© SEP 2024 | IRE Journals | Volume 8 Issue 3 | ISSN: 2456-8880

Marketing Return On Investment: A Comparative Study of Traditional and Modern Models

NIGEL NKOMO[1], MUNASHE NAPHTALI MUPA[2]
[1, 2] *Hult International Business School*

Abstract- This article explores the comparative effectiveness of traditional attribution models versus advanced, real-time Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models in optimizing marketing return on investment (ROI). Traditional models, such as first-click, last-click, linear, and time-decay, are simple to implement but often fail to capture the complexity of modern customer journeys, leading to inaccurate attributions and inefficient budget allocations. In contrast, advanced MTA models use machine learning algorithms and real-time data to dynamically assign credit across multiple touchpoints, providing a more precise understanding of each interaction's role in driving conversions. The article discusses the limitations of traditional models in multi-channel and omnichannel marketing environments, highlighting how real-time MTA models overcome these challenges by leveraging cross-device tracking, personalization, and predictive capabilities. It further addresses the technical and organizational challenges of implementing advanced MTA models, including data integration, skill requirements, and compliance with privacy regulations like GDPR and CCPA. Emerging technologies, such as AI, IoT, and blockchain, are also examined for their potential to enhance the transparency, security, and accuracy of MTA models. The article concludes that while advanced MTA models offer significant improvements in ROI optimization, they also come with increased complexity and cost. Future research is recommended to focus on improving model transparency, addressing ethical challenges, and balancing hyper-personalization with data privacy. This study provides insights for marketers and data scientists on leveraging advanced attribution models to enhance marketing performance.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the digital age, optimizing marketing return on investment (ROI) is essential for businesses aiming to maximize the effectiveness of their marketing efforts (Lenskold, 2003). Marketing ROI enables businesses to measure the impact of their marketing campaigns, ensuring that resources are allocated effectively and helping to reduce wasted expenditures (Bidve et al., 2023). By systematically tracking ROI, companies can adjust their strategies to improve overall profitability and competitiveness in a crowded market (Lenskold, 2003).

With digital marketing introducing multiple customer touchpoints, the complexity of measuring ROI has increased (Inoue, 2010). Each interaction in the customer journey contributes to a brand's success, making it crucial to optimize the entire marketing mix. This is particularly true in a cross-media environment, where campaigns must span several channels to maintain customer engagement, even under constrained budgets (Inoue, 2010). In such cases, organic communication mixes can significantly enhance marketing ROI by focusing on high-impact interactions (Inoue, 2010).

Furthermore, personalization and real-time data integration have become vital components of digital marketing. The ability to target customers more precisely through advanced algorithms, using real-time data, improves the relevance of ads and increases the likelihood of conversion, thus boosting marketing ROI (Bidve et al., 2023). Similarly, technological innovations such as data mining and multi-criteria optimization have allowed businesses to not only improve their targeting strategies but also fine-tune their advertising spend, ensuring that each dollar yields the highest possible return (Oklander et al., 2018).

Thus, the importance of optimizing marketing ROI in digital marketing cannot be overstated. It allows businesses to assess the viability of their campaigns in real-time, adapt to changing market conditions, and ultimately drive greater profitability through more personalized and data-driven strategies (Syafii & Budiyanto, 2022).

Multi-touch attribution (MTA) models have also emerged as a critical tool in digital marketing, designed to attribute credit for conversions across various customer interactions along the journey (Zhang et al., 2014). Unlike traditional single-touch models, which often focus on either the first or last touchpoint, MTA acknowledges that modern consumer journeys involve multiple engagements across several channels. This shift reflects the increasing complexity of consumer behavior in the digital age (Zhang et al., 2014).

MTA models operate by assigning weighted credit to each touchpoint that influences the customer's decision-making process (Zhang et al., 2014). This method ensures a more accurate assessment of the role that each interaction plays in driving conversions. Such data-driven approaches mark a departure from rule-based models, which often rely on subjective assumptions about the relative importance of touchpoints (Zhang et al., 2014).

The growing relevance of MTA in modern marketing is largely driven by the need for greater transparency and precision in marketing spend (Berman, 2018). Marketers today must justify expenditures by proving the ROI of their campaigns, and MTA provides the framework to do this effectively (Berman, 2018). By leveraging real-time data, businesses can not only track consumer behavior but also adjust their strategies in response to shifting engagement patterns, thus optimizing their overall performance (Zhang et al., 2014).

Moreover, recent advancements in MTA incorporate predictive capabilities. By using techniques such as survival theory, these models can forecast conversion probabilities and adjust attribution weightings dynamically (Zhang et al., 2014). This further enhances their utility in digital marketing, offering a predictive dimension to attribution and enabling marketers to optimize future strategies based on more robust data insights (Zhang et al., 2014).

The purpose of this article is to compare traditional attribution models with advanced, real-time Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models, highlighting their impact on marketing strategies, ROI optimization, and the challenges and opportunities associated with their adoption.

Traditional Attribution Models

Traditional attribution models, such as last-click, first-click, linear, and time-decay, have long been the cornerstone of marketing performance measurement (Ji, Wang and Zhang, 2016; Yuvaraj et al., 2018; Alexandrovskiy and Trundova, 2022). These methods assign credit for conversions based on pre-defined rules, making them relatively simple to implement, but their limitations have become more apparent with the rise of complex customer journeys in digital marketing (Yuvaraj et al., 2018).

The last-click attribution model assigns 100% of the credit for a conversion to the final interaction the customer had before converting (Yuvaraj et al., 2018). While easy to measure, this model overlooks the influence of earlier touchpoints, which can be pivotal in nurturing leads through the sales funnel. Its simplicity can lead to overvaluing channels that typically appear at the end of the customer journey (Kirievsky & Kirievsky, 2004).

In contrast, the first-click attribution model credits the first interaction a customer has with a brand, assuming that the initial touchpoint is the most influential (Alexandrovskiy and Trundova, 2022). However, it ignores subsequent engagements that may be critical in the customer's decision-making process. This model is particularly limited in digital ecosystems where customers interact with a brand across multiple channels (Deng et al., 2021).

The linear attribution model aims to rectify these issues by distributing credit equally across all touchpoints (Ji, Wang and Zhang, 2016). While this provides a more holistic view, it fails to account for the relative importance of each interaction. It assumes that all touchpoints have equal impact, which is rarely the case in real-world scenarios (Erion et al., 2019). In addition, the time-decay attribution model gives more credit to touchpoints that occur closer to the conversion (Yuvaraj et al., 2018). While more reflective of the recency effect in customer behavior, this model can still undervalue earlier interactions that may have played a significant role in the customer's journey (Kirievsky & Kirievsky, 2004).

Challenges of Traditional Models in Multi-channel Marketing

Traditional attribution models, such as first-click, last-click, and linear attribution, often fail to capture the complexity of consumer behavior in multi-channel and omnichannel marketing environments (Mehta & Singhal, 2020). These models typically assign credit for conversions to a single touchpoint or distribute it evenly across multiple interactions, leading to oversimplified interpretations of the customer journey (Berman, 2018). Such methods struggle to reflect the non-linear and interconnected nature of consumer interactions across various platforms and devices (Mehta & Singhal, 2020).

One major limitation is that models like last-click attribution disproportionately favor the final interaction before conversion (Berman, 2018). While the last touchpoint is undoubtedly significant, other touchpoints throughout the journey—whether online ads, email campaigns, or social media interactions—may have played critical roles in nurturing the consumer toward the final decision. This narrow focus on the last interaction undermines the effectiveness of multi-channel marketing strategies by misallocating resources to channels that are not necessarily the most impactful (Berman, 2018).

Similarly, first-click models assign full credit to the initial touchpoint, disregarding the subsequent engagements that often play a more decisive role in driving conversions (Alexandrovskiy and Trundova, 2022). The linear model, while more balanced, assumes that all interactions are equally important, which is rarely the case in reality (Ji, Wang and Zhang, 2016). This lack of nuance in traditional attribution models can lead to inefficiencies in budget allocation and a misunderstanding of how different channels contribute to overall marketing performance (Jordan et al., 2011).

The growing complexity of omnichannel marketing requires attribution methods that can account for the dynamic and interconnected nature of customer interactions (Dalessandro et al., 2012). Advanced models, such as the Markov chain or Shapley value, offer more accurate representations by considering the contribution of each channel in relation to others, thus providing more actionable insights for marketers (Dalessandro et al., 2012).

Impact on ROI Estimation

Relying on traditional attribution models, such as first-click, last-click, and linear, often leads to inaccurate ROI measurement (Mehta & Singhal, 2020). These models fail to capture the full picture of how different marketing touchpoints contribute to a conversion, which skews the calculation of return on investment (Berman, 2018). For instance, last-click attribution overestimates the impact of the final interaction in the customer journey, disregarding prior engagements that may have played a significant role. This can result in under- or overinvestment in certain channels, leading to suboptimal allocation of marketing resources (Mehta & Singhal, 2020).

A major consequence of this misallocation is that marketing teams may focus too heavily on channels with high last-click conversions but low overall influence (Berman, 2018). This approach can lead to diminishing returns, as resources are poured into channels that appear effective based on flawed attribution models but fail to drive sustainable long-term engagement (Deng et al., 2021). In contrast, underfunded channels that play a pivotal role earlier in the customer journey may be overlooked, reducing the effectiveness of the overall marketing strategy (Berman, 2018).

Moreover, inaccurate ROI estimation can lead to poor decision-making at the strategic level (Mehta & Singhal, 2020). Marketing executives rely on ROI data to make budget allocations, justify expenditures, and plan future campaigns. If these numbers are inaccurate due to improper attribution, it can hinder a company's ability to optimize their marketing spend and maximize profits (Berman, 2018). By failing to account for the full customer journey, traditional models contribute to inefficiencies in both spending and performance measurement (Dalessandro et al., 2012).

The Emergence of Advanced Multi-Touch Attribution Models

Modern Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models have evolved significantly from traditional rule-based approaches to more sophisticated methods that leverage machine learning and advanced analytics (Kumar, Gupta and Prasad, 2020). These data-driven attribution models assign credit to various touchpoints in a customer journey based on observed patterns in large datasets, thereby offering a more accurate representation of each channel's contribution to conversions (Beck, Peterson and Venkatesan, 2021). By utilizing machine learning algorithms, these models can dynamically adjust as they gather more data, refining attribution in real time (Berman, 2018). Algorithmic attribution models represent another breakthrough in marketing analytics, employing advanced statistical techniques to assign credit to marketing touchpoints (Bhatta, 2022). Unlike traditional models that rely on pre-set rules, algorithmic methods analyze historical conversion data to uncover patterns and predict future behavior (Bhatta, 2022; Mrad and Hnich, 2024). This leads to more precise ROI estimation, as each channel's influence on conversion is measured objectively, rather than based on arbitrary weights or assumptions (Dalessandro et al., 2012).

Furthermore, machine learning-driven attribution models allow marketers to uncover nonlinear relationships between touchpoints, recognizing that interactions are not isolated events but part of a broader customer journey (Johnsen, 2024). By using algorithms like Markov chains and Shapley value-based models, these systems can account for the interactions between different channels and provide more accurate credit distribution (Sinha et al., 2022). This approach significantly reduces the biases found in traditional models, leading to better optimization of marketing resources and more accurate assessments of campaign performance (Sinha et al., 2022).

Types of Data Used in Advanced Attribution Models

Advanced Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models rely on a wide range of data types to improve the accuracy of marketing ROI estimation. One of the primary data sources is first-party data, which includes information collected directly from a company's website, apps, and other owned platforms (Hosahally and Zaremba, 2023). This type of data is highly valuable because it offers granular insights into customer behaviors, such as clicks, page views, and purchases. By using first-party data, advanced MTA models can track users more accurately across their owned channels, enabling precise attribution to individual touchpoints (Zhang et al., 2022).

Third-party data also plays a crucial role in these models. This data, which is typically aggregated by external providers, helps marketers gain a broader understanding of consumer behaviors beyond their owned platforms (Mrad and Hnich, 2024). Third-party data can enhance the visibility of interactions that occur on external websites or ad networks, enriching the attribution process by adding touchpoints that would otherwise be missed (Deng et al., 2021).

Cross-device data is another critical component. With consumers frequently switching between devices, advanced MTA models use cross-device tracking to attribute conversions across mobile, desktop, and tablet interactions (Hosahally and Zaremba, 2023). This ensures that the entire customer journey is considered, rather than attributing conversions solely based on a single device's activity (Chakraborty, 2007). Furthermore, behavioral data provides additional depth to these models. This data captures not just actions but the intent behind them, such as time spent on a page or interactions with specific content (Ji, Wang and Zhang, 2016). By analyzing behavioral data, advanced MTA models can more effectively attribute credit to marketing efforts that drive engagement and conversion (Laatabi et al., 2021).

Applications of Advanced MTA Models in Optimizing Marketing ROI

Advanced Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models provide marketers with unparalleled insights into the customer journey by accurately attributing value across multiple touchpoints (Berman, 2018). These models use sophisticated algorithms to track and analyze each customer interaction, from the first engagement to the final conversion, allowing marketers to understand the entire customer journey in greater detail than traditional models ever could (Tao et al., 2023). By doing so, they enable a more accurate calculation of marketing ROI, leading to better decision-making in resource allocation (Shaikh & Prabhu, 2010).

Advanced MTA models, such as those powered by machine learning algorithms, can dynamically adjust their predictions as new data is fed into the system (Pattanayak, Pati and Singh, 2022). This enables marketers to allocate marketing budgets in real-time, ensuring that high-performing channels receive more investment while underperforming ones are optimized or de-prioritized (Pattanayak, Pati and Singh, 2022). This ability to optimize in real-time has proven to significantly enhance marketing ROI, as resources are directed towards the most effective touchpoints (Wang et al., 2019).

Moreover, advanced MTA models can reveal hidden synergies between different channels (Tao et al., 2023). For instance, a combination of display ads and social media might drive conversions more effectively than either channel on its own. By identifying these synergies, marketers can allocate their budgets more strategically, improving the overall efficiency of their marketing efforts (Berman, 2018). These insights allow for the design of more personalized marketing strategies, which further enhance customer engagement and, in turn, improve ROI (Peralta et al., 2023).

Ultimately, by enabling a more accurate understanding of how different marketing efforts contribute to conversions, advanced MTA models play a crucial role in optimizing marketing budgets and improving ROI (Zhou et al., 2014).

Case Studies of Successful Implementation

Several companies have successfully implemented advanced Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models to optimize their marketing ROI, demonstrating the practical benefits of these advanced analytical tools.

One notable case comes from a mobile application development project, where the integration of MTA methods was used to automate the analysis of model transformations Asztalos et al., 2010). This case illustrated the effectiveness of MTA in streamlining mobile app development processes by analyzing the customer journey across multiple touchpoints, leading to better marketing decisions and a more accurate ROI estimation (Asztalos et al., 2010).

Another successful implementation occurred in a television advertising campaign, where the application of user-based collaborative filtering and auction mechanisms was employed (Yang et al., 2020). By leveraging these advanced models, the company optimized their ad delivery, which significantly improved ROI through precise targeting and resource allocation across multiple touchpoints (Yang et al., 2020). The bidding transaction model enhanced the profits by estimating appropriate reserve prices for advertising positions, demonstrating the value of advanced MTA models in optimizing marketing spend (Yang et al., 2020).

In a Chilean retail company, the use of Siamese neural networks for uplift modeling showcased the power of advanced MTA models in driving personalization strategies (Peralta et al., 2023). By predicting customer responses more accurately, the company improved campaign effectiveness and marketing ROI. The advanced algorithms allowed the retailer to fine-tune their marketing strategies, providing better customer insights and ensuring that resources were allocated efficiently across multiple channels (Peralta et al., 2023).

These examples highlight how advanced MTA models help businesses optimize marketing budgets, improve customer targeting, and enhance the overall performance of their marketing efforts (Asztalos et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2020; Peralta et al., 2023).

Real-time Data Integration in MTA Models

Real-time data plays a crucial role in modern Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models by providing marketers with timely and actionable insights for optimizing marketing strategies (Pattanayak, Pati and Singh, 2022). Unlike traditional models that rely on static historical data, real-time data allows for dynamic updates, enabling businesses to react immediately to changing customer behaviors and market conditions (Ding et al., 2018). By integrating real-time data into MTA models, marketers can gain a clearer and more accurate understanding of how different touchpoints contribute to conversions at any given moment (Pattanayak, Pati and Singh, 2022).

One of the primary benefits of real-time data is its ability to provide continuous monitoring of customer interactions across multiple platforms, which is essential for maximizing marketing return on investment (ROI) (Lopez, 2023). This is particularly relevant in omnichannel marketing environments, where consumer behavior can shift rapidly. Real-time data ensures that MTA models remain up-to-date, reflecting the most current trends and customer journeys (ChandraPrabha & Lakshmi, 2023). This allows businesses to make informed adjustments to marketing campaigns, ensuring that resources are allocated to the most effective channels (ChandraPrabha & Lakshmi, 2023).

Moreover, real-time data enhances predictive accuracy in MTA models by enabling the use of advanced machine learning algorithms. These algorithms can dynamically learn from incoming data streams, refining their predictions and attributions based on real-world, real-time events (Ding et al., 2018). For example, real-time data integration allows for better identification of emerging patterns and anomalies, facilitating a more precise attribution of credit to marketing touchpoints (Ding et al., 2018).

Sources of Real-time Data in MTA Models

Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models are significantly enhanced by the integration of various real-time data sources, which provide granular insights into customer behaviors and interactions. One key source of real-time data is social media, where platforms like Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram provide continuous streams of user engagement data, including likes, shares, comments, and ad interactions (Lee et al., 2014). This real-time engagement allows marketers to capture shifts in consumer sentiment and adjust campaigns dynamically (Lee et al., 2014).

Internet of Things (IoT) devices are another important source of real-time data. IoT sensors and devices, such as wearable technology and smart home appliances, generate a vast amount of data that can inform MTA models (Lemmerz et al., 2023). By tracking customer behaviors and preferences through these devices, businesses can develop more precise attribution models that account for offline behaviors and their impact on online conversions (Lemmerz et al., 2023). Web analytics tools, such as Google Analytics, also offer real-time data on user behavior, including page views, session duration, and conversion paths (Ding et al., 2018). This allows MTA models to attribute credit to specific interactions on websites in real-time, helping marketers optimize digital touchpoints to improve conversions (Ding et al., 2018).

Furthermore, In-app data collected from mobile apps also plays a crucial role in real-time data collection. App interactions, such as downloads, in-app purchases, and session times, offer valuable insights into customer behavior (Vanlalchhuanawmi & Deb, 2023). By integrating in-app data into MTA models, marketers can track the entire customer journey within the app environment, leading to more accurate attribution (Vanlalchhuanawmi & Deb, 2023).

Technological Infrastructure for Real-time Data Integration

The technological infrastructure for real-time data integration is critical to enabling the seamless processing and analysis of data from various sources. One essential component is data lakes, which provide a centralized repository for storing vast amounts of structured and unstructured data (Sreepathy et al., 2024). These data lakes support real-time data ingestion and facilitate the integration of multiple data streams, such as social media and IoT devices (Djonov & Galabov, 2020). The flexibility of data lakes enables businesses to store raw data while also allowing for advanced analytics and machine learning applications to process this data in real-time (Djonov & Galabov, 2020).

Cloud platforms such as Amazon Web Services (AWS), Microsoft Azure, and Google Cloud provide the scalability needed to handle large volumes of real-time data (Xhepa and Kanakala, 2022). These platforms offer the computational power to process data in real-time, enabling organizations to perform analytics and derive insights from incoming data streams almost instantly (Xhepa and Kanakala, 2022). Cloud services also support the deployment of advanced algorithms that are crucial for refining multi-touch attribution models and improving decision-making processes (Zhang et al., 2010).

Finally, real-time analytics tools are indispensable for processing and visualizing data as it is generated. Tools such as Apache Kafka, Spark, and Hadoop are commonly used to handle real-time data streaming and analytics (Vyas, Tyagi and Sahu, 2021). These tools allow businesses to build pipelines that continuously ingest and process data, providing insights with minimal latency (Vyas, Tyagi and Sahu, 2021). By leveraging real-time analytics, organizations can track customer behaviors and interactions as they occur, improving the accuracy of attribution models and enabling more effective optimization of marketing strategies.

Challenges of Implementing Real-time Data in MTA Models

The implementation of real-time data in Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models presents several challenges, both technical and organizational. From a technical perspective, one of the primary challenges is managing the vast volume and velocity of real-time data streams (ChandraPrabha & Lakshmi, 2023). Processing high-frequency data in real-time requires robust infrastructure, including advanced cloud platforms and real-time analytics tools, which are often difficult to scale efficiently. This can lead to latency issues and reduced accuracy in attribution models (ChandraPrabha & Lakshmi, 2023).

Additionally, data drift—the change in data patterns over time—can negatively impact the performance of machine learning models used in MTA (Benczúr, Kocsis, and Pálovics, 2018). Continuous monitoring and retraining of these models are necessary to ensure accurate attributions, but doing so in real-time requires significant computational resources and expertise (Herzner et al., 2007).

On the organizational side, integrating real-time data into existing systems can be complicated (Guo et al., 2019). Many organizations operate with legacy systems that are not designed to handle real-time processing, necessitating costly upgrades. Furthermore, aligning real-time data strategies across departments can create silos, hindering the overall implementation process (Olama et al., 2014). Collaboration across marketing, IT, and data science teams is essential to ensure that real-time data is properly integrated into MTA models (Guo et al., 2019).

Data privacy concerns also present a significant challenge. Collecting and processing real-time data often involves handling sensitive customer information, making compliance with data protection regulations such as GDPR and CCPA crucial (Alom, 2024). Organizations must ensure that they have the necessary safeguards in place to protect customer data, which can add complexity to the implementation process (Muellerschoen & Caissy, 2004).

Impact of Real-time Data on ROI Optimization

Real-time data has revolutionized the ability of businesses to optimize return on investment (ROI) by enabling faster decision-making, improving customer targeting, and enhancing marketing spend efficiency (Lopez, 2023). The integration of real-time data allows companies to monitor marketing campaigns as they unfold, providing immediate feedback that can be used to adjust strategies on the fly (Jiang & Liu, 2018). This rapid responsiveness significantly reduces the time between campaign implementation and performance optimization, thereby improving ROI (Yip & Marlin, 2004).

In addition, real-time data enhances customer targeting by providing more accurate, up-to-date insights into consumer behaviors. Marketers can identify trends as they emerge, allowing for more precise targeting of ads and promotions based on current customer needs and preferences (Jiang & Liu, 2018). This dynamic approach leads to better customer engagement, higher conversion rates, and ultimately, more effective allocation of marketing budgets (Jiang & Liu, 2018).

Moreover, real-time optimization of marketing spend is possible due to the continuous flow of data. Businesses can track the performance of individual campaigns or channels in real-time, making it easier to shift resources to more effective channels, reducing wasteful spending (Serralunga et al., 2013). By optimizing campaigns with real-time insights, companies can achieve higher efficiency in their marketing efforts and generate a stronger ROI (Serralunga et al., 2013).

Personalization in Multi-Touch Attribution Models

Personalization has become a cornerstone of modern digital marketing, largely due to its ability to deliver highly relevant messages to consumers at the right time (Ponomarenko & Siabro, 2022). This relevance is crucial in an era where customers are bombarded with an overwhelming amount of information (Chandra et al., 2022). Personalization allows businesses to cut through the noise and provide tailored experiences that align with individual consumer preferences, thereby increasing engagement and fostering loyalty (Ponomarenko & Siabro, 2022).

One of the key benefits of personalization is its ability to reduce cognitive load on consumers (Chandra et al., 2022). When marketing messages are aligned with customer preferences, consumers are less likely to feel overwhelmed or fatigued by irrelevant ads. This leads to a more enjoyable shopping experience, which is likely to result in higher conversion rates (Ponomarenko & Siabro, 2022). Personalization also plays a significant role in reducing churn and improving long-term customer retention by consistently offering relevant products and services (Chandra et al., 2022).

Moreover, advancements in artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning have made personalization even more impactful (Kushnarevych & Kollárová, 2023). By analyzing vast amounts of customer data in real-time, AI-powered tools can predict consumer needs and deliver personalized content at the exact moment when customers are most likely to engage (Chandra et al., 2022). This has led to a shift in marketing strategies, with more businesses investing in personalized email campaigns, product recommendations, and dynamic website content to meet the expectations of modern consumers (Kushnarevych & Kollárová, 2023).

Integration of Personalization with MTA Models

Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models can significantly benefit from the integration of personalized data, enhancing the accuracy of attribution by providing deeper insights into customer interactions (Kumar, Gupta and Prasad, 2020). By leveraging personalized data, MTA models can attribute value more precisely across the entire customer journey, reflecting the unique experiences of each consumer (Pattanayak, Pati and Singh, 2022). This process allows businesses to understand the relative importance of different touchpoints in driving conversions, providing a clearer picture of how personalized marketing efforts contribute to the final outcome (Tao et al., 2023).

One of the key benefits of integrating personalized data into MTA models is the ability to tailor attribution models to individual customer behaviors (Pattanayak, Pati and Singh, 2022). Personalized data includes insights such as customer preferences, engagement patterns, and prior interactions with the brand. This level of detail allows MTA models to assess the contribution of each touchpoint in the context of the customer's unique journey, providing more accurate credit for interactions that may vary significantly across different users (Tao et al., 2023). As a result, businesses can allocate resources more effectively to the channels and strategies that have the greatest impact on specific segments of their audience (Tao et al., 2023).

Moreover, the use of personalized data in MTA models enhances the granularity of attribution (Ji and Wang, 2017). Rather than relying on a one-size-fits-all approach, these models can now differentiate between customers based on their individual characteristics and behavior patterns (Ji and Wang, 2017). This allows for more sophisticated analysis of which marketing efforts resonate most with different customer segments, improving the efficiency of marketing spend and the overall return on investment (ROI) (Tao et al., 2023).

Dynamic Segmentation and Real-time Personalization

Dynamic customer segmentation is crucial for enabling effective real-time personalization, particularly in today's fast-paced digital marketing landscape (Sabitha, 2024). By utilizing dynamic segmentation, marketers can group customers based on real-time behaviors, preferences, and interactions, allowing for more personalized and targeted marketing efforts (Sabitha, 2024). This segmentation is continuously updated, ensuring that marketing messages are always relevant and timely, which improves customer engagement and conversion rates (Hu & Zhong, 2005; Sabitha, 2024).

Incorporating dynamic segmentation into real-time personalization helps marketers better understand and influence touchpoint effectiveness (Desai, 2022). As customers engage with different channels—such as social media, email, or websites—dynamic segmentation helps to identify which interactions are most effective for each segment. For example, a customer who frequently engages with social media ads may be more responsive to targeted promotions on that platform, while another customer might prefer personalized email offers (Serrano-Malebrán & Arenas-Gaitán, 2021). This insight allows businesses to allocate marketing resources more efficiently, ensuring that the right message is delivered at the right time to the right audience (Serrano-Malebrán & Arenas-Gaitán, 2021).

Additionally, AI-powered tools play a significant role in enhancing dynamic segmentation by analyzing real-time data streams and continuously adjusting the segments based on new customer behaviors (Desai, 2022). These tools help marketers achieve hyper-personalization by refining segmentation at a granular level, which ensures that personalized messages are even more relevant and compelling to individual customers (Kushnarevych & Kollárová, 2023). The integration of AI-driven segmentation improves customer satisfaction and increases the likelihood of conversion, thereby boosting the effectiveness of each touchpoint (Kushnarevych & Kollárová, 2023).

Impact of Personalized Attribution Models on Customer Experience and ROI

Personalized attribution models significantly enhance customer experience by tailoring interactions and marketing efforts to individual preferences and behaviors (Leguina, Rumín and Rumín, 2020). By using personalized data in attribution models, businesses can create highly relevant and engaging customer journeys that resonate more with their audience, leading to improved satisfaction and loyalty (Halimi et al., 2011). For example, research shows that personalization not only boosts customer satisfaction but also strengthens commitment, which in turn drives customer loyalty. This positively impacts conversion rates and, consequently, marketing ROI (Halimi et al., 2011).

Personalized attribution models allow marketers to better understand which touchpoints are most influential for specific customer segments, leading to more accurate credit allocation across the entire customer journey (Kannan, Reinartz, and Verhoef, 2016). This ensures that marketing resources are focused on the touchpoints that matter most, optimizing the overall effectiveness of marketing strategies (Kannan, Reinartz, and Verhoef, 2016). The enhanced accuracy provided by personalized attribution models helps businesses allocate their marketing budgets more efficiently, improving ROI by focusing on interactions that drive conversions (Nijssen et al., 2016).

Moreover, the real-time personalization enabled by these models ensures that marketing messages are delivered at the most opportune moments in a customer’s journey, increasing the likelihood of conversion (Chandra et al., 2022). This level of precision is particularly important in today’s fast-paced digital marketing environment, where timing can make or break a sale (Kushnarevych & Kollárová, 2023). Personalized approaches also contribute to a stronger sense of relational value between customers and brands, deepening engagement and improving long-term profitability (Savadkoohi, 2012).

Case Studies of Companies Using Personalization in MTA Models

One notable example of leveraging personalization in Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models is the implementation of Model Trees for Personalization. This approach helps companies optimize personalized advertising through user behavior analysis, significantly improving bid landscape forecasting and, ultimately, ROI (Aouad et al., 2019). This methodology allows businesses to better predict which ads are likely to perform well, thus enhancing their targeting strategies and resource allocation (Aouad et al., 2019).

Another case study involves LCL-Le Crédit Lyonnais, which utilized advanced data warehousing solutions to integrate personalization tools into its marketing analysis (Favre et al., 2009). By focusing on user profiles, the company optimized local marketing requests and improved management decisions. This real-time personalization helped LCL tailor its marketing efforts more accurately, leading to better conversion rates and higher ROI (Favre et al., 2009).

Similarly, the SMARTFASI system leverages historical user activity to provide personalized asset investment recommendations (Leonardi et al., 2016). This system allows both private investors and professionals to make more informed decisions by employing case-based reasoning (Leonardi et al., 2016). Tailoring financial advisory processes based on individual user data not only enhances decision-making but also drives higher ROI for investors and financial firms alike (Leonardi et al., 2016).

These examples illustrate how companies can successfully implement personalized MTA models to drive better marketing results, optimize ROI, and enhance the customer experience (Favre et al., 2009; Aouad et al., 2019; Leonardi et al., 2016).

Comparative Analysis: Traditional Attribution vs. Real-time and Personalized MTA Models

Performance Comparison

Traditional Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models, which often rely on static, rule-based approaches like first-click or last-click attribution, tend to struggle with accurately capturing the complexity of the customer journey (Berman, 2018). These models lack the flexibility to adapt in real-time, leading to less precise ROI estimations and inefficient resource allocation (Zhang et al., 2014). By contrast, real-time MTA models powered by machine learning algorithms can dynamically adjust to incoming data, offering a more granular view of how different touchpoints contribute to conversions (Yang et al., 2021). This results in improved accuracy and faster adaptation to changes in consumer behavior (Yang et al., 2021).

In terms of efficiency, traditional models are often limited by their reliance on historical data and static assumptions, which can result in delayed decision-making (Zhang et al., 2014). Real-time models, however, enable marketers to act swiftly on the latest data, optimizing campaigns on the fly. This dynamic capability allows for more efficient budget allocation, ensuring that marketing efforts are focused on channels that are driving actual conversions rather than assumptions based on outdated models (Eismann et al., 2019). Research have shown that real-time models reduce latency in performance analysis, leading to faster decision-making and better outcomes (Eismann et al., 2019).

When it comes to ROI optimization, real-time MTA models outperform traditional models by providing more accurate insights into customer behavior. Traditional models often overestimate or underestimate the value of certain channels, leading to poor budget distribution. In contrast, real-time models allow businesses to continuously refine their marketing strategies, optimizing ROI by focusing on the most impactful touchpoints at any given time (Xiao et al., 2021).

Impact on Marketing Strategy and Budget Allocation

Attribution models play a critical role in shaping marketing strategies and budget allocation across channels (Sinha et al., 2022). Traditional models such as first-click and last-click attribution tend to oversimplify the customer journey by focusing on single touchpoints (Berman, 2018). This can lead to suboptimal budget allocation, as marketing resources may be disproportionately funneled into the last or first channel a customer interacts with, neglecting the influence of other important touchpoints (Sinha et al., 2022).

In contrast, real-time and data-driven attribution models allow marketers to distribute their budgets more effectively by providing insights into how multiple touchpoints contribute to conversions (Yang et al., 2021). These models analyze customer interactions across various channels, attributing value to each interaction based on its actual impact on the buyer's journey (Sinha et al., 2022). As a result, companies can allocate resources to the channels that truly drive conversions, optimizing their overall marketing spend (Morărescu et al., 2020).

Moreover, advanced models can help marketers understand the temporal dynamics of their campaigns, allowing them to allocate budgets based on when and where customer engagement is most likely to occur (Sridhar et al., 2011). For instance, campaigns may need a large initial investment to build awareness, followed by more targeted spending as customers move closer to conversion (Morărescu et al., 2020). This kind of dynamic budget allocation improves the effectiveness of campaigns and maximizes ROI by ensuring that resources are used strategically throughout the customer journey (Sridhar et al., 2011).

Challenges and Trade-offs in Implementing Advanced MTA Models

Transitioning from traditional rule-based attribution models to advanced real-time, personalized Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models involves significant trade-offs related to complexity, cost, and performance (Zhang et al., 2014). Traditional models, such as last-click or first-click attribution, are easy to implement and cost-effective, but they fail to account for the entire customer journey (Zhang et al., 2014). These models often lead to inaccurate credit assignment across touchpoints, thus providing skewed insights for budget allocation (Zhang et al., 2014).
In contrast, advanced data-driven MTA models offer more accurate insights by analyzing the contribution of each touchpoint across the customer journey (Berman, 2018). However, this increased accuracy comes at a cost. The complexity of these models, particularly when incorporating real-time data and personalized information, demands robust technical infrastructure and higher computational power (Sun & Sundararajan, 2011). Additionally, advanced MTA models often require the integration of machine learning algorithms to process vast amounts of customer data, which can increase the cost of both implementation and maintenance (Sun & Sundararajan, 2011).

Performance trade-offs also arise when moving to advanced MTA models. While the accuracy and granularity of insights are improved, the time and resources needed to maintain these models are significantly higher (Zhang et al., 2014). Real-time MTA models require continuous data updates and retraining, which can strain both computational resources and organizational processes (Sun & Sundararajan, 2011). Moreover, the real-time aspect of advanced models is highly dependent on the quality and freshness of the data, meaning that poor data management can lead to inefficiencies or inaccurate predictions (Zhang et al., 2014).

Challenges and Ethical Considerations of Using MTA Models

Data Privacy and Regulatory Challenges

Data privacy regulations such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) have introduced significant challenges for the use of Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models, especially when dealing with personal and real-time data (Alom, 2024). These regulations mandate that companies obtain explicit consent from users before collecting and processing their personal data (Alom, 2024). This requirement affects MTA models, which rely on large datasets to track customer journeys and attribute value across touchpoints. Failure to obtain consent or handle data in compliance with these regulations can result in significant penalties (Jha et al., 2024).

A major challenge is balancing the need for granular, real-time data with privacy concerns. MTA models typically depend on detailed consumer data, including location, behavior, and purchase history, to provide accurate attribution (Quan et al., 2015). However, under GDPR and CCPA, such data must be anonymized or aggregated to protect individual privacy. This can reduce the accuracy of attribution models, leading to less effective marketing strategies (Quan et al., 2015).

Furthermore, privacy-preserving techniques such as differential privacy and multi-party computation (MPC) have been proposed to mitigate these challenges. These methods allow companies to analyze consumer data while minimizing the risk of exposing personally identifiable information (Agahari et al., 2022). However, implementing these technologies can be complex and costly, and they often introduce trade-offs between data utility and privacy protection (Agahari et al., 2022).

Bias and Transparency in Algorithmic Attribution

AI-driven attribution models are prone to biases that can skew marketing decisions, as these algorithms often reflect the biases inherent in the training data. One source of bias in these models is historical data that may encode past inequalities, leading to unfair or inaccurate attributions across different customer segments (Roselli et al., 2019). Such biases can result in marketing strategies that favor certain groups over others, affecting both customer experience and ROI (Roselli et al., 2019). These challenges necessitate transparency in how attribution decisions are made to foster trust and accountability in AI systems (Roselli et al., 2019).

To mitigate these biases, researchers emphasize the importance of explainable AI (XAI) frameworks. Explainability is critical because it allows marketers and stakeholders to understand how attribution decisions are made, providing insights into which touchpoints are valued most in the customer journey (Ratul et al., 2021). For example, SHAP (Shapley Additive exPlanations) and LIME (Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations) are widely used to enhance transparency, offering users a clear view of the decision-making process. These methods provide explanations of how much each feature contributes to the outcome, helping identify any potential biases (Ratul et al., 2021).

Ultimately, ensuring transparency in AI-driven attribution models is crucial for maintaining trust. Regular audits of AI systems, combined with robust attribution frameworks, can help detect biases early and improve the overall fairness and reliability of marketing attributions (Ha & Kim, 2023).

Balancing Personalization and Consumer Privacy
The use of personalized data in Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models presents significant ethical challenges, particularly concerning consumer consent and data privacy. Personalization requires access to extensive personal data, such as browsing history, location, and preferences, which can raise concerns about how this data is collected, shared, and used (Chen et al., 2021). Under privacy regulations like the GDPR and CCPA, companies are required to obtain explicit consent from users before collecting their personal data, adding complexity to personalization efforts (Chen et al., 2021).

One key ethical issue is the trade-off between personalization benefits and privacy concerns (Chen et al., 2021). Consumers often desire personalized experiences, but they also want to protect their personal data. This creates a paradox where individuals may value the convenience of personalization but are reluctant to share the data required to facilitate it (Wadle et al., 2019). This tension is further complicated when consumers feel that their data is being used without sufficient transparency or control (Wadle et al., 2019).

Moreover, balancing data sharing and consumer protection is critical. Many companies struggle to find the right balance between using personal data for marketing effectiveness and respecting user privacy rights (McGuigan et al., 2023). Strategies such as anonymization, pseudonymization, and giving users greater control over their data can help mitigate privacy concerns while still enabling effective personalization (McGuigan et al., 2023).

Technical Challenges and Organizational Readiness
Adopting advanced Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models presents several technical and organizational challenges for companies. One of the primary obstacles is data integration. MTA models require the aggregation of data from multiple sources, such as CRM systems, ad platforms, and web analytics tools, which can be complex and resource-intensive (Olama et al., 2014). The integration process demands robust infrastructure and skilled personnel to ensure that data from various channels is properly aligned and processed in real-time (Olama et al., 2014).

Additionally, skill requirements pose a significant challenge. Implementing and maintaining advanced MTA models necessitates a workforce with expertise in data science, machine learning, and marketing analytics (Boakye & Buabeng, 2015). Many organizations face a shortage of these specialized skills, requiring investment in training or the hiring of external experts to manage and optimize MTA systems (Boakye & Buabeng, 2015).

Moreover, the investment in infrastructure is substantial. Advanced MTA models rely on cloud computing platforms and real-time data analytics tools, which can be costly to implement and maintain (Zhang et al., 2010; Xhepa and Kanakala, 2022). Companies must allocate significant resources to ensure that they have the technological capabilities to support these systems, which may involve upgrading existing IT infrastructure or investing in new technologies (Olama et al., 2014).

Future Trends in Multi-Touch Attribution and Marketing ROI Optimization
The Rise of AI and Machine Learning in Attribution Models
AI and machine learning technologies are expected to revolutionize Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models by enhancing their accuracy and automating real-time personalization (Zhang et al., 2014). Machine learning algorithms can analyze vast amounts of customer data,

including behavioral and transactional patterns, to dynamically adjust attribution weights in real time (Kadyrov & Ignatov, 2019). This helps marketers allocate resources more effectively and create personalized marketing strategies that better resonate with their target audiences (Zhang et al., 2014).

A key benefit of AI-driven MTA models is the ability to automate real-time personalization (Desai, 2022). These models leverage predictive analytics to anticipate customer behavior, delivering tailored marketing messages at the most opportune moments (Kadyrov & Ignatov, 2019). By incorporating machine learning, MTA models not only track the effectiveness of various marketing touchpoints but also optimize customer interactions based on personalized insights. This automation reduces manual efforts and improves overall marketing efficiency (Kadyrov & Ignatov, 2019).

Additionally, AI-driven models can continuously learn from incoming data, refining their predictions over time (Ratul et al., 2021). This ability to process and react to real-time data streams allows for a more dynamic and adaptable approach to attribution, significantly improving the ROI of marketing campaigns by ensuring that each touchpoint receives the appropriate value in driving conversions (Ratul et al., 2021).

Cross-device and Omnichannel Attribution

The growing importance of cross-device attribution is evident in the way consumers engage with multiple channels and devices throughout their purchasing journey. Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models must evolve to account for this complexity, as consumers now frequently switch between devices—such as smartphones, desktops, and tablets—before making a purchase (Méndez-Suárez & Monfort, 2021). This fluidity makes it challenging to accurately attribute conversions to the appropriate marketing channels (Méndez-Suárez & Monfort, 2021).

Real-time, omnichannel MTA models offer a solution by incorporating data from all customer interactions, regardless of the device or channel used (Tao et al., 2023). By utilizing advanced frameworks, such as graphical point process models, MTA can allocate conversion credit more effectively by examining the removal effects of specific touchpoints across the entire journey (Tao et al., 2023). These models provide a more comprehensive understanding of the consumer's path to purchase, ensuring that every interaction, whether online or offline, is captured and valued accordingly (Tao et al., 2023).

Moreover, the application of multilinear attribution functions helps to resolve attribution issues across omnichannel environments. These functions allow marketers to distribute attribution values among multiple touchpoints accurately, considering the interdependence of various marketing activities (Sun & Sundararajan, 2011). As customer journeys become increasingly complex, evolving MTA models to account for cross-device and omnichannel behavior is essential for accurate ROI measurement.

Integration with Emerging Technologies: Blockchain and IoT

Emerging technologies like blockchain and Internet of Things (IoT) are set to significantly enhance the performance of Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models by improving transparency, security, and accuracy. Blockchain technology, with its decentralized and immutable ledger, ensures that all interactions and data related to customer touchpoints are securely recorded and cannot be altered (Cui et al., 2021). This feature enhances trust and transparency by providing a clear, auditable trail of marketing interactions, which is especially important for attributing value across multiple channels and devices (Cui et al., 2021). The ability to trace every interaction ensures data integrity and reduces the risk of fraudulent attribution (Mansfield-Devine, 2008).

In addition, IoT technology can greatly contribute to the accuracy of MTA models by expanding the range of data sources. IoT devices, such as smartwatches, voice assistants, and connected appliances, generate real-time data that can be integrated into attribution models (Spagnuelo et al., 2019). This increases the granularity of data available for analysis, enabling marketers to attribute conversions to more precise customer behaviors across both digital and physical touchpoints (Spagnuelo et al., 2019).Thus, MTA models can better reflect the full spectrum of the customer journey by incorporating data from IoT devices.

As these technologies become more widely adopted, MTA models will benefit from enhanced data transparency and security, making them more reliable and precise in measuring the effectiveness of marketing strategies.

Predictions for the Future of Marketing ROI Optimization

The evolution of Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models, driven by advancements in AI and machine learning, is expected to revolutionize future marketing strategies and ROI optimization efforts. One critical area of development is the integration of machine learning algorithms that can dynamically adjust attribution weights based on real-time customer data (Jiang et al., 2014). This capability will enable marketers to allocate budgets more effectively across multiple channels, improving the overall ROI by reducing inefficiencies (Jiang et al., 2014).

Furthermore, personalized attribution models will become increasingly essential in tailoring marketing strategies to individual consumer behaviors, enhancing both engagement and conversion rates (Takahashi et al., 2014). As personalization continues to drive customer satisfaction, the predictive accuracy of these models will allow marketers to better anticipate future behaviors and optimize campaigns accordingly (Takahashi et al., 2014).

In addition, cross-channel and omnichannel attribution will gain more prominence as customer journeys become increasingly complex, involving multiple touchpoints across devices and platforms (Méndez-Suárez & Monfort, 2021). This shift will necessitate the adoption of more sophisticated MTA models that can accurately track and attribute value across these interactions, ensuring marketers can fine-tune their strategies to maximize ROI (Méndez-Suárez & Monfort, 2021).

CONCLUSION

This article provides a comparative analysis of traditional attribution models and real-time, personalized Multi-Touch Attribution (MTA) models, highlighting their impact on marketing strategies and ROI optimization. Traditional models, such as first-click and last-click attribution, are simple and cost-effective but often fail to capture the complexity of modern customer journeys. They tend to overemphasize single touchpoints, leading to inaccurate attribution and inefficient budget allocation.

In contrast, real-time and personalized MTA models use machine learning and advanced analytics to dynamically assign credit across multiple touchpoints. These models provide more accurate insights into consumer behavior, enabling marketers to make data-driven decisions in real time. By incorporating real-time personalization and cross-device tracking, these advanced models enhance both customer targeting and conversion rates.

While the advanced MTA models offer better accuracy and ROI optimization, they come with challenges such as increased complexity, higher costs, and greater technical infrastructure requirements. However, the integration of emerging technologies like AI, IoT, and blockchain is expected to further improve transparency, security, and performance in MTA models, making them essential for future marketing strategies.

For marketers, adopting advanced MTA models offers significant advantages in terms of optimizing ROI and improving campaign effectiveness. These models provide a more comprehensive view of the customer journey, allowing marketers to allocate budgets more efficiently across channels. Real-time and personalized data-driven MTA models enable marketers to make faster, more informed decisions, tailoring campaigns to individual customer behaviors and maximizing engagement and conversions. The adoption of these models also allows for better forecasting and more dynamic budget allocation, ultimately leading to stronger marketing performance. For data scientists, the shift to advanced MTA models requires technical expertise in machine learning, data integration, and real-time analytics. Ensuring the accuracy and efficiency of these models depends on the seamless integration of data from multiple channels, along with the implementation of AI algorithms capable of adjusting to real-time inputs. Data scientists must also address the ethical challenges associated with handling large volumes of personal data, including ensuring compliance with privacy regulations like GDPR and CCPA. By collaborating closely with marketers, data scientists play a crucial role in enabling the successful adoption and maintenance of these models, ensuring they deliver actionable insights and optimize marketing ROI.

Future research in MTA models should focus on improving model transparency to build trust and enable better understanding of how AI-driven decisions are made. This includes developing more explainable AI (XAI) frameworks that allow marketers and stakeholders to interpret attribution outcomes and identify potential biases in the models. Techniques like SHAP (Shapley Additive exPlanations) and LIME (Local Interpretable Model-Agnostic Explanations) should be further explored for their ability to provide insights into the decision-making process of complex attribution models.

Addressing ethical challenges is another critical area for future research, particularly as data privacy regulations like GDPR and CCPA continue to evolve. Researchers should explore how MTA models can comply with privacy laws while still delivering accurate and personalized marketing insights. This may include advancements in privacy-preserving technologies such as differential privacy and federated learning, which allow for data analysis without directly accessing sensitive consumer information.

Finally, enhancing personalization without compromising consumer privacy is a growing challenge. Future research should investigate methods to balance hyper-personalization with data protection, focusing on anonymization techniques and the development of privacy-aware AI algorithms. These innovations will be crucial in enabling businesses to deliver targeted, effective marketing campaigns while respecting consumer privacy rights.

REFERENCES

[1] Agahari, W., Ofe, H. and de Reuver, M. (2022) 'It is not (only) about privacy: How multi-party computation redefines control, trust, and risk in data sharing', *Electronic Markets*, 33(1), pp. 1-12. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12525-022-00572-w.

[2] Alom, N.B., 2024. A comprehensive analysis of customer behavior analytics, privacy concerns, and data protection regulations in the era of big data and machine learning. *International Journal of Applied Machine Learning and Computational Intelligence*, *14*(5), pp.21-40.

[3] Aouad, A., Elmachtoub, A.N., Ferreira, K. and McNellis, R. (2019) 'Model Trees for Personalization', *SSRN Electronic Journal*, 4 June, pp. 1-10. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4356088.

[4] Asztalos, M., Madari, I. and Lengyel, L. (2010) 'Towards Formal Analysis of Multi-paradigm Model Transformations', *Simulation*, 86(7), pp. 456-467. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0037549709343545.

[5] Beck, B.B., Petersen, J.A. and Venkatesan, R., 2021. Multichannel data-driven attribution models: A review and research agenda. *Marketing Accountability for Marketing and Non-marketing Outcomes*, *18*, pp.153-189.

[6] Benczúr, A.A., Kocsis, L. and Pálovics, R., 2018. Online machine learning in big data streams. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.05872*.

[7] Berman, R. (2018) 'Beyond the Last Touch: Attribution in Online Advertising', *Marketing Science*, 37(5), pp. 593-612. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1287/mksc.2018.1104.

[8] Bhatta, I., 2022. *Optimizing Marketing Channel Attribution for B2B and B2C with Machine Learning Based Lead Scoring Model*. Capitol Technology University.

[9] Bidve, V., Nair, S., Sarasu, P., Kedia, S. and Tamkhade, J. (2023) 'Efficient Ad Placement Using Data Mining and Optimization', *Indonesian Journal of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science*, 32(1), pp. 563-570. Available at: https://doi.org/10.11591/ijeecs.v32.i1.pp563-570.

[10] Boakye, C. and Buabeng, I. (2015) 'Introducing Teachers to PowerPoint Presentation in a Technologically Challenging Environment: Action Research', *Journal of Science Education*, 5(2), pp. 1-12. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10956-004-3622-8.

[11] Chakraborty, B. (2007) 'Feature Selection and Classification Techniques for Multivariate Time Series', *Proceedings of the International*

Conference on Innovative Computing, Information and Control, pp. 45-53. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICICIC.2007.309.

[12] Chandra, S., Verma, S., Lim, W.M., Kumar, S. and Donthu, N. (2022) 'Personalization in personalized marketing: Trends and ways forward', *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 39(4), pp. 87-95. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/mar.21670.

[13] ChandraPrabha, S. and Lakshmi, S.K. (2023) 'Data Analysis and Machine Learning-based Modeling for Real-time Production', *Scientific Temper*, 14(2), pp. 102-109. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.58414/scientifictemper.2023.14.2.22.

[14] Chen, X., Sun, J. and Liu, H. (2021) 'Balancing web personalization and consumer privacy concerns: Mechanisms of consumer trust and reactance', *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 20(5), pp. 1127-1139. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/CB.1947.

[15] Cui, T.H., Ghose, A., Halaburda, H., Iyengar, R., Pauwels, K., Sriram, S., Tucker, C. and Venkataraman, S., 2021. Informational challenges in omnichannel marketing: Remedies and future research. *Journal of marketing*, *85*(1), pp.103-120.

[16] Dalessandro, B., Perlich, C., Stitelman, O. and Provost, F. (2012) 'Causally Motivated Attribution for Online Advertising', *Proceedings of the 21st ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management*, pp. 7-15. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2351356.2351363.

[17] Deng, H., Zou, N., Du, M., Chen, W., Feng, G.-C. and Hu, X. (2021) 'A General Taylor Framework for Unifying and Revisiting Attribution Methods', *arXiv preprint*. Available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.13841.

[18] Deng, J., Chen, X., Jiang, R., Song, X. and Tsang, I. (2021) 'A Multi-View Multi-Task Learning Framework for Multi-Variate Time Series Forecasting', *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TKDE.2022.3218803.

[19] Desai, D., 2022. Hyper-personalization: an AI-enabled personalization for customer-centric marketing. In *Adoption and Implementation of AI in Customer Relationship Management* (pp. 40-53). IGI Global.

[20] Ding, N., Gao, H., Bu, H. and Ma, H. (2018) 'RADM: Real-time Anomaly Detection in Multivariate Time Series Based on Bayesian Network', *2018 IEEE International Conference on Smart Internet of Things (SmartIoT)*, pp. 82-89. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/SMARTIOT.2018.00-13.

[21] Djonov, M. and Galabov, M. (2020) 'Real-time data integration AWS Infrastructure for Digital Twin', *Proceedings of the 2020 International Conference on Industrial IoT, Big Data and Supply Chain (IIoTBDSC)*, pp. 1-6. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3407982.3407994.

[22] Eismann, S., Grohmann, J., Walter, J., Kistowski, J.V. and Kounev, S. (2019) 'Integrating Statistical Response Time Models in Architectural Performance Models', *IEEE International Conference on Software Architecture*, pp. 120-129. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICSA.2019.00016.

[23] Erion, G., Janizek, J.D., Sturmfels, P., Lundberg, S.M. and Lee, S.-I. (2019) 'Learning Explainable Models Using Attribution Priors', *arXiv preprint*. Available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.10670.

[24] Favre, C., Rougie, M., Bentayeb, F. and Boussaid, O. (2009) 'Gestion et analyse personnalisées des demandes marketing. Cas de LCL-Le Crédit Lyonnais', *Ingénierie des Systèmes d'Information*, 14(3), pp. 119-139. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3166/isi.14.3.119-139.

[25] Guo, W., Chang, R. and Li, B. (2019) 'Design of Real-time Data Access Scheme Based on Microservice Grid Operation', *Proceedings of the 2019 International Conference on Computing and Information Systems*, pp. 47-52. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.12783/dteees/iccis2019/31706.

[26] Ha, T. and Kim, S. (2023) 'Improving Trust in AI with Mitigating Confirmation Bias: Effects of Explanation Type and Debiasing Strategy for Decision-Making with Explainable AI', *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, pp. 1-9. Available at:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2023.2285640.

[27] Halimi, A.B., Chavosh, A., Namdar, J., Espahbodi, S. and Esferjani, P.S. (2011) 'The Contribution of Personalization to Customers' Loyalty Across the Bank Industry in Sweden', *Journal of Service Management*, 21(2), pp. 124-135. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-08-2015-0233.

[28] Herzner, W., Schlick, R., Le Guennec, A. and Martin, B. (2007) 'Model-Based Simulation of Distributed Real-time Applications', *IEEE International Conference on Industrial Informatics*, pp. 320-325. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/INDIN.2007.4384909.

[29] Hosahally, S. and Zaremba, A., 2023. A decision-making characteristics framework for marketing attribution in practice: Improving empirical procedures. *Journal of Digital & Social Media Marketing*, *11*(1), pp.89-100.

[30] Hu, J. and Zhong, N. (2005) 'Behavior-based online customer segmentation', *Proceedings of the Sixth IEEE International Conference on Advanced Data Mining and Applications*, pp. 230-235. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/AMT.2005.1505294.

[31] Inoue, A. (2010) 'Marketing Communication Strategy and Marketing ROI under Cross-Media Environment', in *Proceedings of the International Conference on e-Business and Information*. ICEBI. Atlantis Press, pp. 10-15. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2991/ICEBI.2010.10.

[32] Jha, N., Trevisan, M., Mellia, M., Fernandez, D. and Irarrazaval, R. (2024) 'Privacy Policies and Consent Management Platforms: Growth and Users' Interactions over Time', *arXiv preprint*. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2402.18321.

[33] Ji, W. and Wang, X., 2017, February. Additional multi-touch attribution for online advertising. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence* (Vol. 31, No. 1).

[34] Ji, W., Wang, X. and Zhang, D., 2016, October. A probabilistic multi-touch attribution model for online advertising. In *Proceedings of the 25th acm international on conference on information and knowledge management* (pp. 1373-1382).

[35] Jiang, Y., Shang, J. and Yildirim, P. (2014) 'Optimizing Online Promotion Planning: A Multi-Objective, Multi-Market, Multi-Period Approach', *SSRN Electronic Journal*. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2713049.

[36] Jiang, Z. and Liu, K. (2018) 'Real-time interpretation and optimization of time series data stream in big data', *Proceedings of the 2018 International Conference on Cloud Computing and Big Data Analytics*, pp. 231-238. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICCCBDA.2018.8386520.

[37] Johnsen, M., 2024. *AI in Digital Marketing*. Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co KG.

[38] Jordan, P.R., Mahdian, M., Vassilvitskii, S. and Vee, E. (2011) 'The Multiple Attribution Problem in Pay-Per-Conversion Advertising', *Algorithmic Game Theory*, 5(1), pp. 80-92. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-24829-0_5.

[39] Kadyrov, T. and Ignatov, D. (2019) 'Attribution of Customers' Actions Based on Machine Learning Approach', *arXiv preprint*. Available at: https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2311.06192.

[40] Kannan, P.K., Reinartz, W. and Verhoef, P.C., 2016. The path to purchase and attribution modeling: Introduction to special section. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, *33*(3), pp.449-456.

[41] Kirievsky, L. and Kirievsky, A. (2004) 'Attribution Analysis: Issues Old and New', *Social Science Research Network*. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.632943.

[42] Kumar, S., Gupta, G., Prasad, R., Chatterjee, A., Vig, L. and Shroff, G., 2020, November. Camta: Causal attention model for multi-touch attribution. In *2020 International Conference on Data Mining Workshops (ICDMW)* (pp. 79-86). IEEE.

[43] Kushnarevych, A. and Kollárová, D. (2023) 'Development of Artificial Intelligence as a Breakthrough for Personalization in Marketing', *2023 IEEE Conference on Electrical and Computer Engineering*, pp. 321-329. Available at:

https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICECCME57830.2023.10252550.
[44] Laatabi, A., Bécu, N., Marilleau, N., Pignon-Mussaud, C., Amalric, M., Bertin, X., Anselme, B. and Beck, E. (2021) 'Mapping and Describing Geospatial Data to Generalize Complex Models: The Case of LittoSIM-GEN', *arXiv preprint*. Available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.07523.
[45] Lee, G., Matsunaga, A.M., Dura-Bernal, S., Zhang, W., Lytton, W., Francis, J. and Fortes, J. (2014) 'Towards real-time communication between in vivo neurophysiological data sources and simulator-based brain biomimetic models', *Computational Surgery*, 1(3), pp. 1-10. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s40244-014-0012-3.
[46] Lemmerz, T., Herlé, S. and Blankenbach, J. (2023) 'Geostatistics on Real-Time Geodata Streams—High-Frequent Dynamic Autocorrelation with an Extended Spatiotemporal Moran's I Index', *International Journal of Geo-Information*, 12(9), pp. 1-20. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijgi12090350.
[47] Lenskold, J.D. (2003) *Marketing ROI: The Path to Campaign, Customer, and Corporate Profitability*. New York: McGraw-Hill. Available at
[48] Leonardi, G., Portinale, L., Artusio, P. and Valsania, M. (2016) 'Recommending Personalized Asset Investments through Case-Based Reasoning: The SMARTFASI System', *Proceedings of the 2016 IEEE International Conference on Tools with Artificial Intelligence*, pp. 126-133. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1109/ICTAI.2016.0126.
[49] Lopez, S., 2023. Optimizing Marketing ROI with Predictive Analytics: Harnessing Big Data and AI for Data-Driven Decision Making. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, *3*(2), pp.9-36.
[50] Mansfield-Devine, S. (2008) 'Open source: does transparency lead to security?', *Network Security*, 9, pp. 4-9. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1361-3723(08)70137-4.
[51] McGuigan, L., Sivan-Sevilla, I., Parham, P. and Shvartzshnaider, Y. (2023) 'Private attributes: The meanings and mechanisms of "privacy-preserving" adtech', *New Media & Society*. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/14614448231213267.
[52] Mehta, K. and Singhal, E. (2020) 'Marketing Channel Attribution Modelling: Markov Chain Analysis', *International Journal of Indian Culture and Business Management*, 14(3), pp. 279-294. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1504/ijicbm.2020.10027991.
[53] Méndez-Suárez, M. and Monfort, A. (2021) 'Marketing Attribution in Omnichannel Retailing', *Marketing Science and Digital Analytics*, pp. 275-295. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-76935-2_14.
[54] Morărescu, I., Varma, V., Buşoniu, L. and Lasaulce, S. (2020) 'Space-time budget allocation policy design for viral marketing', *Nonlinear Analysis: Hybrid Systems*, 38, pp. 1-12. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nahs.2020.100899.
[55] Mrad, A.B. and Hnich, B., 2024. Intelligent attribution modeling for enhanced digital marketing performance. *Intelligent Systems with Applications*, *21*, p.200337.
[56] Muellerschoen, R. and Caissy, M. (2004) 'Real-time data flow and product generating for GNSS', *International Conference on Space Technology*, pp. 83-92. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.4108/ICST.SIMUTOOLS2010.8676.
[57] Nijssen, E., Schepers, J. and Belanche, D. (2016) 'Why did they do it? How customers' self-service technology introduction attributions affect the customer-provider relationship', *Journal of Service Management*, 23(4), pp. 360-375. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-08-2015-0233.
[58] Oklander, M., Oklander, T., Yashkina, O., Pedko, I., and Chaikovska, M. (2018) 'Analysis of Technological Innovations in Digital Marketing', *Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies*, 10(9), pp. 25-35. Available at: https://doi.org/10.15587/1729-4061.2018.143956.
[59] Olama, M., McNair, A.W., Sukumar, S. and Nutaro, J. (2014) 'A qualitative readiness-requirements assessment model for enterprise big-data infrastructure investment', *Proceedings*

of SPIE, 9121. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1117/12.2050605.

[60] Pattanayak, S., Pati, P.B. and Singh, T., 2022, May. Performance Analysis of Machine Learning Algorithms on Multi-Touch Attribution Model. In *2022 3rd International Conference for Emerging Technology (INCET)* (pp. 1-7). IEEE.

[61] Peralta, B., López, M., Ruiz, J., Nicolis, O. and Caro, L. (2023) 'Uplift Modelling Applied to a Chilean Retail Company with Siamese Neural Networks', *2023 IEEE Artificial Intelligence Conference*. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/AIC57670.2023.10263841.

[62] Ponomarenko, I. and Siabro, S. (2022) 'Peculiarities of using personalized marketing in the conditions of digitalization', *Scientific Journal*, 12(172), pp. 102-110. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.32782/2224-6282/172-9.

[63] Quan, D., Yin, L. and Guo, Y. (2015) 'Enhancing the Trajectory Privacy with Laplace Mechanism', *2015 IEEE International Conference on Trust, Security and Privacy in Computing and Communications*, pp. 157-164. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/Trustcom.2015.508.

[64] Ratul, Q.E.A., Serra, E. and Cuzzocrea, A. (2021) 'Evaluating Attribution Methods in Machine Learning Interpretability', *IEEE International Conference on Big Data*, pp. 1-9. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/BigData52589.2021.9671501.

[65] Roselli, D., Matthews, J.N. and Talagala, N. (2019) 'Managing Bias in AI', *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, pp. 60-68. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3308560.3317590.

[66] Sabitha, J., 2024. AI-Driven Customer Segmentation And Personalization. *Zibaldone Estudios italianos*, *11*(2), pp.1-20.

[67] Savadkoohi, F. (2012) 'Personalized Online Promotions: Long-Term Impacts on Customer Behavior', *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 27(4), pp. 345-354. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-08-2015-0233.

[68] Serralunga, F., Mussati, M. and Aguirre, P. (2013) 'Model Adaptation for Real-Time Optimization in Energy Systems', *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research*, 52(47), pp. 16867-16874. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/IE303621J.

[69] Serrano-Malebrán, J. and Arenas-Gaitán, J. (2021) 'When does personalization work on social media? a posteriori segmentation of consumers', *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, 80(16), pp. 23467-23489. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11042-021-11303-2.

[70] Shaikh, N.I. and Prabhu, V. (2010) 'Estimating and Tracking Marketing Effectiveness Using Adaptive High Fidelity Models', *Social Science Research Network*. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3122008.

[71] Sinha, R., Arbour, D. and Puli, A. (2022) 'Bayesian Modeling of Marketing Attribution', *arXiv preprint*. Available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.15965.

[72] Spagnuelo, D., Ferreira, A. and Lenzini, G. (2019) 'Accomplishing Transparency within the General Data Protection Regulation', *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Availability, Reliability and Security*, pp. 114-125. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.5220/0007366501140125.

[73] Sreepathy, H.V., Rao, B.D., Jaysubramanian, M.K. and Rao, B.D., 2024. Data Ingestions as a Service (DIaaS): A Unified interface for Heterogeneous Data Ingestion, Transformation, and Metadata Management for Data Lake. *IEEE Access*.

[74] Sridhar, S., Mantrala, M., Naik, P. and Thorson, E. (2011) 'Dynamic Marketing Budgeting for Platform Firms: Theory, Evidence, and Application', *Journal of Marketing Research*, 48(6), pp. 929-943. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1509/jmr.10.0035.

[75] Sun, Y. and Sundararajan, M. (2011) 'Axiomatic Attribution for Multilinear Functions', *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining*, pp. 120-129. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1993574.1993601.

[76] Syafii, M., and Budiyanto, N. (2022) 'Penerapan Digital Marketing dengan Analisis STP (Segmenting, Targeting, Positioning)', *Jurnal Ilmu Rekayasa dan Pengembangan Teknologi*,

4(1), pp. 25-35. Available at: https://doi.org/10.36499/jinrpl.v4i1.5950.

[77] Takahashi, R., Yoshizumi, T., Mizuta, H., Abe, N., Kennedy, R.L., Jeffs, V.J., Shah, R. and Crites, R.H. (2014) 'Multi-period marketing-mix optimization with response spike forecasting', *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 58(5), pp. 1-13. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1147/JRD.2014.2337131.

[78] Tao, J., Chen, Q., Snyder, J.W., Kumar, A.S., Meisami, A. and Xue, L. (2023) 'A Graphical Point Process Framework for Understanding Removal Effects in Multi-Touch Attribution', *SSRN Electronic Journal*, pp. 1-17. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4356088.

[79] Vanlalchhuanawmi, C. and Deb, S. (2023) 'Solar Photovoltaic Generation Forecasting using LSTM and SARIMAX model', *2023 IEEE International Conference on Intelligent Data and Application (ICIDeA)*, pp. 415-420. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICIDeA59866.2023.10295240.

[80] Vyas, S., Tyagi, R.K., Jain, C. and Sahu, S., 2021, July. Literature review: A comparative study of real time streaming technologies and apache kafka. In *2021 Fourth International Conference on Computational Intelligence and Communication Technologies (CCICT)* (pp. 146-153). IEEE.

[81] Wadle, L.-M., Martin, N. and Ziegler, D. (2019) 'Privacy and Personalization: The Trade-off between Data Disclosure and Personalization Benefit', *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pp. 1-10. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3314183.3323672.

[82] Wang, T., Yang, H., Yu, H., Zhou, W., Liu, Y. and Song, H. (2019) 'A Revenue-Maximizing Bidding Strategy for Demand-Side Platforms', *IEEE Access*, 7, pp. 61462-61472. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2919450.

[83] Xhepa, M. and Kanakala, N.S., 2022. Machine Learning Model Computation in AWS and Azure. https://www.christianbaun.de/CGC22/Skript/Team_4_Machine_Learning_Model_Computation_in_AWS_and_Azure.pdf

[84] Xiao, Y., Yin, H., Zhang, Y., Qi, H., Zhang, Y. and Liu, Z. (2021) 'A dual-stage attention-based Conv-LSTM network for spatio-temporal correlation and multivariate time series prediction', *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, pp. 55-70. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/int.22370.

[85] Yang, E., Xu, Q., Yan, D., Wang, Z. and Liu, S. (2020) 'Television Advertising Household Push and Operational Analysis', *Proceedings of the 32nd Chinese Control and Decision Conference*, pp. 623-627. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/CCDC49329.2020.9164595.

[86] Yang, X., Jiang, X., Jiang, C. and Xu, L. (2021) 'Real-Time Modeling of Regional Tropospheric Delay Based on Multicore Support Vector Machine', *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, pp. 1-10. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2021/7468963.

[87] Yip, W. and Marlin, T. (2004) 'The effect of model fidelity on real-time optimization performance', *Computer Aided Chemical Engineering*, 12, pp. 87-94. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0098-1354(03)00164-9.

[88] Yuvaraj, C.B., Chandavarkar, B.R., Kumar, V.S. and Sandeep, B.S., 2018, August. Enhanced last-touch interaction attribution model in online advertising. In *2018 IEEE Distributed Computing, VLSI, Electrical Circuits and Robotics (DISCOVER)* (pp. 110-114). IEEE.

[89] Zhang, H., Li, S., Chen, Y., Dai, J. and Yi, Y. (2022) 'A Novel Encoder-Decoder Model for Multivariate Time Series Forecasting', *Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience*, 2022, pp. 1-12. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2022/5596676.

[90] Zhang, X., Zhang, B., Chen, W. and Xu, J. (2010) 'Research on real-time data stream integration system for process industry', *Proceedings of the 2010 International Conference on Computer and Communication Technologies in Agriculture Engineering*, pp. 211-216. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/CCTAE.2010.5543622.

[91] Zhang, Y., Wei, Y. and Ren, J. (2014) 'Multi-touch Attribution in Online Advertising with Survival Theory', *2014 IEEE International*

Conference on Data Mining (ICDM), 14 December, pp. 130-137. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICDM.2014.130.

[92] Zhou, Q., Wang, S. and Yin, Z. (2014) 'On the Data Mining Technology Applied to Active Marketing Model of International Luxury Marketing Strategy in China-An Empirical Analysis', *TELKOMNIKA*, 12(2), pp. 438-444. Available at: https://dx.doi.org/10.11591/TELKOMNIKA.V12I2.4384.