The Impact of Food Processing Techniques on Nutrient Retention and Bioavailability

HELEN NYARADZO MOYO
Ivy Tech College, Berrien Springs, Michigan, USA

Abstract- This paper examines the impact of various food processing techniques on nutrient retention and bioavailability. The study focuses on thermal, mechanical, chemical, and biotechnological processing methods, highlighting their effects on essential vitamins, minerals, macronutrients, and bioactive compounds. Thermal processing methods such as boiling, steaming, frying, and baking are analyzed, revealing substantial nutrient losses in boiling and frying, while steaming and baking retain more nutrients. Mechanical processing methods, including milling, grinding, chopping, and juicing, affect nutrient availability by altering food structures, with milling reducing fiber and vitamin content and grinding enhancing digestibility. Chemical processing methods like fermentation, pickling, and curing are explored for their ability to enhance nutrient bioavailability. Fermentation increases vitamins and amino acids while adding probiotics beneficial for gut health. Pickling reduces anti-nutrient levels, improving mineral absorption, and curing enhances flavor and shelf life but may introduce health risks through nitrates. Biotechnological processing, including enzyme treatments and genetic modification, shows significant potential for enhancing nutrient retention. Enzyme treatments improve nutrient extraction in fruit juices, while genetic modification increases nutrient content and addresses deficiencies in crops. The paper also discusses intrinsic factors such as the food matrix and pH levels, and extrinsic factors including temperature, time of processing, storage conditions, and packaging materials, highlighting their roles in nutrient stability and retention. Case studies on boiling, milling, fermentation, and enzyme treatment provide practical insights into these processes' real-world applications and effects. The paper identifies limitations in current research and knowledge gaps, emphasizing the need for more comprehensive studies and multidisciplinary collaboration. Recommendations for future research include optimizing traditional and innovative processing methods, developing guidelines for nutrient retention, and increasing public awareness of processing impacts on nutrition.

I. INTRODUCTION

Food processing techniques play a crucial role in influencing nutrient retention and health outcomes. The processing of food encompasses various methods such as thermal, mechanical, chemical, and biotechnological processes, each having a significant impact on the essential nutrients contained within the food (Kumar and Raina, 2024). For example, thermal processing methods like boiling, steaming, frying, and baking can drastically affect the levels of vitamins, minerals, antioxidants, and fiber in food products (Kumar and Raina, 2024).

Traditional processing techniques, such as sun drying and fermentation, are commonly used in countries like India. However, these methods often result in significant nutrient losses, particularly in heat-sensitive vitamins. Innovative approaches like vacuum drying and freeze-drying have shown potential in preserving nutrients more effectively in certain food products (Parvati, 2023). In Brazil, studies have demonstrated that while fermentation can enhance nutrient bioavailability, high-temperature cooking can reduce the vitamin and mineral content of foods (Fernando, 2024).

The nutrient retention in various dishes from different cuisines has also been studied. For instance, the nutrient analysis of popular dishes from Hatay cuisine revealed that the highest losses were observed in vitamin B6, folate, vitamin B12, and thiamine, with specific dishes like "şıhılmahşi" and "tuzlu yoğurt soup" experiencing significant losses in certain micronutrients (Başak et al., 2023). Additionally, studies on African indigenous vegetables have shown that cooking vegetable mixtures together can increase iron accessibility compared to cooking them separately. Fried recipes often have higher iron content than boiled or raw vegetables, emphasizing the importance of processing techniques on nutrient retention and accessibility (Oluoch et al., 2012).

Fermentation of cereals and legumes has been shown to increase their nutritive qualities, including protein, amino acids, vitamins, fats, and fatty acids, while also reducing antinutritional factors, thus improving nutrient bioavailability and bioaccessibility (Adebo et al., 2022). Moreover, conventionally bred biofortified crops generally exhibit higher bioaccessibility and bioavailability than their conventional counterparts, potentially impacting the nutrient retention and bioavailability of processed food products (Huey et al., 2022).

In Uganda, traditional malting technology practiced by the Acholi ethnic community has been found to improve protein digestibility and bioavailability of iron and zinc in millet–sesame–soy composite formulas for complementary feeding. The results varied based on the energy content of the formulae and the nutrient type (Alowo et al., 2018). This highlights the importance of understanding and optimizing food processing techniques to ensure nutrient retention and bioavailability, ultimately impacting public health and nutrition on a global scale.

This paper provides a comprehensive examination of the impact of various food processing techniques on nutrient retention and bioavailability. The overarching aim is to elucidate how different methods, such as thermal, mechanical, chemical, and biotechnological processing, affect the nutritional quality of food.

The introduction establishes the importance of understanding food processing impacts and defines key terms. The literature review offers a historical perspective and theoretical framework, examining past studies on nutrient retention and bioavailability. The section on food processing techniques details specific methods and their nutritional effects. Next, factors influencing nutrient retention discusses intrinsic and extrinsic factors that affect nutrient stability. The bioavailability of nutrients section explores how processing techniques impact nutrient absorption and utilization.

The paper includes case studies to illustrate real-world examples of how specific processing methods influence nutrient content. The discussion synthesizes findings addressing implications for the food industry and public health. Finally, the conclusion summarizes key insights and proposes recommendations for future research. The primary objectives are to provide a detailed analysis of processing methods, identify knowledge gaps, and suggest improvements to maximize nutrient retention and bioavailability in food processing.

- Historical Perspective on Food Processing

Food processing has been an integral part of human civilization for centuries, evolving significantly over time. In the mid-19th century, British India saw the use of hand pounding units for rice, water-powered flour mills, and bullock-driven oil ghanies, marking early developments in agro-processing industries (Kachru, 2010). These methods were crucial for enhancing food preservation and safety (Kachru, 2010). Ancient cultures, such as the historical Tamils, developed sophisticated food processing techniques, with sages like Agasthiyar and Theraiyar prescribing specific methods that have been passed down through generations (Chellam and Uma Mageshwari, 2021). These ancestral techniques are now being revisited for their potential health benefits and their relevance in modern dietary practices (Chellam and Uma Mageshwari, 2021).

The introduction of pasteurization in the late 19th and early 20th centuries revolutionized the dairy industry, significantly improving milk safety (Alowo et al., 2018). This process, along with improved sanitation and temperature control, drastically reduced the incidence of milk-borne diseases, setting a new standard for food safety (Alowo et al., 2018). However, the rise of ultra-processed foods in the 20th century has sparked debates about the definition and nutritional value of such products (Prescott et al., 2024). Ultra-processed foods often differ drastically from traditional foods in terms of their nutrient composition and health impacts, challenging the conventional understanding of what constitutes food (Prescott et al., 2024).

The breakfast cereals industry provides a clear example of long-standing business practices and market structures that have shaped food processing (Connor and Wills, 1988). This industry's evolution highlights the economic and competitive dynamics that influence food processing technologies and consumer choices (Connor and Wills, 1988). Additionally, the processing of foods like those from the Allium genus, including onions and garlic, has been crucial in altering their flavors and chemical composition (Fenwick et al., 1985). These changes have not only enhanced their culinary appeal but also their medicinal properties, demonstrating the multifaceted role of food processing (Fenwick et al., 1985).

Food processing techniques have thus evolved from simple mechanical methods to complex biochemical processes, reflecting advancements in technology and understanding of nutrition (Fenwick et al., 1985). This historical evolution underscores the importance of food processing in ensuring food safety, enhancing nutritional value, and meeting consumer demands, while also highlighting the ongoing challenges and debates in the field (Prescott et al., 2024).

- Nutrient Retention and Bioavailability

Nutrient retention refers to the ability of food to maintain its nutrient content during processing and storage, while bioavailability is the extent to which nutrients are absorbed and utilized by the body (Volkert et al., 2019). A robust theoretical framework is essential to understand the factors influencing these processes and their implications for human health. Factors such as heat, light, and oxygen exposure during processing can significantly influence nutrient retention, leading to potential losses of essential vitamins, minerals, and other bioactive compounds (Langston et al., 2021).

The physical constraints at the root-soil interface play a significant role in nutrient uptake in ecosystems, which can be scaled to macroscopic patterns of nutrient retention. This approach helps predict plant-available nutrient concentration and confirms the significance of plant uptake capacities in lowering soil nutrient levels (Gerber and Brookshire, 2013). Additionally, the coupling of soil hydrologic processes and microbial activity to soil organic carbon dynamics through the dynamics of soil structure offers a holistic prediction of the effects of climate change and land management practices on soil nutrient retention (Jha et al., 2023).

On the other hand, bioavailability is defined as the proportion of a nutrient that is absorbed from the diet and utilized for normal body functions. It involves the release of nutrients from food, their digestion, absorption, and assimilation into the bloodstream, and ultimately their incorporation into tissues and organs. Factors affecting bioavailability include the food matrix, nutrient interactions, and individual physiological conditions (Combet and Gray, 2019). For example, traditional food processing methods such as fermentation can enhance nutrient bioavailability by breaking down antinutritional factors and increasing the availability of vitamins and minerals (Fernando, 2024).

Bioavailability, a critical pharmacokinetic property, measures the degree and rate at which nutrients are absorbed by the body's circulatory system. This concept is crucial in understanding that the nutrient dose taken may not equate to what the body actually receives (Ball, 2013). The interaction between oceanographic forcing and bivalve filter feeding, for instance, modulates material dynamics and connectivity between estuaries and the coastal ocean, influencing nutrient bioavailability (O'Connell-Milne et al., 2020).

Ecological stoichiometry provides a theoretical basis for explaining variations in nutrient excretion rates among species, though it may not fully account for intraspecific variations. This framework helps predict nutrient recycling driven by consumer behaviors and their ecological impacts (Landolfi et al., 2021). Combining tools of nutritional geometry and ecological stoichiometry advances our understanding from organismal to ecosystem-level nutrient dynamics (Sperfeld et al., 2016).

In practical applications, real-time dynamic optimization of thermal processing in bioproducts aims to maximize nutrient retention while meeting safety and quality criteria. This approach integrates theoretical models with empirical data to optimize food processing techniques (García et al., 2012).

Furthermore, the combined application of bacterial inoculants and amendments, such as sucrose, can improve plant nutrient uptake and bioavailability, illustrating the interconnectedness of soil microbiota and plant health (Tian et al., 2022).

Hence, understanding nutrient retention and bioavailability through theoretical frameworks involves integrating ecological, biological, and physical models. This comprehensive approach enables the development of strategies to enhance nutrient preservation and absorption, ultimately improving food quality and human health (Lin et al., 2021; Volkert et al., 2019).

- Food Processing Techniques and Impacts on Nutrients
- Thermal Processing

Thermal processing methods such as boiling, steaming, frying, and baking significantly impact the nutritional profile of food, particularly affecting vitamins, minerals, and antioxidants. Boiling often leads to substantial nutrient losses, especially for water-soluble vitamins like vitamin C and B vitamins. For instance, boiling can result in the leaching of vitamins into the cooking water, thereby reducing their content in the final product (Chen et al., 2017). Boiling can also reduce the content of certain minerals and antioxidants, although it may enhance the bioavailability of some nutrients by breaking down cell walls and other structural components (Akyereko et al., 2020).

Steaming is generally considered a gentler method that preserves more nutrients compared to boiling. Steaming helps retain water-soluble vitamins and antioxidants better than boiling or frying. Research shows that steaming vegetables like broccoli preserves higher levels of phenolic compounds and flavonoids, which are crucial for their antioxidant properties (Zhan et al., 2018). Additionally, steaming fish such as golden pomfret has been found to better preserve its nutritional quality compared to other cooking methods (Xiong et al., 2023).

Moreover, frying significantly impacts the nutrient profile of food due to the high temperatures involved. While frying can enhance the flavor and texture of food, it often leads to the degradation of heat-sensitive vitamins such as vitamin C and E. Frying can also lead to the formation of potentially harmful compounds, such as acrylamide and heterocyclic amines, which are associated with increased cancer risk (Janoszka et al., 2019). However, frying can increase the content of some nutrients, such as the total phenolic content in certain vegetables, potentially enhancing their antioxidant capacity (Chen et al., 2017).

Baking is another common thermal processing method that can impact nutrient retention. Baking at high temperatures can cause significant losses of heat-sensitive vitamins and reduce the antioxidant activity of foods. However, baking is preferable for retaining phenolic antioxidants in foods like olive oil, compared to frying or boiling (Goulas et al., 2015). Additionally, baking can reduce the levels of certain mycotoxins, such as fumonisin B1 in maize-based foods, enhancing food safety (Mohanlall et al., 2013).

Thus, thermal processing methods have diverse effects on the nutritional quality of food. While methods like steaming are generally better for nutrient retention, frying and baking can lead to nutrient losses and the formation of harmful compounds. Understanding these impacts is crucial for optimizing cooking methods to preserve nutritional quality and enhance health benefits (Fang et al., 2022).

- Mechanical Processing

Mechanical processing methods such as milling, grinding, chopping, and juicing play a critical role in determining the nutritional quality of food, particularly impacting fiber, phytochemicals, and vitamins. Milling involves the reduction of grain size to produce flour and other products. This process can significantly affect the fiber content and nutrient composition of grains (Zahra and Jabeen, 2020; Santoso et al., 2021). For example, milling rice can remove the bran and germ, resulting in white rice that is lower in fiber, vitamins B complex, and other nutrients compared to brown rice (Zahra and Jabeen, 2020). Conversely, using rice bran as a substitute for wheat flour can enhance the fiber content, protein, thiamine, and niacin in the final food products, making it a more nutritious option (Santoso et al., 2021).

Grinding is a process that breaks down food into smaller particles, improving its digestibility and bioavailability of nutrients (Karam et al., 2016). However, grinding can also lead to the loss of certain heat-sensitive vitamins. For example, the grinding of spices can reduce their vitamin C content due to exposure to air and light, which accelerates the degradation of this sensitive vitamin (Gao et al., 2020). Nonetheless, grinding can increase the availability of bioactive compounds, enhancing the overall antioxidant capacity of foods (Becker et al., 2017).

Chopping is a common mechanical process used to prepare vegetables and fruits for consumption. This method can impact the retention of vitamins and phytochemicals (Dar *et al.*, 2022). Chopping can lead to the oxidation of sensitive nutrients like vitamin C and polyphenols due to increased surface area exposure to oxygen (Ellong et al., 2015). However, it can also enhance the release of beneficial compounds from the food matrix, improving their bioavailability. For instance, chopping garlic activates alliinase, an enzyme that converts alliin to allicin, a compound with significant health benefits (Koca and Tasci, 2016).

Juicing involves the extraction of liquid from fruits and vegetables, often resulting in the removal of fiber (Chu et al., 2024). While juicing can concentrate vitamins and phytochemicals, the removal of fiber can diminish the health benefits associated with whole fruits and vegetables (Ansarifar and Moradinezhad, 2022). Fiber is essential for digestive health and the regulation of blood sugar levels. Moreover, the juicing process can lead to the loss of heat-sensitive vitamins like vitamin C due to exposure to air and light (Yadav et al., 2022). Therefore, consuming whole fruits and vegetables is generally more beneficial for maintaining fiber intake and maximizing nutrient retention (Sarker, Oba and Daramy, 2020). Hence, mechanical processing techniques affect food nutritional quality by increasing bioavailability while simultaneously causing nutrient losses; thus, understanding their impacts is critical for optimising food processing strategies.

- Chemical Processing

Chemical processing methods such as fermentation, pickling, and curing significantly impact the nutrient profile of foods, particularly affecting vitamins, probiotics, and amino acids (Joardder and Masud, 2019). Fermentation is a metabolic process that converts carbohydrates to alcohol or organic acids using microorganisms like bacteria, yeasts, or fungi (Mota et al., 2017). This process can enhance the nutritional value of foods by increasing the levels of vitamins, probiotics, and amino acids. For instance, fermenting red kidney beans significantly improves their protein digestibility and amino acid content, particularly sulfur-containing amino acids like methionine and cysteine (Wulam et al., 2021). Similarly, the fermentation of brewer's spent yeast increases free amino acid levels, enhancing the nutritional potential of the product (Jaeger et al., 2024).

Fermentation also plays a crucial role in the enhancement of probiotics in food products. For example, fermenting oat drinks with lactic acid bacteria enriches the drink with functional ingredients such as probiotics, which are beneficial for gut health (Popova et al., 2024). Additionally, fermentation increases the carotenoid content in maize, improving its antioxidant properties and health benefits (Oladeji, 2022).

Pickling involves the preservation of food in an acidic solution, typically vinegar, or through fermentation in brine. This method can enhance the shelf life of foods and also impact their nutritional composition (Sultana, Iqbal and Islam, 2014). For instance, pickling gboma eggplant in brine leads to a reduction in anti-nutrient contents such as tannin, phytate, and oxalate, while also slightly varying the proximate nutrient composition (Minh, 2022). The process of brine fermentation in pickling not only helps in preserving the food but also enhances its nutritional quality by reducing anti-nutrients that inhibit the absorption of minerals (Minh, 2022).

Curing is a method of preserving meat by adding salt, nitrates, nitrites, or sugar. This method impacts nutrient bioaccessibility and the formation of peptides, which can influence the nutritional value of the cured products (Di Nunzio et al., 2022). Replacing synthetic nitrates and nitrites with natural additives in processed meats can affect nutrient bioaccessibility and reduce potential cellular toxicity, making the cured meat safer and potentially more nutritious (Di Nunzio et al., 2022).

Chemical processing methods, particularly fermentation, have been shown to significantly enhance the nutritional profile of foods by increasing the levels of essential vitamins, probiotics, and amino acids (Omowaye-Taiwo et al., 2023). These processes not only improve the health benefits of the foods but also contribute to better preservation and reduction of anti-nutrients, thereby making the nutrients more bioavailable for absorption (Omowaye-Taiwo et al., 2023). Hence, chemical processing methods significantly improve food nutritional quality by increasing vitamins, probiotics, and amino acids, thus enhancing overall health benefits.

- Biotechnological Processing

Biotechnological processing, including methods such as enzyme treatment and genetic modification, significantly impacts the nutrient profile of foods by enhancing macronutrients and micronutrients (Sen, Kumar and Janeja, 2024; Zhang, He and Simpson, 2018). Enzyme treatment involves the use of specific enzymes to break down complex molecules into simpler, more absorbable forms. This method is particularly effective in improving the nutritional quality of food products (Zhang, He and Simpson, 2018). For example, enzymatic hydrolysis of complex organic matter has shown significant improvements in nutrient availability and digestibility. This process is used in the biogas industry to enhance biogas production, demonstrating its effectiveness in nutrient release (Parawira, 2012). Additionally, the enzyme-assisted extraction of seaweed hydrocolloids can improve the macronutrient and micronutrient content, enhancing their functional properties for various applications (Rhein-Knudsen et al., 2015).

Enzyme treatment also plays a crucial role in food fermentation processes. For instance, the treatment of bee-pollen with protease enzymes and lactic acid bacteria fermentation enhances the availability of nutrients and bioactive compounds, leading to increased digestibility and higher levels of antioxidants and total phenolics compared to raw bee-pollen (Zuluaga et al., 2015).

Genetic modification involves altering the genetic makeup of organisms to enhance desirable traits, such as increased nutrient content. Biofortification through genetic modification has significantly improved the levels of essential micronutrients like iron and zinc in staple crops, addressing global nutritional deficiencies and combating hidden hunger (Sen et al., 2024). Genetic engineering has also been used to introduce compounds into plants that improve their nutritional value, such as synthesizing vitamin A in vegetables, which helps prevent common deficiencies (Garcia-Casal, 1999).

The use of biotechnological methods to modify wheat bran has shown significant impacts on nutrient release and microbial composition. Modifying wheat bran's physicochemical properties can control the production of short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) by the fecal microbiota, affecting both microbial activity and nutrient absorption (De Paepe et al., 2019). Moreover, genetic modification has been employed to reduce undesirable traits in crops. For example, plant breeders use genetic engineering to selectively reduce nitrate levels in leafy vegetables, resulting in crops with fewer harmful compounds (Babanjeet et al., 2024).

Thus, biotechnological processing methods such as enzyme treatment and genetic modification are powerful tools for enhancing the nutritional quality of food. By improving the availability and absorption of macronutrients and micronutrients, these methods contribute significantly to addressing nutritional deficiencies and improving overall food quality. These advancements highlight the importance of biotechnological innovations in modern food processing and their potential to improve global nutrition (Sen et al., 2024; Parawira, 2012).

- Factors Influencing Nutrient Retention

Food Matrix and Composition as an Intrinsic Factor

The food matrix and composition significantly influence nutrient retention, acting as intrinsic factors that determine how nutrients are preserved during processing and digestion. The food matrix refers to the complex physical and chemical interactions between various components within food, such as macronutrients, micronutrients, and bioactive compounds (Capuano et al., 2018).

The structure of the food matrix can affect nutrient bioavailability by modulating the release and absorption of nutrients during digestion (Somaratne et al., 2020). For example, the matrix can protect sensitive nutrients from degradation during cooking or storage. This protective effect is evident in whole grains, where the bran and germ layers help retain vitamins and minerals that might otherwise be lost during milling (Somaratne et al., 2020). Additionally, the matrix can influence the digestibility of macronutrients, impacting the actual energy content derived from food (Capuano et al., 2018).

Nutrient retention values also vary based on the composition of food. For instance, cooked staple foods in South-West Nigeria show varying retention rates for crude protein, metabolizable energy, calcium, and iron, highlighting the importance of food composition in determining nutrient retention after cooking (Sanusi et al., 2018). Similarly, the nutrient retention in lamb and mutton cuts is influenced by factors such as animal age, carcass cut, and cooking method, demonstrating how intrinsic factors like food matrix and composition affect nutrient retention (van Heerden and Strydom, 2017).

The impact of the food matrix is also seen in green leafy vegetables, where different preparation methods influence the retention of xanthophylls. Microwave cooking and steaming preserve these nutrients better than deep frying and sautéing, which lead to significant nutrient losses (Prasanthi et al., 2018). This illustrates that the matrix and preparation method together determine the nutrient profile of the final product.

Moreover, the food matrix's role extends to influencing the sensory qualities and health benefits of foods. The composition described in food composition databases is crucial for assessing food quality in terms of taste, safety, and nutritional value (Delgado et al., 2021). Hence, the food matrix and composition are intrinsic factors that significantly influence nutrient retention in foods. Understanding these factors helps optimize food processing techniques to preserve nutritional quality and improve health outcomes.

- pH Levels

pH levels are intrinsic factors that significantly influence the stability and retention of nutrients in food. The stability of nutrients like vitamins, minerals, and bioactive compounds can vary dramatically with changes in pH, impacting the overall nutritional quality of food products. Nutrient stability is often highest at specific pH levels (Levy et al., 2019). For instance, anthocyanins, a group of pigments with antioxidant properties, display greater stability in acidic conditions (pH 4.5) compared to neutral or slightly acidic conditions (pH 6.5). This indicates that maintaining an acidic environment can help preserve these nutrients during food processing and storage (Levy et al., 2019).

Similarly, intrinsic factor activity, essential for vitamin B12 absorption, is highly sensitive to pH changes. It is destroyed or inactivated at very low pH levels (1.2-1.4) but shows relative heat stability at a neutral pH of around 6.0. This suggests that maintaining a neutral pH is crucial for preserving the activity of certain enzymes and nutrients (Glass and Jones, 1955).

The effect of pH on nutrient stability is also evident in protein-rich foods. For example, increasing the dietary crude protein content in milk reduces its heat stability at pH 6.8, thereby affecting its suitability for processing. This demonstrates how pH adjustments can be used to optimize the processing conditions for protein-containing foods to maintain their nutritional value (Reid et al., 2014).

In hydroponic systems, the pH of the nutrient solution is a critical factor influencing nutrient absorption by plants. Maintaining a steady pH range between 5.0-6.0 is essential for optimal nutrient uptake, as deviations from this range can lead to nutrient deficiencies or toxicities (Triantino et al., 2022).

Additionally, pH levels can impact microbial activity, which in turn affects nutrient stability. For example, aerobic granular sludge used in wastewater treatment shows reduced nitrogen and phosphorus removal efficiency under alkaline pH conditions compared to acidic conditions. This indicates that maintaining appropriate pH levels is crucial for microbial-mediated nutrient processes (Lashkarizadeh et al., 2016). Therefore, pH levels are vital intrinsic factors that influence nutrient stability in food and biological systems. Understanding and controlling pH can help optimize nutrient retention, enhance food quality, and improve health outcomes (Rolfe and Daryaei, 2020).

Temperature and Time of Processing

Temperature and time of processing are critical extrinsic factors that significantly impact nutrient retention in foods. These factors determine the extent of nutrient degradation or preservation during food processing (Parvati, 2023). High temperatures can lead to substantial nutrient losses, particularly in heat-sensitive vitamins like vitamin C and B vitamins. For instance, the degradation of these vitamins increases with higher processing temperatures, resulting in reduced nutritional quality of the food (Parvati, 2023). Additionally, prolonged exposure to heat during processing can further exacerbate nutrient losses.

Microwave-assisted drying of bitter melon slices demonstrated that higher microwave power and drying temperatures increased the rates of nutrient degradation and color change, although the drying times were significantly reduced (Nguyen et al., 2019). This indicates a trade-off between nutrient retention and processing efficiency that must be carefully managed. In contrast, advanced processing methods like vacuum drying and freeze-drying are designed to mitigate nutrient losses. These techniques operate at lower temperatures and reduced oxygen exposure, effectively preserving the nutritional content of the food (Parvati, 2023).

Optimal processing conditions are essential for nutrient retention. For example, the hydration of lupins for canning showed that 200 minutes of hydration at 65°C minimized bioactive nutrient losses while preparing the food for further processing (Perera et al., 2023). Hence, the temperature and time of processing are vital extrinsic factors influencing nutrient retention. Optimizing these parameters can help preserve the nutritional quality of food products and enhance their health benefits (Erdoğdu and Balaban, 2003).

- Storage Conditions and Shelf Life

Storage conditions and shelf life significantly impact nutrient retention in food products. Controlled atmosphere storage, such as using 2% O_2 and 6% CO_2, has been shown to improve the shelf life and nutrient retention of broccoli florets compared to air storage. This method helps maintain green color, chlorophyll, and vitamin C levels (Paradis et al., 1996).

Temperature during storage is another crucial factor. For instance, low-temperature storage conditions extend the shelf life of lactobacilli encapsulated in raspberry powder, maintaining higher stability and nutrient retention compared to room temperature storage (Anekella and Orsat, 2014). Similarly, cold storage conditions have been shown to extend the shelf life and retain the quality of pre-harvest treated broccoli (Tarafder et al., 2022).

The type of packaging also plays a significant role. For example, using potassium sorbate as a preservative in bread helps inhibit fungal growth more effectively than other preservatives, extending shelf life under various storage conditions (Samuel and Peerkhan, 2020). Additionally, combining blanching with cold storage has been found to be an excellent method for preserving vegetables like potatoes and carrots, effectively retaining nutrients (Xiao et al., 2017)

As a result, optimizing storage conditions and extending shelf life are critical for maintaining nutrient retention in food products. Controlled atmosphere storage, low temperatures, and appropriate packaging materials are essential strategies for preserving the nutritional quality and extending the shelf life of various food products (Prabowo and Aprilia, 2022).

Packaging Materials and Their Interaction with Food

Packaging materials significantly influence nutrient retention by interacting with food and affecting its stability. The choice of packaging material can determine the extent of nutrient preservation during storage and distribution (Prabhadharshini et al., 2024). For instance, the use of controlled atmosphere packaging, such as low-density polyethylene with specific gas compositions (6% O_2, 5% CO_2, and 89% N_2), helps maintain the quality and nutrient content of green leafy vegetables like palak, extending shelf life and reducing nutrient loss (Prabhadharshini et al., 2024).

Nano-adsorbents in packaging materials create barriers that reduce the diffusion of certain solutes, thereby enhancing nutrient retention by slowing down degradation processes (Fang, 2013). Additionally, biodegradable materials, such as those incorporating legume-based proteins and starches, offer environmentally friendly options that also contribute to nutrient preservation (Sahin and Sumnu, 2022).

The interaction between food and packaging materials, including chitosan and cellulose nanocrystal films, impacts the structural, thermal, and physicochemical properties of the food, thereby influencing its nutrient retention and overall stability (Flores, 2023). Advanced packaging solutions, such as those using ozone technology, enhance food safety by controlling microbial growth, which in turn maintains nutrient content and sensory qualities of the food (Xue et al., 2023). Thus, the choice of packaging materials and their interaction with food are crucial extrinsic factors that impact nutrient retention. Innovations in packaging technology can significantly enhance the shelf life and nutritional quality of food products (Perera, 2005).

- Bioavailability of Nutrients

Understanding bioavailability is crucial because it affects how well nutrients from food can be absorbed and used by the body. This has direct implications for maintaining metabolic and physiological homeostasis, which is essential for preventing nutritional deficiencies and associated diseases (Gharibzahedi and Jafari, 2017). For example, the bioavailability of minerals like calcium and iron is vital for bone health and preventing anemia, respectively (Sauberlich, 1984).

The bioavailability of nutrients also plays a significant role in the effectiveness of dietary supplements and fortified foods. Enhancing the bioavailability of these nutrients can improve their efficacy in addressing dietary deficiencies and promoting overall health (Marze, 2015). Moreover, the concept of "Foundational Nutrition" emphasizes the importance of combining whole foods, micronutrients, and gut health considerations to optimize nutrient bioavailability and maximize their bioactive effects (Townsend et al., 2023).

Thermal processing significantly affects the bioavailability of nutrients in food. For example, heat treatments like boiling, steaming, and frying can improve the bioaccessibility of certain nutrients by breaking down food matrices and antinutritional factors. This is seen in the enhanced in vitro bioaccessibility of lycopene from tomato pulp when subjected to temperatures of 130 and 140°C (Colle et al., 2010).

However, thermal processing can also degrade heat-sensitive vitamins and amino acids, reducing their bioavailability. For instance, the retention of thiamine in food decreases significantly at temperatures between 120-140°C (Das et al., 2023). Additionally, thermal processing often leads to the loss of vitamin C and folate, essential for maintaining metabolic functions (Dietz and Erdman, 1989). Similarly, according to Parvati (2023), boiling often leads to substantial nutrient losses, particularly water-soluble vitamins like vitamin C and B vitamins, due to leaching into the water. However, steaming retains more nutrients compared to boiling and frying by minimizing nutrient leaching (Popova, 2019). Frying, while enhancing flavor, can degrade heat-sensitive vitamins and lead to the formation of harmful compounds (Janoszka et al., 2019). Baking also causes nutrient loss but is preferable for retaining phenolic antioxidants compared to boiling (Goulas et al., 2015). Despite potential nutrient losses, thermal processing can enhance the digestibility and availability of other nutrients. For example, heating processes can reduce phytic acid and polyphenol content in foods, thereby increasing the bioavailability of minerals like calcium and iron (Ganguly et al., 2013). This makes certain nutrients more accessible for absorption and utilization by the body.

Optimizing thermal processing conditions is crucial for maximizing nutrient retention and bioavailability. Techniques such as controlled heating and the use of diffusion models in process design can help minimize nutrient degradation while ensuring food safety and quality (Zanini et al., 2011). Hence, while thermal processing can enhance the bioavailability of some nutrients, it may also lead to the degradation of others. Balancing these effects through optimized processing conditions is essential for preserving the nutritional quality of foods (Li et al., 1989).

Furthermore, mechanical processing methods can also significantly affect nutrient bioavailability by altering the food's microstructure and particle size. These changes influence the release, transformation, and absorption of nutrients during digestion (Parada and

Aguilera, 2007). Milling and grinding break down food into smaller particles, increasing the surface area exposed to digestive enzymes, which can enhance the digestibility and bioavailability of nutrients such as starch and protein by making them more accessible for enzymatic action (Capuano and Pellegrini, 2018). However, mechanical processing can also lead to nutrient losses, particularly when the process generates heat or exposes the food to oxygen, potentially degrading heat-sensitive vitamins like vitamin C and folate (Watzke, 1998). For instance, milling grains often reduces fiber and vitamin content by removing the bran and germ (Zahra and Jabeen, 2020). Additionally, chopping and grinding increase nutrient exposure to oxygen, causing oxidation of sensitive nutrients like vitamin C, while juicing removes beneficial fiber (Ellon et al., 2015; Gao et al., 2020; Ansarifar and Moradinezhad, 2022).

Mechanical processing can also impact the integrity of cell walls in plant foods, affecting the release of bioactive compounds. Chopping and juicing, for example, disrupt cell walls, enhancing the release of phytochemicals and increasing their bioavailability (Parada and Aguilera, 2007). However, these processes can also cause the oxidation of nutrients, reducing their effectiveness.

Additionally, chemical processing significantly affects nutrient bioavailability by altering the food matrix and nutrient interactions. For instance, fermentation can enhance the bioavailability of nutrients by breaking down antinutritional factors and increasing the release of bioactive compounds, as seen in the fermentation of green gram germinated in mineral-fortified soak water, which increases nutrient bioaccessibility without significantly impacting their overall composition (Oghbaei and Prakash, 2017). Fermentation also increases the bioavailability of vitamins and amino acids and adds probiotics, enhancing gut health (Wulam et al., 2021). Additionally, pickling can reduce anti-nutrient levels, improving mineral absorption (Minh, n.d.). While curing enhances flavor and shelf life, it may introduce compounds like nitrates that affect health (Di Nunzio et al., 2022).

Chemical treatments can also improve nutrient bioavailability by reducing compounds that inhibit nutrient absorption. For instance, chemical processing methods like acid hydrolysis and enzymatic treatments can break down phytates and polyphenols, which are known to bind minerals and decrease their bioavailability. This process enhances the absorption of minerals such as iron and zinc (Medina et al., 2011). The application of chemical additives in food processing can also impact nutrient bioavailability. For example, the use of polymer matrices in slow-release fertilizers has been shown to enhance the bioavailability of nitrogen and phosphorus, improving plant growth and nutrient uptake (Reis et al., 2018). Similarly, the addition of phosphorus sources during vermicomposting increases phosphorus solubility, making it more available for plant uptake (Musich, Dulnev and Landin, 2018).

However, some chemical processing methods can negatively impact nutrient bioavailability. For instance, the use of alum-based nutrient removal processes in water treatment can significantly reduce the bioavailability of phosphorus, impacting its efficacy in agricultural applications (Li and Brett, 2012). Thus, chemical processing can both enhance and diminish nutrient bioavailability depending on the specific methods and conditions used. Understanding these impacts is crucial for optimizing food processing techniques to improve nutritional quality and health outcomes (Oghbaei and Prakash, 2017; Reis et al., 2018).

Biotechnological processing also enhances nutrient bioavailability through methods such as biofortification and the use of microbial inoculants. Biofortification, the process of increasing the nutrient content of crops through genetic modification, significantly improves the bioavailability of essential nutrients like vitamins and minerals. This approach addresses nutritional deficiencies sustainably by enhancing the nutrient profile of staple crops (Dhaliwal et al., 2022). Furthermore, enzyme treatments and genetic modification significantly improve nutrient retention and bioavailability. Enzyme treatments enhance nutrient extraction and retention in fruit juices (Pui and Saleena, 2023). Genetic modification can increase nutrient content and bioavailability in crops, addressing deficiencies (Sen et al., 2024).

Genetic engineering plays a crucial role in improving nutrient uptake efficiency in plants. By introducing genes that encode for nutrient transporters and enzymes, plants can absorb and assimilate nutrients more effectively from the soil. This method not only enhances the bioavailability of nutrients in crops but also improves overall crop productivity (López-Arredondo et al., 2013).

The use of microbial inoculants, such as phosphate-solubilizing bacteria and mycorrhizal fungi, further enhances nutrient bioavailability. These microorganisms facilitate the release of nutrients from soil organic matter, making them more accessible to plants. This biotechnological application reduces the need for chemical fertilizers, promoting sustainable agricultural practices (Singh and Singh, 2018). Moreover, biotechnological processing can improve the nutritional quality of animal-derived foods. Techniques such as enzyme treatments and fermentation enhance the bioavailability of proteins, vitamins, and minerals in milk, meat, and fish, leading to higher nutrient absorption and better health outcomes (Pal et al., 2017).

Therefore, while thermal processing can cause substantial nutrient losses, methods like steaming are preferable for nutrient retention. Mechanical processing enhances digestibility but can degrade nutrients. Chemical processing methods like fermentation improve bioavailability and add health benefits. Biotechnological advancements offer significant potential for enhancing nutrient profiles and addressing deficiencies. Optimizing these techniques is crucial for maximizing nutritional benefits and improving food quality (Capuano and Pellegrini, 2018; Gibson and Hotz, 2001).

- Case Studies
- Impact of Boiling on Vitamin C in Vegetables

Boiling is a common cooking method that significantly impacts the vitamin C content in vegetables. Vitamin C, also known as ascorbic acid, is highly sensitive to heat and water, leading to substantial losses during the boiling process. Studies have shown that boiling can lead to a significant reduction in the vitamin C content of vegetables, with losses ranging from 9.94% to 64.71% depending on the vegetable and boiling duration (Igwemmar et al., 2013).

For instance, boiling green vegetables like broccoli and lettuce results in considerable losses of vitamin C, whereas vegetables such as collard and tronchuda cabbage are less affected (Vinha et al., 2015). This variability can be attributed to the structural differences and water content of the vegetables. Moreover, the duration of boiling plays a critical role, with prolonged boiling times leading to greater reductions in vitamin C levels. It is recommended to boil vegetables for the shortest time possible to preserve their vitamin C content (Leong and Oey, 2012).

Comparative studies indicate that steaming is a more suitable method for retaining vitamin C compared to boiling. For example, steaming vegetables like broccoli and spinach preserves more vitamin C than boiling, which involves direct contact with water and higher temperatures (Popova, 2019). Additionally, the antioxidant potential of vegetables, which includes vitamin C content, significantly decreases after 15 minutes of boiling, with levels dropping below 50% in most cases (Mulțescu et al., 2019).

Furthermore, thawing frozen vegetables before boiling can exacerbate vitamin C losses. Thawed vegetables boiled in a Pyrex pan show the highest vitamin C loss compared to other cooking methods (Nursal and Yücecan, 2000). Hence, boiling significantly reduces the vitamin C content in vegetables due to heat and water exposure. To minimize these losses, it is advisable to use alternative cooking methods like steaming or to reduce the boiling time (Leong and Oey, 2012; Vinha et al., 2015).

- Effect of Milling on Fiber Content in Grains

Milling significantly impacts the fiber content in grains, affecting their nutritional quality and functional properties. Milling processes such as roller milling and hammer milling are commonly used to produce flour from grains. These methods can alter the content and composition of dietary fiber, influencing its health benefits (Niu et al., 2023; Acar et al., 2020). Roller milling and hammer milling have been shown to affect the β\betaβ-glucan content in barley. A study comparing biofortified and non-biofortified hull-less barley found that the β\betaβ-glucan content varied significantly between the two milling systems, impacting the nutritional quality of the resulting flour (Acar et al., 2020). This variation is crucial since β\betaβ-glucan is a dietary fiber known for its beneficial effects on blood cholesterol levels and glycemic response.

Milling also affects the particle size of dietary fibers, which in turn influences their functional properties. Ball milling, for example, significantly reduces the particle size of insoluble dietary fiber (IDF) in grains, enhancing properties such as water-holding capacity, glucose delay capacity, and cholesterol adsorption capacity. This treatment has been shown to slow the postprandial rise in blood sugar and blood lipids, highlighting its potential for improving the health benefits of dietary fiber (Niu et al., 2023).

Moreover, the milling of corn fiber increases the fine fiber content while reducing the coarse fiber yield. A single grind can increase the fine fiber content from 4.5% to 11.5%, with successive passes leading to modest further increases (Dowd, 1997). This increase in fine fiber content is important for enhancing the nutritional quality and functional properties of corn-based products.

Additionally, milling affects the fiber composition in different grain fractions. A study on spelt and wheat showed significant differences in hemicellulose and cellulose content between bran and whole grain flour. The variability among spelt genotypes was higher than among wheat genotypes, indicating that the milling process and grain type both play crucial roles in determining fiber content (Escarnot et al., 2010).

- Fermentation and Probiotic Bioavailability in Dairy

Fermentation significantly enhances the bioavailability of probiotics in dairy products, which is essential for their health benefits. Probiotic bacteria, such as Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium, are commonly used in fermented dairy products like yogurt, cheese, and fermented milk. These bacteria not only impart desirable sensory attributes but also provide numerous health benefits, including improved gut health and immune function (Yerlikaya, 2014).

The fermentation process involves the conversion of lactose into lactic acid, which lowers the pH and creates an environment that favors the growth of beneficial bacteria while inhibiting pathogens. This process also increases the concentration of bioactive compounds and vitamins, enhancing the nutritional profile of the dairy product (Gao et al., 2021). For example, indigenous probiotic strains like Lactobacillus helveticus have shown high acid production during fermentation, comparable to commercial dairy starters, making them suitable for producing fermented milk with enhanced probiotic content (Trivedi et al., 2014).

The viability of probiotics during processing and storage is a critical factor for ensuring their health benefits. Fermented buffalo milk, for instance, demonstrates the probiotic potential of indigenous microflora, though maintaining viability during storage remains challenging. Innovative technologies and optimal storage conditions are necessary to preserve probiotic viability and functionality (Abesinghe et al., 2020).

Additionally, the combination of probiotic cultures can influence the characteristics of fermented milk. Studies have shown that different combinations of probiotic bacteria can affect acidity, organic acid production, bacterial viability, and sensory properties of the product (Casarotti et al., 2014). For instance, mixing cow's milk with soy milk significantly improved the sensory properties of probiotic beverages, enhancing their acceptability among consumers (Šertović et al., 2019).

Thus, fermentation enhances the bioavailability of probiotics in dairy products, providing significant health benefits. The success of these products depends on maintaining probiotic viability during processing and storage, which can be achieved through careful selection of probiotic strains and optimization of fermentation conditions (Gao et al., 2021; Yerlikaya, 2014).

- Enzyme Treatment and Nutrient Retention in Fruit Juices

Enzyme treatment in fruit juice production significantly enhances nutrient retention and improves juice quality. Enzymes such as pectinase, cellulase, and amylase are commonly used to break down cell walls and complex carbohydrates, resulting in increased juice yield and clarity (Pui and Saleena, 2023). These enzymes help in reducing the viscosity and turbidity of the juice, making it more visually appealing and palatable to consumers.

Enzyme-assisted extraction techniques have shown to improve the retention of bioactive compounds in fruit juices. For example, pectinase treatment in apple and grape juices has been effective in clarifying the juices and enhancing their filtration properties, leading to better nutrient retention (Ishii and Yokotsuka, 1972). Similarly, enzyme treatment in plum juice resulted in higher yields, lower pectin content, improved soluble solids, and enhanced color, which are indicators of better nutrient retention and overall juice quality (Chang et al., 1994).

The use of specific enzymes can also influence the nutritional profile of the juice. For instance, treatment with pectinase in white dragon fruit juice increased the protein yield from 0.17% to 0.33%, demonstrating the enzyme's effectiveness in enhancing nutrient retention (Kareem and Adebowale, 2007). Moreover, enzyme treatments are crucial for maintaining the stability of phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacity during the processing of fruit juices, which are vital for their health benefits (Quan et al., 2018).

Enzyme treatment not only enhances the extraction and retention of nutrients but also improves the sensory attributes of the juice. The enzymatic breakdown of cell walls releases more juice and preserves the natural flavors and colors, resulting in a higher quality product that meets consumer preferences (Pui and Saleena, 2023). As a result of these, enzyme treatment plays a crucial role in enhancing nutrient retention and improving the overall quality of fruit juices. By optimizing the enzymatic processes, producers can ensure higher yields, better nutrient profiles, and superior sensory qualities in fruit juice products (Ishii and Yokotsuka, 1972; Rahman et al., 2007).

Implications for Food Industry and Consumer Health

The food industry plays a pivotal role in ensuring processed foods are safe, nutritious, and sustainable. Food processing techniques such as pasteurization, sterilization, and frying are essential for food preservation and safety (Singh et al., 2023). These processes can enhance the shelf life, safety, and palatability of foods, making them more accessible and convenient for consumers. For instance, pasteurization of milk and juice helps eliminate pathogenic microorganisms, thereby preventing foodborne illnesses (Rahman, 2022; Shahidi; 2021). Similarly, fortification and enrichment processes can enhance the nutritional value of foods by adding essential vitamins and minerals, addressing nutrient deficiencies in the population (Drewnowski et al., 2022). However, these processes can also lead to nutrient loss and the formation of harmful compounds, which have significant implications for consumer health (Singh et al., 2023).

Certain processing methods, particularly those involving high temperatures or prolonged cooking times, can degrade sensitive nutrients like vitamins and antioxidants, reducing the overall nutritional quality of the food. Traditional processing techniques such as sun drying often result in significant losses of heat-sensitive vitamins, although innovative approaches like vacuum drying show promise in better nutrient preservation (Parvati, 2023). The food industry is also challenged by consumer demands for minimally processed foods that retain their natural qualities. Advances in processing technologies, such as high hydrostatic pressure and pulsed electric fields, offer new opportunities to produce safe, high-quality, and health-promoting foods while meeting consumer expectations for freshness and minimal processing (Tokuşoğlu, 2015). These technologies can help maintain the nutritional integrity of foods while extending shelf life and ensuring safety.

Moreover, the use of additives in food processing can have both positive and negative health implications. While additives can improve the taste, texture, and shelf life of foods, some are associated with health risks, including increased rates of non-communicable diseases such as obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular issues (Botelho et al., 2017). Therefore, it is crucial for the food industry to balance the benefits of additives with potential health risks. Ensuring food safety and nutritional quality requires a careful balance between using advanced processing technologies and meeting consumer demands for minimally processed, nutritious foods. Collaborative efforts between food technologists and nutritionists are essential to optimize processing methods and enhance public health outcomes (Forde and Decker, 2022; Singh et al., 2023).

- Limitations and Recommendations

Current research on food processing techniques and their impact on nutrient retention has several limitations and gaps that need addressing. One significant issue is the reduction in micronutrients due to the increased use of novel ingredients and advanced processing methods. This highlights the need for collaborative efforts between food technologists and nutritionists to optimize nutrient retention during processing (Henry and Heppell, 2002). Another limitation is the lack of comprehensive studies on traditional and innovative processing methods, particularly in regions like India, where traditional techniques are still prevalent. More research is needed to optimize these methods for better nutrient retention and improved nutritional quality of food products (Parvati, 2023).

Improper storage and excessive thermal processing can negatively impact nutrient content, particularly vitamins, which are more susceptible to degradation than minerals. Proper storage and controlled processing are crucial for retaining and even enhancing the original nutrient content of food products (Bressani, 1983). The industrial handling of food often leads to significant losses of vitamins and minerals, especially in processed foods like milk, leafy vegetables, and potatoes. There is a need for both traditional and modern preservation methods to address these nutrient losses (Mueller, 1990). Current research also indicates that processed foods tend to have lower micronutrient density and higher levels of added sugar, salt, and calories compared to meals cooked at home from raw ingredients. This highlights a limitation in maintaining nutritional quality during food processing (Singh et al., 2023).

There is a misconception between public health and food science sectors regarding the impact of food processing techniques. The focus is often on the processing level rather than formulation, leading to an incomplete understanding of how processing affects nutritional quality (Botelho et al., 2017). Additionally, there is a gap in knowledge regarding the optimization of food composition studies. Errors in food sampling, chemical analysis, and nutrient data calculation need to be minimized, and more detailed documentation of food composition data is necessary (Dwyer, 1994).

Furthermore, there is a lack of comprehensive classification systems for processed foods, which hinders consumer choices and research on health implications. More information on the degree of food processing is needed to provide consumers with vital information for improving population health (Menichetti et al., 2021). Addressing these limitations and gaps requires a multidisciplinary approach, integrating insights from food science, nutrition, and public health to optimize processing techniques and improve the nutritional quality of food products (Henry and Heppell, 2002; Parvati, 2023).

As a result of these, future research in food processing and nutrient retention should prioritize optimizing traditional and innovative processing methods to enhance nutrient preservation. Techniques such as vacuum drying and freeze-drying should be explored extensively, especially in traditional Indian cuisines, to improve the nutritional quality of processed foods (Parvati, 2023). A focus on quantifying reactions for both nutrients and toxic constituents is also essential. Researchers should prioritize studying specific environmental factors and substances that influence nutrient stability. Investigating the impact of water activity on nutrient stability and the generation of toxic substances during processing is crucial for improving food safety and nutrient retention (Lund, 1982). Collaboration between food technologists and nutritionists is imperative to address nutritional losses from increased use of novel ingredients. Exploring the effectiveness and implementation of food fortification can help improve micronutrient availability in diets (Henry and Heppell, 2002).

Moreover, developing a nutrient retention paradigm within the circular economy can reduce nutrient loss between the farm and the fork. Future research should focus on this approach to aid global food security and promote sustainable practices (Lapidge, 2016). Comparing classical food preservation methods with modern food handling techniques can help minimize losses of vitamins and minerals, especially in pre-

prepared fresh, refrigerated, or frozen foods. This research can address the increasing demand for convenience in modern eating habits (Mueller, 1990). Investigating the impact of different home-based cooking and storage methods on specific micronutrients in various food groups can provide more tailored recommendations for consumers. This research will enhance understanding of how different processing techniques affect nutrient retention (Severi et al., 1997).

Furthermore, exploring innovative processing methods that minimize nutrient loss while maintaining food safety standards is crucial. Novel preservation techniques and optimization of processing parameters for maximum nutrient retention should be a priority for future research (Singh et al., 2023). Addressing these research gaps requires a multidisciplinary approach, integrating insights from food science, nutrition, and public health to optimize processing techniques and improve the nutritional quality of food products (Henry and Heppell, 2002; Parvati, 2023).

CONCLUSION

Food processing techniques significantly impact nutrient retention and bioavailability, affecting the nutritional quality of foods. Thermal processing methods like boiling, steaming, frying, and baking affect nutrient retention differently, while mechanical processing like milling, grinding, chopping, and juicing alter the physical structure of foods. Mechanical processing methods like fermentation, pickling, and curing also impact nutrient bioavailability, with fermentation increasing the bioavailability of vitamins and amino acids and adding probiotics for gut health. Pickling reduces anti-nutrient levels, enhancing mineral absorption, and curing improves flavor and shelf life but can introduce nitrates, posing health risks.

Biotechnological processing, such as enzyme treatments and genetic modification, offers significant potential for enhancing nutrient retention and bioavailability. Enzyme treatments improve nutrient extraction and retention in fruit juices, while genetic modification can increase nutrient content and bioavailability in crops. However, there are still limitations and gaps in knowledge that need to be addressed. More research is needed to optimize traditional and modern processing methods, especially for heat-sensitive vitamins and minerals. Collaborative efforts between food technologists and nutritionists are essential to develop strategies that minimize nutrient losses during processing.

Understanding the effects of different processing methods on specific nutrients and food matrices is crucial for developing guidelines that help consumers retain the nutritional quality of their foods. Public awareness about the impacts of processing on nutrient retention can help consumers make informed decisions about their diets and cooking practices. In conclusion, optimizing food processing techniques is vital for improving public health and contributing to better global health outcomes.

REFERENCES

[1] Abesinghe, A.M.N.L., Priyashantha, H., Prasanna, P.H.P., Kurukulasuriya, M.S., Ranadheera, C.S. and Vidanarachchi, J.K. (2020) "Inclusion of Probiotics into Fermented Buffalo (Bubalus bubalis) Milk: An Overview of Challenges and Opportunities," *Fermentation*, 6(4), p. 121. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation6040121.

[2] Acar, O., Izydorczyk, Kletke, J., Yazici, M.A., Ozdemir, B., Cakmak, I. and Koksel, H. (2020) "Effects of roller and hammer milling on the yield and physicochemical properties of fibre-rich fractions from biofortified and non-biofortified hull-less barley," *Journal of Cereal Science*, 92, p. 102907. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcs.2020.102907.

[3] Adebo, J.A., Njobeh, P.B., Gbashi, S., Oyedeji, A.B., Ogundele, O.M., Oyeyinka, S.A. and Adebo, O.A. (2022) "Fermentation of Cereals and Legumes: Impact on Nutritional Constituents and Nutrient Bioavailability," *Fermentation*, 8(2), p. 63. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation8020063.

[4] Ajayi, S., Oderinde, S. and Osibanjo, O. (1980) "Vitamin C losses in cooked fresh leafy vegetables," *Food Chemistry*, 5(3), pp. 243–247. Available at:

https://doi.org/10.1016/0308-8146(80)90016-3.

[5] Akyereko, Y.G., Wireko-Manu, F.D. and Oduro, I. (2020) "Influence of Processing Methods on Food Components and Glycaemic Index of Cassava-based Traditional Foods," *Journal of Food and Nutrition Sciences*, 8(1), p. 6. Available at: https://doi.org/10.11648/j.jfns.20200801.12.

[6] Alowo, D., Muggaga, C. and Ongeng, D. (2018) "The effect of traditional malting technology practiced by an ethnic community in northern Uganda on in-vitro nutrient bioavailability and consumer sensory preference for locally formulated complementary food formulae," *Food Science & Nutrition*, 6(8), pp. 2491–2498. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.856.

[7] Anekella, K. and Orsat, V. (2014) "Shelf life stability of lactobacilli encapsulated in raspberry powder: Insights into non-dairy probiotics," *International Journal of Food Sciences and Nutrition*, 65(4), pp. 411–418. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3109/09637486.2013.869793.

[8] Ansarifar, E. and Moradinezhad, F. (2022) "Encapsulation of thyme essential oil using electrospun zein fiber for strawberry preservation," *Chemical and Biological Technologies in Agriculture*, 9(1). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40538-021-00267-y.

[9] Babanjeet, N., Sinha, G., Dwivedi, N., Naik, B.P.K., Dinkar, N., Kumar, S., Kumar, N. and Prajapati, J. (2024) "Breeding Strategies and Biotechnological Approaches to Reduce Nitrate Levels in Vegetables: A Comprehensive Review," *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*, 27(7), pp. 1141–1149. Available at: https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i71073.

[10] Ball, G.F.M. (2013) *Bioavailability and Analysis of Vitamins in Foods*. Springer. Available at: http://books.google.ie/books?id=0XsiBAAAQBAJ&printsec=frontcover&dq=Ball,+G.F.,+2013.+Bioavailability+and+analysis+of+vitamins+in+foods.+Springer.&hl=&cd=1&source=gbs_api.

[11] Becker, L., Zaiter, A., Petit, J., Karam, M.-C., Sudol, M., Baudelaire, E., Scher, J. and Dicko, A. (2017) "How do grinding and sieving impact on physicochemical properties, polyphenol content, and antioxidant activity of Hieracium pilosella L. powders?," *Journal of Functional Foods*, 35, pp. 666–672. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jff.2017.06.043.

[12] Botelho, R., Araújo, W. and Pineli, L. (2017) "Food formulation and not processing level: Conceptual divergences between public health and food science and technology sectors," *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 58(4), pp. 639–650. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2016.1209159.

[13] Bressani, R. (1983) "Hunger, Technology and Society," *Food and Nutrition Bulletin*, 5(1), pp. 1–13. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/156482658300500114.

[14] Capuano, E., Oliviero, T., Fogliano, V. and Pellegrini, N. (2018) "Role of the food matrix and digestion on calculation of the actual energy content of food," *Nutrition Reviews*, 76(4), pp. 274–289. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/nutrit/nux072.

[15] Casarotti, S.N., Monteiro, D.A., Moretti, M.M.S. and Penna, A.L.B. (2014) "Influence of the combination of probiotic cultures during fermentation and storage of fermented milk," *Food Research International*, 59, pp. 67–75. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2014.01.068.

[16] Chang, T.S., Siddiq, M., Sinha, N.K. and Cash, J.N. (1994) "Plum Juice Quality Affected by Enzyme Treatment and Fining," *Journal of Food Science*, 59(5), pp. 1065–1069. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1994.tb08191.x.

[17] Chellam, N. and Uma Mageshwari, S. (2021) "Food thy be medicine – A perspective from ancient Tamils," *International Research Journal of Tamil*, 3(3), pp. 7–21. Available at: https://doi.org/10.34256/irjt2132.

[18] Chen, Y.J., Cai, W.X. and Xu, B.J. (2016) "Phytochemical Profiles of Edible Kudzu (Pueraria thomsoniiBenth) Grown in China as Affected by Thermal Processing," *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 41(1), p. e12754. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.12754.

[19] Chu, Z., Liu, L., Mu, D., Chen, X., Zhang, M., Li, X. and Wu, X. (2024) "Research on pear residue dietary fiber and Monascus pigments extracted through liquid fermentation," *Journal of Food Science*, 89(7), pp. 4136–4147. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.17114.

[20] Colle, I., Lemmens, L., Van Buggenhout, S., Van Loey, A. and Hendrickx, M. (2010) "Effect of Thermal Processing on the Degradation, Isomerization, and Bioaccessibility of Lycopene in Tomato Pulp," *Journal of Food Science*, 75(9). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2010.01862.x.

[21] Combet, E. and Gray, S.R. (2019) "Nutrient–nutrient interactions: competition, bioavailability, mechanism and function in health and diseases," *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 78(1), pp. 1–3. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1017/s0029665118002732.

[22] Connor, J.M. and Wills, R.L. (1988) "Marketing and Market Structure of the U.S. Food Processing Industries," in *Elsevier eBooks*, pp. 117–166. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-482185-9.50009-6.

[23] Dar, A.H., Kumar, N., Shah, S., Shams, R. and Aga, M.B. (2022) "Processing of Fruits and Vegetables," in *Springer eBooks*, pp. 535–579. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-7289-7_13.

[24] Das, S., Baro, R.K., Kotecha, P. and Anandalakshmi, R. (2023) "Numerical studies on thermal processing of solid, liquid, and solid–liquid food products: A comprehensive analysis," *Journal of Food Process Engineering*, 46(12). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpe.14484.

[25] De Paepe, K., Verspreet, J., Rezaei, M.N., Martinez, S.H., Meysman, F., Van De Walle, D., Dewettinck, K., Courtin, C.M. and Van De Wiele, T. (2019) "Modification of wheat bran particle size and tissue composition affects colonisation and metabolism by human faecal microbiota," *Food & Function*, 10(1), pp. 379–396. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1039/c8fo01272e.

[26] Delgado, A., Issaoui, M., Vieira, M., De Carvalho, I.S. and Fardet, A. (2021) "Food Composition Databases: Does It Matter to Human Health?," *Nutrients*, 13(8), p. 2816. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13082816.

[27] Dhaliwal, S.S., Sharma, V., Shukla, A.K., Verma, V., Kaur, M., Shivay, Y.S., Nisar, S., Gaber, A., Brestic, M., Barek, V., Skalicky, M., Ondrisik, P. and Hossain, A. (2022) "Biofortification—A Frontier Novel Approach to Enrich Micronutrients in Field Crops to Encounter the Nutritional Security," *Molecules*, 27(4), p. 1340. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27041340.

[28] Di Nunzio, M., Loffi, C., Montalbano, S., Chiarello, E., Dellafiora, L., Picone, G., Antonelli, G., Tedeschi, T., Buschini, A., Capozzi, F., Galaverna, G. and Bordoni, A. (2022) "Cleaning the Label of Cured Meat; Effect of the Replacement of Nitrates/Nitrites on Nutrients Bioaccessibility, Peptides Formation, and Cellular Toxicity of In Vitro Digested Salami," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 23(20), p. 12555. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms232012555.

[29] Dietz, J.M. and Erdman, J.W. (1989) "Effects of Thermal Processing upon Vitamins and Proteins in Foods," *Nutrition Today*, 24(4), pp. 6–15. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1097/00017285-198907000-00003.

[30] Dowd, M.K. (1997) "Recovery of Starch and Protein from Wet-Milled Corn Fiber," *Cereal Chemistry*, 74(5), pp. 589–593. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1094/cchem.1997.74.5.589.

[31] Drewnowski, A., Detzel, P. and Klassen-Wigger, P. (2022) "Perspective: Achieving Sustainable Healthy Diets Through Formulation and Processing of Foods," *Current Developments in Nutrition*, 6(6), p. nzac089. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/cdn/nzac089.

[32] Dwyer, J.T. (1994) "Future Directions in Food Composition Studies," *Journal of Nutrition*, 124, pp. 1783S-1788S. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/jn/124.suppl_9.1783s.

[33] Ellong, E.N., Billard, C., Adenet, S. and Rochefort, K. (2015) "Polyphenols, Carotenoids, Vitamin C Content in Tropical Fruits and Vegetables and Impact of Processing Methods," *Food and Nutrition Sciences*, 06(03), pp. 299–313. Available at: https://doi.org/10.4236/fns.2015.63030.

[34] Erdoğdu, F. and Balaban, M.O. (2003) "NONLINEAR CONSTRAINED OPTIMIZATION of THERMAL PROCESSING II. VARIABLE PROCESS TEMPERATURE PROFILES to REDUCE PROCESS TIME and to IMPROVE NUTRIENT RETENTION IN SPHERICAL and FINITE CYLINDRICAL GEOMETRIES," *Journal of Food Process Engineering*, 26(3), pp. 303–314. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4530.2003.tb00603.x.

[35] Escarnot, E., Agneessens, R., Wathelet, B. and Paquot, M. (2010) "Quantitative and qualitative study of spelt and wheat fibres in varying milling fractions," *Food Chemistry*, 122(3), pp. 857–863. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.02.047.

[36] Fang, J., Liu, C., Law, C.-L., Mujumdar, A.S., Xiao, H.-W. and Zhang, C. (2022) "Superheated steam processing: An emerging technology to improve food quality and safety," *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 63(27), pp. 8720–8736. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2022.2059440.

[37] Fenwick, G.R., Hanley, A.B. and Whitaker, J.R. (1985) "The genusallium. Part 2," *C R C Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 22(4), pp. 273–377. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398509527417.

[38] Fernando, L. (2024) "Impact of Food Processing Techniques on Nutritional Content in Brazil," *International Journal of Food Sciences*, 7(1), pp. 55–65. Available at: https://doi.org/10.47604/ijf.2539.

[39] Flores, R.V. (2023) *Elaboration and characterization of nanostructures and films based on chitosan and cellulose nanocrystal for food application*. Available at: https://doi.org/10.47328/ufvbbt.2023.015.

[40] Forde, C.G. and Decker, E.A. (2022) "The Importance of Food Processing and Eating Behavior in Promoting Healthy and Sustainable Diets," *Annual Review of Nutrition*, 42(1), pp. 377–399. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-nutr-062220-030123.

[41] Ganguly, S., Kumar, M.H.S., Singh, A.K. and Sabikhi, L. (2013) "Effect of heat treatment on nutritional profile of a," *Indian Journal of Dairy Science*, 66(6). Available at: https://doi.org/10.5146/ijds.v66i6.29945.

[42] Gao, J., Li, X., Zhang, G., Sadiq, F.A., Simal-Gandara, J., Xiao, J. and Sang, Y. (2021) "Probiotics in the dairy industry—Advances and opportunities," *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 20(4), pp. 3937–3982. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12755.

[43] Gao, W., Chen, F., Wang, X. and Meng, Q. (2020) "Recent advances in processing food powders by using superfine grinding techniques: A review," *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 19(4), pp. 2222–2255. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12580.

[44] García, M.R., Carlos, V.F., Alonso, A.A. and Balsa-Canto, E. (2012) *Real Time Optimization of the thermal processing of bioproducts in batch units*, *DIGITAL.CSIC*. Available at: https://digital.csic.es/handle/10261/55110.

[45] García-Casal, M.N. (1999) *[New alternatives in the prevention of iron deficiency. Use of genetic engineering in food modification]*,

PubMed. Available at: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10971836 (Accessed: August 4, 2024).

[46] Gerber, S. and Brookshire, E.N.J. (2014) "Scaling of Physical Constraints at the Root-Soil Interface to Macroscopic Patterns of Nutrient Retention in Ecosystems," *The American Naturalist*, 183(3), pp. 418–430. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1086/674907.

[47] Gharibzahedi, S.M.T. and Jafari, S.M. (2017) "The importance of minerals in human nutrition: Bioavailability, food fortification, processing effects and nanoencapsulation," *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 62, pp. 119–132. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2017.02.017.

[48] Gibson, R.S. and Hotz, C. (2001) "Dietary diversification/modification strategies to enhance micronutrient content and bioavailability of diets in developing countries," *British Journal of Nutrition*, 85(S2), pp. S159–S166. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1079/bjn2001309.

[49] Glass, G.B.J. and Jones, E.L. (1955) "Metabolic Interrelations Between Intrinsic Factor and Vit. B12.IV. Relative Thermostability of Some Intrinsic Factor Preparations," *Experimental Biology and Medicine*, 88(1), pp. 69–73. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3181/00379727-88-21495.

[50] Goulas, V., Orphanides, A., Pelava, E. and Gekas, V. (2015) "Impact of Thermal Processing Methods on Polyphenols and Antioxidant Activity of Olive Oil Polar Fraction," *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 39(6), pp. 1919–1924. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.12430.

[51] Henry, C.J.K. and Heppell, N. (2002) "Nutritional losses and gains during processing: future problems and issues†," *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*, 61(1), pp. 145–148. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1079/pns2001142.

[52] Huey, S.L., Mehta, N.H., Konieczynski, E.M., Bhargava, A., Friesen, V.M., Krisher, J.T., Mbuya, M.N.N., Monterrosa, E., Nyangaresi, A.M., Boy, E. and Mehta, S. (2022) "Bioaccessibility and bioavailability of biofortified food and food products: Current evidence," *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 64(14), pp. 4500–4522. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2022.2142762.

[53] Ishii, S. and Yokotsuka, T. (1972) "Application of a Pectin trans-Eliminase Preparation to Fruit Juices," *NIPPON SHOKUHIN KOGYO GAKKAISHI*, 19(4), pp. 151–156. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3136/nskkk1962.19.151.

[54] Jaeger, A., Nyhan, L., Sahin, A.W., Zannini, E. and Arendt, E.K. (2024) "Lactic Acid Fermentation as a Valorising Agent for Brewer's Spent Yeast—Improving the Sensory Quality and Nutritional Potential," *Fermentation*, 10(1), p. 54. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation10010054.

[55] Janoszka, B., Nowak, A., Szumska, M., Śnieżek, E. and Tyrpień-Golder, K. (2019a) "HUMAN EXPOSURE TO BIOLOGICALLY ACTIVE HETEROCYCLIC AROMATIC AMINES ARISING FROM THERMAL PROCESSING OF PROTEIN RICH FOOD," *Wiadomości Lekarskie*, 72(8), pp. 1542–1550. Available at: https://doi.org/10.36740/wlek201908123.

[56] Janoszka, B., Nowak, A., Szumska, M., Śnieżek, E. and Tyrpień-Golder, K. (2019b) "HUMAN EXPOSURE TO BIOLOGICALLY ACTIVE HETEROCYCLIC AROMATIC AMINES ARISING FROM THERMAL PROCESSING OF PROTEIN RICH FOOD," *Wiadomości Lekarskie*, 72(8), pp. 1542–1550. Available at: https://doi.org/10.36740/wlek201908123.

[57] Jha, A., Bonetti, S., Smith, A.P., Souza, R. and Calabrese, S. (2023) "Linking Soil Structure, Hydraulic Properties, and Organic Carbon Dynamics: A Holistic Framework to Study the Impact of Climate Change and Land Management," *Journal of Geophysical Research Biogeosciences*, 128(7). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1029/2023jg007389.

[58] Joardder, M.U.H. and Masud, M.H. (2019) "Food Preservation Techniques in Developing Countries," in *Springer eBooks*, pp. 67–125. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11530-2_4.

[59] Kachru, R.P. (2010) "Agro-Processing Industries in India — Growth , Status and Prospects," *Agricultural and Food Sciences, Economics* [Preprint]. Available at: https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Agro-Processing-Industries-in-India-%E2%80%94-Growth-%2C-and-Kachru/e7ae6958f6c7d4f4cccf46d31f82d515f777df19.

[60] Karam, M.C., Petit, J., Zimmer, D., Djantou, E.B. and Scher, J. (2016) "Effects of drying and grinding in production of fruit and vegetable powders: A review," *Journal of Food Engineering*, 188, pp. 32–49. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfoodeng.2016.05.001.

[61] Kareem, S.O. and Adebowale, A.A. (2007) "Clarification of orange juice by crude fungal pectinase from citrus peel," *Nigerian Food Journal*, 25(1). Available at: https://doi.org/10.4314/nifoj.v25i1.33661.

[62] Koca, I. and Tasci, B. (2016) "Garlic as a functional food," *Acta Horticulturae*, (1143), pp. 139–146. Available at: https://doi.org/10.17660/actahortic.2016.1143.20.

[63] Kumar, N.S. and Raina, N.D.A. (2024) "The Influence of Food Processing Techniques on Nutrient Retention and Health Outcomes," *International Journal for Research Publication and Seminars*, 15(1), pp. 173–177. Available at: https://doi.org/10.36676/jrps.v15.i1.1413.

[64] Landolfi, A., Prowe, A.E.F., Pahlow, M., Somes, C.J., Chien, C.-T., Schartau, M., Koeve, W. and Oschlies, A. (2021) "Can Top-Down Controls Expand the Ecological Niche of Marine N2 Fixers?," *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.690200.

[65] Langston, F.M.A., Nash, G.R. and Bows, J.R. (2021) "The retention and bioavailability of phytochemicals in the manufacturing of baked snacks," *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 63(14), pp. 2141–2177. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2021.1971944.

[66] Lashkarizadeh, M., Munz, G. and Oleszkiewicz, J.A. (2015) "Impacts of variable pH on stability and nutrient removal efficiency of aerobic granular sludge," *Water Science & Technology*, 73(1), pp. 60–68. Available at: https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2015.460.

[67] Leong, S.Y. and Oey, I. (2012) "Effects of processing on anthocyanins, carotenoids and vitamin C in summer fruits and vegetables," *Food Chemistry*, 133(4), pp. 1577–1587. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2012.02.052.

[68] Levy, R., Okun, Z. and Shpigelman, A. (2019) "The Influence of Chemical Structure and the Presence of Ascorbic Acid on Anthocyanins Stability and Spectral Properties in Purified Model Systems," *Foods*, 8(6), p. 207. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/foods8060207.

[69] Li, B. and Brett, M.T. (2012) "The impact of alum based advanced nutrient removal processes on phosphorus bioavailability," *Water Research*, 46(3), pp. 837–844. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.11.055.

[70] Li, G.-Q., Zhao, P.-C. and Wang, J.-C. (1989) "Modelling and Nutrient Optimal Control for Canned Food in Thermal Processing 1," *IFAC Proceedings Volumes*, 22(8), pp. 29–34. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/s1474-6670(17)53335-2.

[71] Lin, L., Reisinger, A.J., Rosi, E.J., Groffman, P.M. and Band, L.E. (2021) "Evaluating Instream Restoration Effectiveness in Reducing Nitrogen Export from an Urban Catchment with a Data-Model Approach," *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 57(3), pp. 449–473. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12922.

[72] López-Arredondo, D.L., Leyva-González, M.A., Alatorre-Cobos, F. and Herrera-Estrella,

L. (2013) "Biotechnology of nutrient uptake and assimilation in plants," *The International Journal of Developmental Biology*, 57(6-7–8), pp. 595–610. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1387/ijdb.130268lh.

[73] Lund, D.B. (1982) "Influence of Processing on Nutrients in Foods," *Journal of Food Protection*, 45(4), pp. 367–373. Available at: https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028x-45.4.367.

[74] Marze, S. (2015) "Bioaccessibility of lipophilic micro-constituents from a lipid emulsion," *Food & Function*, 6(10), pp. 3218–3227. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1039/c5fo00441a.

[75] Menichetti, G., Ravandi, B., Mozaffarian, D. and Barabási, A.-L. (2021) "Machine Learning Prediction of Food Processing," *medRxiv (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory)* [Preprint]. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.22.21257615.

[76] Minh, N.P. (2022) "Effect of Brine fermented Pickling to Physicochemical, Anti-nutritional, and Microbiological Attributes of Pickled gboma Eggplant (Solanum macrocarpon)," *Journal of Pure and Applied Microbiology*, 16(1), pp. 263–275. Available at: https://doi.org/10.22207/jpam.16.1.15.

[77] Mohanlall, R., Odhav, B. and Mohanlall, V. (2013) "The effect of thermal processing on fumonisin B1 (FB1) levels in maize-based foods," *African Journal of Food Science*, 7(3), pp. 45–50. Available at: https://doi.org/10.5897/ajfs12.068.

[78] Mota, M.J., Lopes, R.P., Koubaa, M., Roohinejad, S., Barba, F.J., Delgadillo, I. and Saraiva, J.A. (2017) "Fermentation at non-conventional conditions in food- and bio-sciences by the application of advanced processing technologies," *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 38(1), pp. 122–140. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/07388551.2017.1312272.

[79] Mueller, H.R. (1990) "The Effect of Industrial Handling on Micronutrients," *Journal of Nutritional Science and Vitaminology*, 36(4-SupplementI), pp. S47–S55. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3177/jnsv.36.4-supplementi_s47.

[80] Mulțescu, M., Zachia, M., Belc, N., Manasia, T., Burnichi, F. and Israel-Roming, F. (2019) "Effect of Boiling on the Antioxidant Potential of Cabbage Varieties," *Bulletin of University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine Cluj-Napoca Food Science and Technology*, 76(2), p. 149. Available at: https://doi.org/10.15835/buasvmcn-fst:2019.0028.

[81] Musich, E.G., Dulnev, P.G. and Landin, V.P. (2018) "Role of microorganisms in phosphorus extraction from agrochemical raw materials," *Agroecological Journal*, 0(1), pp. 144–149. Available at: https://doi.org/10.33730/2077-4893.1.2018.161582.

[82] Nguyen, T., Nguyen, P., Luu, X., Huynh, B., Krishnan, S. and Huynh, P.T. (2019) "Kinetics of nutrient change and color retention during low-temperature microwave-assisted drying of bitter melon (Momordica charantia L.)," *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 43(12). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.14279.

[83] Niu, L., Guo, Q., Xiao, J., Li, Y., Deng, X., Sun, T., Liu, X. and Xiao, C. (2023) "The effect of ball milling on the structure, physicochemical and functional properties of insoluble dietary fiber from three grain bran," *Food Research International*, 163, p. 112263. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2022.112263.

[84] Nursal, B. and Yücecan, S. (2000) "Vitamin C losses in some frozen vegetables due to various cooking methods," *Nahrung/Food*, 44(6), pp. 451–453. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/1521-3803(20001201)44:6.

[85] O'Connell-Milne, S., Wing, S., Suanda, S., Udy, J., Durante, L., Salmond, N. and Wing, L. (2020) "Interactions between bivalve filter feeding and oceanographic forcing drive the fluxes of organic matter and nutrients at an estuarine-coastal interface," *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 655, pp. 29–42. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3354/meps13522.

[86] Oghbaei, M. and Prakash, J. (2016) "Nutritional properties of green gram germinated in mineral fortified soak water: II. Effect of cooking on total and bioaccessible nutrients and bioactive components," *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 54(4), pp. 880–889. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/s13197-016-2460-0.

[87] Oladeji, B.S. (2022) "Effects of Fermentation and Roasting on Natural Antioxidants in Maize," *European Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*, 4(3), pp. 95–100. Available at: https://doi.org/10.24018/ejfood.2022.4.3.512.

[88] Omowaye-Taiwo, O.A., Oluwamukomi, M.O. and Bolade, M.K. (2023) "Effect of Processing on the Chemical Composition and Phytochemical Properties of Lentinus squarrosulus Mushroom Flour," *Asian Food Science Journal*, 22(5), pp. 1–8. Available at: https://doi.org/10.9734/afsj/2023/v22i5631.

[89] Öney, B., Yılmaz, S.E. and Güçlü, D. (2023) "Nutrient retention in popular dishes based on Google Trends data in Hatay cuisine," *Nutrición Hospitalaria* [Preprint]. Available at: https://doi.org/10.20960/nh.04409.

[90] Pal, M., Patel, A.S., Bariya, A.R., Godishala, V. and Kandi, V. (2017) "A Review of Biotechnological Applications in Food Processing of Animal Origin," *American Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 5(4), pp. 143–148. Available at: https://doi.org/10.12691/ajfst-5-4-4.

[91] Parada, J. and Aguilera, J.M. (2007) "Food Microstructure Affects the Bioavailability of Several Nutrients," *Journal of Food Science*, 72(2). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1750-3841.2007.00274.x.

[92] Paradis, C., Castaigne, F., Desrosiers, T., Fortin, J., Rodrigue, N. and Willemot, C. (1996) "SENSORY, NUTRIENT AND CHLOROPHYLL CHANGES IN BROCCOLI FLORETS DURING CONTROLLED ATMOSPHERE STORAGE," *Journal of Food Quality*, 19(4), pp. 303–316. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-4557.1996.tb00425.x.

[93] Parawira, W. (2011) "Enzyme research and applications in biotechnological intensification of biogas production," *Critical Reviews in Biotechnology*, 32(2), pp. 172–186. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3109/07388551.2011.595384.

[94] Parvati, A. (2023) "Effect of Food Processing Methods on Nutrient Retention in India," *International Journal of Food Sciences*, 6(2), pp. 26–38. Available at: https://doi.org/10.47604/ijf.2214.

[95] Perera, C. (2005) "Selected Quality Attributes of Dried Foods," *Drying Technology*, 23(4), pp. 717–730. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1081/drt-200054180.

[96] Perera, D., Kumar, G., Devkota, L. and Dhital, S. (2023) "Bioactive Nutrient Retention during Thermal-Assisted Hydration of Lupins," *Foods*, 12(4), p. 709. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12040709.

[97] Popova, A.T. (2019) "The effect of heating on the vitamin C content of selected vegetables," *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 3(3), pp. 027–032. Available at: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2019.3.3.0073.

[98] Popova, N.V., Kalinina, I.V., Vasiliev, A.K. and Kameneva, K.S. (2024) "Evaluation of effectiveness and optimisation of the process of fermentation of oat drink with lactic acid microorganisms," *Agrarian Science*, (2), pp. 127–132. Available at: https://doi.org/10.32634/0869-8155-2024-379-2-127-132.

[99] Prabhadharshini, M.K., Anand, M., Amuthaselvi, G. and Vethamoni, P.I. (2024) "Holistic palak cultivation: standardizing media, nutrients in vertical A-frames for extended shelf life efficiency," *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, 8. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fsufs.2024.1388231.

[100] Prabowo, U.S. and Aprilia, R. (2022) "Effect of temperature and drying time on physicochemical of beetroot (Beta vulgaris L. var. Rubra L.) flour," *Anjoro International Journal of Agriculture and Business*, 3(2), pp.

45–50. Available at: https://doi.org/10.31605/anjoro.v3i2.1672.

[101] Prasanthi, P.S., Rao, M.V. and K, B. (2018) "Retention of Xanthophylls in Green Foliar Vegetables after Different Food Preparations," *The Indian Journal of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 55(3), p. 241. Available at: https://doi.org/10.21048/ijnd.2018.55.3.21081.

[102] Prescott, S.L., Naik, A. and Logan, A.C. (2024) "Not Food: Time to Call Ultra-Processed Products by Their True Name," *Gastronomy*, 2(2), pp. 47–56. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/gastronomy2020004.

[103] Pui, L.P. and Saleena, L.A.K. (2023) "Enzyme-Aided Treatment of Fruit Juice: A Review," *Food Processing Techniques and Technology*, 53(1), pp. 38–48. Available at: https://doi.org/10.21603/2074-9414-2023-1-2413.

[104] Quan, W., Tao, Y., Lu, M., Yuan, B., Chen, J., Zeng, M., Qin, F., Guo, F. and He, Z. (2017) "Stability of the phenolic compounds and antioxidant capacity of five fruit (apple, orange, grape, pomelo and kiwi) juices during in vitro-simulated gastrointestinal digestion," *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 53(5), pp. 1131–1139. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/ijfs.13682.

[105] Rahman, F. (2022) *Pasteurization of Milk: Process, Importance, Procedure & Recent Advancements, FOOD INFOTECH*, pp. 16–17.

[106] Reid, M., O'Donovan, M., Elliott, C.T., Bailey, J.S., Watson, C.J., Lalor, S.T.J., Corrigan, B., Fenelon, M.A. and Lewis, E. (2015) "The effect of dietary crude protein and phosphorus on grass-fed dairy cow production, nutrient status, and milk heat stability," *Journal of Dairy Science*, 98(1), pp. 517–531. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2014-8437.

[107] Reis, E.A., Bispo, E.P., Leão, M.H.M.R. and Leite, S.G.F. (2018) "Comparison of different slow-release nutrient composites produced to stimulate microorganisms," *Ambiente E Agua - an Interdisciplinary Journal of Applied Science*, 13(6), p. 1. Available at: https://doi.org/10.4136/ambi-agua.2259.

[108] Rhein-Knudsen, N., Ale, M. and Meyer, A. (2015) "Seaweed Hydrocolloid Production: An Update on Enzyme Assisted Extraction and Modification Technologies," *Marine Drugs*, 13(6), pp. 3340–3359. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/md13063340.

[109] Rolfe, C. and Daryaei, H. (2020) "Intrinsic and Extrinsic Factors Affecting Microbial Growth in Food Systems," in *Food engineering series*, pp. 3–24. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-42660-6_1.

[110] Sahin, S. and Sumnu, G. (2022) "Legume-based products—Editorial," *Legume Science*, 4(1). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/leg3.142.

[111] Samuel, N.K.S. and Peekhan, N.N. (2020) "Nutraceutical characterization and shelf life analysis of millet incorporated nutrition bars," *International Journal of Research in Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 11(2), pp. 2056–2062. Available at: https://doi.org/10.26452/ijrps.v11i2.2146.

[112] Santoso, V., Estiasih, T. and Putri, W.D.R. (2021) "Utilization of rice bran for wheat flour substitution in noodle product development: a review," *IOP Conference Series Earth and Environmental Science*, 924(1), p. 012023. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/924/1/012023.

[113] Sanusi, R.A. and Odukoya, G.M. (2018) "Cooked Yield and True Nutrient Retention Values of Selected Commonly Consumed Staple Foods in South-west Nigeria," *African Journal of Biomedical Research*, 21, pp. 147–151.

[114] Sarker, U., Oba, S. and Daramy, M.A. (2020) "Nutrients, minerals, antioxidant pigments and phytochemicals, and antioxidant capacity of the leaves of stem amaranth," *Scientific Reports*, 10(1). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-60252-7.

[115] Sauberlich, H.E. (1984) "Implications of nutritional status on human biochemistry, physiology, and health," *Clinical Biochemistry*, 17(2), pp. 132–142. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0009-9120(84)90344-8.

[116] Sen, H., Kumar, A. and Janeja, H.S. (2024) "Biofortification of Major Crops through Conventional and Modern Biotechnological Approaches to Fight Hidden Hunger: An Overview," *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology*, 27(7), pp. 96–113. Available at: https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i7970.

[117] Šertović, E., Sarić, Z., Božanić, R., Barać, M., Barukčić, I. and Kostić, A. (2020) "Fermentation of Cow's Milk and Soy Milk Mixture with L. acidophilus Probiotic Bacteria with Yoghurt Culture," in *IFMBE proceedings*, pp. 251–259. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-40049-1_32.

[118] Severi, S., Bedogni, G., Manzieri, A.M., Poli, M. and Battistini, N. (1997) "Effects of cooking and storage methods on the micronutrient content of foods," *European Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 6, pp. S21–S24. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1097/00008469-199703001-00005.

[119] Shahidi, F. (2021) "The Power of Food Science and Technology and Nutrition for Sustainable Planet Health: Food Processing Saves Lives," *Journal of Food Bioactives*, 16. Available at: https://doi.org/10.31665/jfb.2021.16286.

[120] Singh, B., Pavithran, N. and Rajput, R. (2023) "Review- Effects of Food Processing on Nutrients," *Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology*, 42(46), pp. 34–49. Available at: https://doi.org/10.9734/cjast/2023/v42i464292.

[121] Singh, N. and Singh, G. (2018) "Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and Rhizobium combinations are the key to reduce dependence on phosphorus fertilizers in lentil - A review," *Agricultural Reviews*, 38(01). Available at: https://doi.org/10.18805/ag.r-1740.

[122] Somaratne, G., Ferrua, M.J., Ye, A., Nau, F., Floury, J., Dupont, D. and Singh, J. (2020) "Food material properties as determining factors in nutrient release during human gastric digestion: a review," *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 60(22), pp. 3753–3769. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2019.1707770.

[123] Sultana, S., Iqbal, A. and Islam, M.N. (2014) *Preservation of carrot, green chilli and brinjal by fermentation and pickling*, *International Food Research Journal*, pp. 2405–2412. Available at: http://www.ifrj.upm.edu.my.

[124] Tarafder, S.K., Biswas, M. and Mondal, A.B. (2022) "Pre-Harvest Foliar Application Effects of Mineral Nutrients on Yield, Quality and Shelf Life of Broccoli," *International Journal of Sustainable Agricultural Research*, 9(3), pp. 110–128. Available at: https://doi.org/10.18488/ijsar.v9i3.3084.

[125] Tian, Y., Liu, Y., Yue, L., Uwaremwe, C., Zhao, X., Zhou, Q., Wang, Y. and Wang, R. (2022) "Bacterial Inoculant and Sucrose Amendments Improve the Growth of Rheum palmatum L. by Reprograming Its Metabolite Composition and Altering Its Soil Microbial Community," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 23(3), p. 1694. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23031694.

[126] Tokusoglu, O. (2015) "Introduction to Innovative Food Processing and Technology," *Natural Science and Discovery*, 1(4), p. 85. Available at: https://doi.org/10.20863/nsd.89151.

[127] Townsend, J.R., Kirby, T.O., Marshall, T.M., Church, D.D., Jajtner, A.R. and Esposito, R. (2023) "Foundational Nutrition: Implications for Human Health," *Nutrients*, 15(13), p. 2837. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/nu15132837.

[128] Triantino, S.B., Mulwinda, A., Hangga, A., Utomo, A.B., Salim, N.A. and Nisa, A.M. (2022) "Control System of Nutrient Solution pH Using Fuzzy Logic for Hydroponics System." Available at: https://doi.org/10.1109/icitacee55701.2022.9924108.

[129] Trivedi, J.B., Prajapati, J.B., Nair, B.M., Oste, R. and Aparnathi, K.D. (2014) "Fermentation Kinetics and Sensory Attributes of Milk Fermented by Probiotic Bacteria,"

International Journal of Fermented Foods, 3(1), p. 77. Available at: https://doi.org/10.5958/2321-712x.2014.01310.6.

[130] Van Heerden, S.M. and Strydom, P.E. (2017) "Nutrient retention values and cooking yield factors for three South African lamb and mutton cuts," *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 97(14), pp. 5037–5042. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.8396.

[131] Vinha, A.F., Alves, R.C., Barreira, S.V.P., Costa, A.S.G. and Oliveira, M.B.P.P. (2015) "Impact of boiling on phytochemicals and antioxidant activity of green vegetables consumed in the Mediterranean diet," *Food & Function*, 6(4), pp. 1157–1163. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1039/c4fo01209g.

[132] Volkert, D., Kiesswetter, E., Cederholm, T., Donini, L.M., Eglseer, D., Norman, K., Schneider, S.M., Ströbele-Benschop, N., Torbahn, G., Wirth, R. and Visser, M. (2019) "Development of a Model on Determinants of Malnutrition in Aged Persons: A MaNuEL Project," *Gerontology and Geriatric Medicine*, 5, p. 233372141985843. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1177/2333721419858438.

[133] Watzke, H.J. (1998) "Impact of processing on bioavailability examples of minerals in foods," *Trends in Food Science & Technology*, 9(8–9), pp. 320–327. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0924-2244(98)00060-0.

[134] Wulam, P.F., Jiyil, M.K., Mafuyai, C.E., Oche, J.I., Olorunyomi, O.A. and Silas, M. (2021) "Chemical Changes during Thermal Processing of Unfermented and Fermented Red Kidney Beans (Phaseolus vulgaris) and effects on In Vitro Protein Digestibility," *European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety*, pp. 124–136. Available at: https://doi.org/10.9734/ejnfs/2021/v13i330397.

[135] Xiao, H.-W., Pan, Z., Deng, L.-Z., El-Mashad, H.M., Yang, X.-H., Mujumdar, A.S., Gao, Z.-J. and Zhang, Q. (2017) "Recent developments and trends in thermal blanching – A comprehensive review," *Information Processing in Agriculture*, 4(2), pp. 101–127. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inpa.2017.02.001.

[136] Xiong, T., Mei, X., Wu, Y., Wang, L., Shi, J., Sui, Y., Cai, S., Cai, F., Chen, X. and Fan, C. (2023) "Insights into nutrition, flavor and edible quality changes of golden pomfret (Trachinotus ovatus) fillets prepared by different cooking methods," *Frontiers in Nutrition*, 10. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fnut.2023.1227928.

[137] Xue, W., Macleod, J. and Blaxland, J. (2023) "The Use of Ozone Technology to Control Microorganism Growth, Enhance Food Safety and Extend Shelf Life: A Promising Food Decontamination Technology," *Foods*, 12(4), p. 814. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12040814.

[138] Yadav, K.C., Dangal, A., Thapa, S., Rayamajhi, S., Chalise, K., Shiwakoti, L.D., Shiwakoti, R. and Katuwal, N. (2022) "Nutritional, phytochemicals, and sensory analysis of Lapsi (Choerospondias axillaris) fruit leather," *International Journal of Food Properties*, 25(1), pp. 960–975. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/10942912.2022.2070203.

[139] Yerlikaya, O. (2014) "Starter cultures used in probiotic dairy product preparation and popular probiotic dairy drinks," *Food Science and Technology*, 34(2), pp. 221–229. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1590/fst.2014.0050.

[140] Zahra, N. and Jabeen, S. (2020) "Brown Rice as Useful Nutritional Source," *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Research*, 33(3). Available at: https://doi.org/10.17582/journal.pjar/2020/33.3.445.453.

[141] Zanini, K.R.P., Kunigk, L. and Leonhardt, G.F. (2011) "Contribuição ao emprego do modelo da difusão na otimização do processamento térmico de alimentos enlatados," *Acta Scientiarum. Technology/Acta Scientiarum. Technology*, 33(3). Available at: https://doi.org/10.4025/actascitechnol.v33i3.8788.

[142] Zhan, L., Pang, L., Ma, Y. and Zhang, C. (2017) "Thermal processing affecting phytochemical contents and total antioxidant capacity in broccoli (Brassica oleraceaL.)," *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 42(3), p. e13548. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.13548.

[143] Zhang, Y., He, S. and Simpson, B.K. (2018) "Enzymes in food bioprocessing — novel food enzymes, applications, and related techniques," *Current Opinion in Food Science*, 19, pp. 30–35. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2017.12.007.

[144] Zuluaga, C.M., Serrato, J.C. and Quicazan, M.C. (2015) "Bee-Pollen Structure Modification by Physical and Biotechnological Processing: Influence on the Availability of Nutrients and Bioactive Compounds," *Chemical Engineering Transactions*, 43, pp. 79–84. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3303/cet1543014.