration, and public-private partnerships to accelerate progress. By addressing technical, safety, and regulatory challenges, the U.S. can establish hydrogen as a cornerstone of its clean energy future (Bade & Tomomewo, 2024; AFID, 2024; Raghutla, 2023).

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The transition from natural gas to hydrogen pipelines presents significant opportunities and challenges. Key findings highlight that while natural gas pipeline standards have matured over decades, they are not wholly equipped to address the unique properties of hydrogen, including its high diffusivity and susceptibility to causing material embrittlement. Current safety monitoring systems and materials require substantial upgrades to effectively handle hydrogen's distinct behavior. Innovations such as intelligent bi-directional induction treatment and advanced IoT-enabled sensors hold promise for enhancing pipeline durability and leak detection capabilities. However, these technologies need further refinement and adoption to meet the demands of a growing hydrogen economy.

Engineers should focus on adopting materials specifically designed to resist hydrogen embrittlement and incorporating real-time monitoring systems into pipeline infrastructure. Policymakers must prioritize the development of comprehensive hydrogen-specific safety standards that address current regulatory gaps and support global harmonization. Industry stakeholders, including energy providers and technology developers, should invest in research and development to innovate scalable hydrogen transportation and storage solutions.

Call to Action

Updating safety standards is critical to facilitating the safe and efficient deployment of hydrogen infrastructure. Policymakers, industry leaders, and engineers must collaborate to accelerate standardization and invest in advanced technologies. These efforts will be essential for realizing hydrogen's potential as a cornerstone of sustainable energy systems and achieving ambitious climate goals.

REFERENCES

[1] Aashna Raj, I.A. Sofia Larsson, Anna-Lena Ljung, Tobias Forslund, Robin Andersson, Joel Sundström, T.Staffan Lundström. (2024). Evaluating hydrogen gas transport in pipelines: Current state of numerical and experimental methodologies. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, Volume 67, ISSN 0360-3199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2024.04.140.

[2] Abdulnaser M. Al-Sabaeei, Hitham Alhussian, Said Jadid Abdulkadir, Ajayshankar Jagadeesh. (2023). Prediction of oil and gas pipeline failures through machine learning approaches: A systematic review, Energy Reports, Volume 10, ISSN 2352-4847. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2023.08.009.

[3] AFID (2024), Green hydrogen: A cross-industry dialogue for sustainability, Alliance for Industry Decarbonization, Abu Dhabi. https://www.irena.org/-/media/Alliance/Files/Publications/AFID_Green_hydrogen_dialogue_sustainability_2024.pdf

[4] Alejandra Hormaza Mejia, Jacob Brouwer, Michael Mac Kinnon, (2020). Hydrogen leaks at the same rate as natural gas in typical low-pressure gas infrastructure. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, Volume 45, Issue 15, ISSN

0360-3199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2019.12.159.

[5] Aminul Islam, Tahrim Alam, Nathan Sheibley, Kara Edmonson, David Burns, Manuel Hernandez, (2024). Hydrogen blending in natural gas pipelines: A comprehensive review of material compatibility and safety considerations. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, Volume 93, ISSN 0360-3199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2024.10.384.

[6] Calabrese, M., Portarapillo, M., Di Nardo, A., Venezia, V., Turco, M., Luciani, G., & Di Benedetto, A. (2024). Hydrogen Safety Challenges: A Comprehensive Review on Production, Storage, Transport, Utilization, and CFD-Based Consequence and Risk Assessment. Energies, 17(6), 1350. https://doi.org/10.3390/en17061350

[7] CEN-CENELEC. (2024). European standards adoption. Retrieved from https://www.cencenelec.eu/european-standardization/international-cooperation/european-standards-adoption/

[8] CSA Group. (2024). Assessment of natural gas pipeline materials for hydrogen service. Retrieved from https://www.csagroup.org/wp-content/uploads/CSA-Group-Research-Assessment-of-Natural-Gas-Pipeline-Materials-for-Hydrogen-Service.pdf

[9] Congressional Research Service. (2021). Pipeline Transportation of Hydrogen: Regulation, Research, and Policy (R46700). Retrieved from https://crsreports.congress.gov/product/pdf/R/R46700

[10] EIA (2024). Natural gas explained: Natural gas pipelines. https://www.eia.gov/energyexplained/natural-gas/natural-gas-pipelines.php

[11] DOE (2022). DOE Launches Bipartisan Infrastructure Law's $8 Billion Program for Clean Hydrogen Hubs Across the U.S. https://www.energy.gov/articles/doe-launches-bipartisan-infrastructure-laws-8-billion-program-clean-hydrogen-hubs-across

[12] Dorota Brzezińska. (2021). Hydrogen dispersion phenomenon in nominally closed spaces. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, Volume 46, Issue 55, ISSN 0360-3199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2021.06.061.

[13] Ferreira, Ines & Raghavan, Kumaresan & Ailyn, Diana. (2024). Technological Innovations: Advances in pipeline monitoring and leak detection technologies. https://www.fhs.com.au/fusion-melding-blog/the-advantages-of-polyethylene-pipes-in-modern-construction

[14] Ghadiani, H., Farhat, Z., Alam, T., & Islam, M. A. (2024). Assessing Hydrogen Embrittlement in Pipeline Steels for Natural Gas-Hydrogen Blends: Implications for Existing Infrastructure. Solids, 5(3), 375-393. https://doi.org/10.3390/solids5030025

[15] Hao Wang, Jay Shah, Said-El Hawwat, Qindan Huang, Alireza Khatami. (2024). A comprehensive review of polyethylene pipes: Failure mechanisms, performance models, inspection methods, and repair solutions. Journal of Pipeline Science and Engineering, Volume 4, Issue 2, ISSN 2667-1433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpse.2024.100174.

[16] Hassan, B., Priya Sharma, Anya Ivanova (2023). Aging infrastructure: Challenges and risks associated with aging pipelines. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Baht-Hassan/publication/384326933_Aging_Infrastructure_Challenges_and_risks_associated_with_aging_pipelines/links/66f44b22f599e0392fa1b631/Aging-Infrastructure-Challenges-and-risks-associated-with-aging-pipelines.pdf

[17] Huang, X., Su, S., Xu, Z., Miao, Q., Li, W., & Wang, L. (2023). Advanced Composite Materials for Structure Strengthening and Resilience Improvement. Buildings, 13(10), 2406. https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings13102406

[18] Hora, C., Dan, F. C., Secui, D. -C., & Hora, H. N. (2024). Systematic Literature Review on Pipeline Transport Losses of Hydrogen, Methane, and Their Mixture, Hythane. Energies, 17(18), 4709. https://doi.org/10.3390/en17184709

[19] International Energy Forum. (2024). How previous energy transitions provide lessons for

this one. Retrieved from https://www.ief.org/news/how-previous-energy-transitions-provide-lessons-for-this-one

[20] Joseph Nyangon, Ayesha Darekar (2024). https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2949753124000262

[21] Li, Xiang & Shao, Puzhen & Wang, Jun & Huang, Liuyi & Dong, Zhi & Zhong, Fengping. (2024). Study on the permeability behavior of hydrogen-doped natural gas in polyethylene pipeline. Journal of Physics: Conference Series. 2713. 012001. 10.1088/1742-6596/2713/1/012001.

[22] Massimiliano Caporin, Fulvio Fontini, Roberto Panzica. (2023). The systemic risk of US oil and natural gas companies. Energy Economics, Volume 121, ISSN 0140-9883. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2023.106650.

[23] Matthew M. Ali 1 Julia C. Magee, Peter Y. Hsieh (2020). Corrosion protection of steel pipelines with metal-polymer composite barrier liners. Journal of Natural Gas Science and Engineering. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1875510020302614

[24] May, Z., Alam, M. K., & Nayan, N. A. (2022). Recent Advances in Nondestructive Method and Assessment of Corrosion Undercoating in Carbon–Steel Pipelines. Sensors, 22(17), 6654. https://doi.org/10.3390/s22176654

[25] Muhammad Wasim, Tuan Duc Ngo. (2020). Failure analysis of structural steel subjected to long-term exposure of hydrogen. Engineering Failure Analysis, Volume 114, ISSN 1350-6307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2020.104606.

[26] Muthmann, D. (2021). European Hydrogen Backbone - How a dedicated infrastructure can pave the way to large-scale competitive hydrogen for the European market. Retrieved from https://www.unepfi.org/wordpress/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/06_EHB_presentation_Nordea.pdf

[27] Nachtane, M., Tarfaoui, M., Abichou, M. a., Vetcher, A., Rouway, M., Aâmir, A., Mouadili, H., Laaouidi, H., & Naanani, H. (2023). An Overview of the Recent Advances in Composite Materials and Artificial Intelligence for Hydrogen Storage Vessels Design. Journal of Composites Science, 7(3), 119. https://doi.org/10.3390/jcs7030119

[28] Neil Gallon (2020). HYDROGEN PIPELINE DESIGN AND MATERIAL CHALLENGES AND MITIGATIONS. https://www.eprg.net/fileadmin/EPRG_Dokumente/FR-221_2020_Literature_study_hydrogen.pdf

[29] Nugraha, Febri. (2023). Materials Selection in Oil and Gas - An Overview. 10.13140/RG.2.2.17945.19046.

[30] Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration. (2024). PHMSA enforcement. U.S. Department of Transportation. Retrieved from https://www.phmsa.dot.gov/regulatory-compliance/phmsa-enforcement

[31] Pipeline Safety Trust. (2023). Hydrogen pipeline safety summary. Retrieved from https://pstrust.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/hydrogen_pipeline_safety_summary_1_18_23.pdf

[32] Qanbar, Mohammed & Hong, Zekai. (2024). A Review of Hydrogen Leak Detection Regulations and Technologies. Energies. 17. 4059. 10.3390/en17164059.

[33] Raghutla, Chandrashekar & Yeliyya, Kolati. (2023). Public-private partnerships investment in energy as new determinant of renewable energy: The role of political cooperation in China and India. Energy Reports. 10. 3092-3101. 10.1016/j.egyr.2023.09.139.

[34] Robert Hren, Annamaria Vujanović, Yee Van Fan, Jiří Jaromír Klemeš, Damjan Krajnc, Lidija Čuček. (2023). Hydrogen production, storage and transport for renewable energy and chemicals: An environmental footprint assessment. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Volume 173, ISSN 1364-0321. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.113113.

[35] Satu Lipiäinen, Kalle Lipiäinen, Antti Ahola, Esa Vakkilainen. (2023). Use of existing gas infrastructure in the European hydrogen economy. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, Volume 48, Issue 80, ISSN 0360-3199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2023.04.283.

[36] Seydibeyoğlu, M. Ö., Dogru, A., Wang, J., Rencheck, M., Han, Y., Wang, L., Seydibeyoğlu, E. A., Zhao, X., Ong, K., Shatkin, J. A., Shams Es-haghi, S., Bhandari, S., Ozcan, S., & Gardner, D. J. (2023). Review on Hybrid Reinforced Polymer Matrix Composites with Nanocellulose, Nanomaterials, and Other Fibers. Polymers, 15(4), 984. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym15040984

[37] Sharma, Priya & Ivanova, Anya & Hassan, Baht. (2024). Aging Infrastructure: Challenges and risks associated with aging pipelines.

[38] Shree Om Bade, Olusegun Stanley Tomomewo. (2024). A review of governance strategies, policy measures, and regulatory framework for hydrogen energy in the United States. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. Volume 78. ISSN 0360-3199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2024.06.338.

[39] U.S. Department of Energy. (2023). Clean hydrogen production tax credit. Retrieved from https://afdc.energy.gov/fuels/laws/HY?state=US

[40] U.S. Department of Energy. (2024). Hydrogen pipelines. Retrieved from https://www.energy.gov/eere/fuelcells/hydrogen-pipelines

[41] World Economic Forum 2022. https://www.weforum.org/stories/2022/05/action-clean-hydrogen-net-zero-2050/#:~:text=The%20International%20Renewable%20Energy%20Agency's,blue%20hydrogen%20(made%20from%20fossil

[42] Yanfei Wang, Jinna Han, Yuhang Zhao, Honglin Xie, Xinfeng Li, Dongyang Dou, Qili Wang, (2024). Grain refinement's effect on hydrogen embrittlement of 304 austenitic stainless steel: A comparative investigation of hydrogen in-situ charging vs. pre-charging. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, Volume 78, ISSN 0360-3199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2024.06.224.