Skill Competency of Badminton Athletes: Basis for Training Design

JAY-R DELA CRUZ SORIANO¹, RUSSELL B. DOLENDO, PHD²

^{1, 2} Institute of Graduate and Advanced Studies, Urdaneta City University

Abstract— The study targeted assessing athletes' badminton skills in terms of strength and conditioning. The researcher utilized the descriptive technique to help answer questions. The respondents to this study are badminton players and coaches from three (3) universities in Urdaneta City. In addition, purposive sampling will be employed for respondents. Coaches and athletes who responded received 3 to 4 years of instruction and 2 to 3 years of training and seminars in badminton. This study looked at how coaches and athletes perceived their efficacy in badminton skill performance across strength components. Both cohorts have strong beliefs in athletes' speed, power, and muscle strength, which is the descriptive equivalent of high coaches agreement between and players. Furthermore, the study found that athletes consistently rated their skill competency in conditioning components as high, including cardiovascular endurance, speed training, muscular strength, and flexibility. Coaches also saw their athletes as particularly effective in these areas. These findings highlighted the significance of focused conditioning training in increasing performance across key facets of badminton.

Indexed Terms— Badminton, Coaches, Players, Performance, Skills

I. INTRODUCTION

Badminton became an Olympic sport in 1992 as a result of greater global participation in the Olympics. It is played by two or four players and has a similar temporal structure to other sports (squash, tennis, and volleyball), with brief repeating motions, high speed, and technical skill on the court, but also tremendous tension. Hidayat, et al (2023) have been focused on the biomechanical properties of badminton. By investigating the effects of footwork and racket techniques on performance, we shed light on the

importance of proper biomechanics in optimizing play. Furthermore, playing badminton requires speed, power, agility, flexibility, strength, and technical expertise. In badminton, agility is the ability to move to the oncoming shuttle with proper footwork. Numerous agility performance tests have been developed based on the characteristics of various sports, such as rugby, netball, and football, that stress change-of-direction speed and perceptual/decisionmaking abilities. Along with all other abilities, service is critical to the outcome of the game. Furthermore, badminton, a sport that combines speed, agility, power, and precision, places a significant physical strain on athletes. In badminton, physical conditioning is just as vital as technical ability for success. Strength and conditioning programs are vital for developing the physical traits required for effective badminton performance. Numerous studies have analyzed badminton players' movements in recent years utilizing kinesiological research approaches, and they have discovered that footwork is an important predictor of a badminton player's athletic performance and a basis for measuring their risk of injury (Ghosh, et al, 2022; Hambali, et al., 2023; and Putra, et al., 2023). According to Ghosh, et al (2022), the lower limbs account for a higher proportion of badminton injuries than other parts of the body. Hidayat, et al (2023) can understand the unpredictability of a player's general trend by examining quantitative data on agile reactions. In addition, a badminton court is divided into two halves, each measuring 6.7 meters long and 6.1 meters broad. The three main movement directions in the forecourt, midcourt, and rear court are left and right. The motions in these six directions, which include the cross-step in the forecourt and backcourt and the lunge in the middle court, influence how one responds to an opponent and executes footwork. Badminton players with faster feet have a better average and maximal pace. Similarly, quick direction changes in badminton motions are required for tournament-winning performances. Lower limb joint loads also had an impact on the athletic performance of badminton players. Studies of Putra, et al (2023) have revealed that poor footwork movements impair the condition of the lower limb joints and lead to injuries. The body compensates for faulty footwork actions involving the lunges by modifying the angle of

the lower limb joints' trunk inclination, reducing shot effectiveness and leading to injuries. Furthermore, the same author (Putra, et al., 2023) stated that to increase muscular strength while appropriately and effectively lowering the link between injury risk and musclecontrolling neurons, neuromuscular theories and research findings have been widely implemented in many types of sports training in recent years. As a consequence, increasing one's footwork and movement is an essential component of badminton training. Badminton has grown in popularity in the Philippines as the number of amateur and professional players has increased. This increase is due to the sport's growing popularity and accessibility. It is vital to understand how strength and conditioning programs may help Filipino badminton players progress as the sport grows. Republic Act No. 10699 of 2015 was enacted to demonstrate the Philippines' commitment to the promotion of sport. Understanding how strength and conditioning might promote badminton skill proficiency in this setting aligns with the country's overall sports development goals. Additionally, Filipino badminton players have unique benefits and challenges. Thus, it becomes essential to optimize training strategies. Opportunities are presented by a sizable pool of young talent, but challenges include limited funding and limited access to state-of-the-art training facilities. Effective strength and conditioning programs suited for the Philippine climate can fill in these deficiencies. Furthermore, the discipline of strength and conditioning is essential for improving athletic performance in a variety of sports, including badminton. The sport of badminton requires a special blend of power, endurance, speed, and agility. It's critical for athletes to comprehend the special requirements for strength and conditioning in badminton, as they always strive to obtain a competitive advantage. The significance of specialized training regimens to meet the complex physical demands of the sport has been highlighted by Asistio, et al (2023). highlight the need for thorough fitness for badminton players to avoid injuries and maintain top performance. Given the physical demands of badminton, the nexus of science and sport is one that is eagerly awaiting investigation. It has the potential to improve training methods and develop the general state of athlete performance. Furthermore, physical fitness-particularly strength and conditioning—is crucial for children's healthy growth and development. According to UNICEF, children's physical, mental, and emotional health improves with physical activity (UNICEF, 2021). It is critical to understand how young athletes' physical fitness and skill level can be improved through badminton strength and conditioning programs. In addition, UNICEF has been a strong advocate for promoting

sports and physical exercise among children and teenagers in order to support children's overall development. Physical activity is seen as crucial for children's health, wellbeing, and cognitive development, according to UNICEF (2018). In this sense, sports like badminton can play a major role in helping young people develop their skills and physical condition. This UNICEF-funded study aims to give a thorough understanding of how well-designed strength and conditioning programs may enhance the performance of badminton players and support the overall physical development of young athletes. Additionally, this study explores the critical connection that exists between the development of badminton skill competency in the Philippines and the design of strength and conditioning exercises. It aims to give a thorough understanding of how individualized strength and conditioning programs could improve the performance of Filipino badminton players, thereby contributing to the growth of the sport in the nation, with a focus on the unique context of the Philippines. Further, it seeks to offer significant insights into how strength and conditioning training plans, particularly those customized for the Philippine environment, might enhance badminton skill competency. This is a result of the Philippines' dedication to the growth of sports and the sport's rising popularity there. This promotes badminton nationally and aligns with the objectives of youth empowerment, sports development, and physical fitness promotion, all of which are endorsed by the Philippine government and related organizations.

II. METHODOLOGY

In the study of Belandres (2028), to ascertain goals in the study, aim to achieve, as well as to assist in responding to inquiries and pique the researcher's curiosity. Hence, the researcher employed the descriptive approach. The study's participants include badminton players and coaches from three (3) universities in Urdaneta City, Pangasinan. A weighted mean was used to assess the strength and conditioning skill competency of badminton athletes. The respondents' profile factors on age, number of years playing badminton, number of years coaching badminton, number of relevant prizes obtained, and number of relevant trainings and seminars were analyzed using an analysis of variance (ANOVA) to find any significant differences. Also, a t-test was applied to see whether there was a significant variation in the respondents' sex.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. Level of Skill Competency of BadmintonAthletes on Strength Components Along Speed

	ATHL	ETES	COA	CH
Speed				
~F	WM	Description	W M	Descrip tion
1. The speed	3.52	Highly	3.5	Highly
training sessions I		Agree		Competent
participated in				
significantly				
improved my				
overall quickness				
and agility. 2. I feel more	3.51	Uichly	3.6	Uichly
capable of moving	5.51	Highly Agree	3.0 7	Highly Competent
swiftly and reacting		Agree	,	Competent
quickly in various				
activities after				
completing the				
speed training.				
3. The speed-	3.43	Highly	3.6	Highly
focused drills		Agree	1	Competent
positively impacted				
my ability to				
perform tasks that require quick				
responses and				
movements.				
4. The speed	3.53	Highly	3.5	Highly
training has		Agree	0	Competent
positively				
influenced my				
performance in				
sports and physical				
activities.	0.57	· · · · ·	2.6	*** 11
5. I believe that	3.57	Highly	3.6 6	Highly
improved speed is valuable for my		Agree	0	Competent
physical activities				
and overall well-				
being.				
OVERALL	3.51	Highly	3.5	Highly
WEIGHTED		Agree	9	Competent
MEAN				
Legend:				
Athletes			Coach	ompetent
3.50-4.00 Highly Agi 2.50-3.49 Agree		3.50-4.00 Highly Competent 2.50-3.49 Competent		
1.50-2.49 Slightly No	t Agree	1.50-2.49 Slightly Not Competent		
1.00-1.49 Not Agree		1.00-1.49 No	ot Com	petent

According to the weighted mean of athletes' assessments of their speed competency, which is 3.51, athletes generally think of themselves as having a high level of speed agreement. Athletes often assessed their performance and speed progress as highly agreeable, with weighted means ranging from 3.43 to 3.57 across

the five statements used to judge speed competency. With a rating of 3.51, the aggregate weighted mean for athletes indicates that they think highly of their own speed. Coaches generally view their athletes as very competent in terms of speed, as evidenced by the weighted mean of 3.59 for their assessment of players' speed competency. Similar to players, coaches assigned weighted averages ranging from 3.50 to 3.67 to athletes' speed competency across the five assertions, rating them as extremely competent and agreeable. With a rating of 3.59, the coaches' overall weighted mean indicates that they think their players are quite cooperative when it comes to speed. Overall, the high overall weighted averages and consistent evaluations across all assertions indicate that coaches and athletes alike view athletes' speed competency as extremely competent. Athletes' agility, change of direction ability, and sprinting speed were all greatly increased by speed training interventions, which improved their on-court performance in sports like badminton (Nugroho, et al., 2022). The benefits of speed-focused exercises for athletes' economy and efficiency of movement, which enhance performance overall,

 Table 2. Level of Skill Competency of Badminton

 Athletes on Strength Components Along Power

ATHL			COACH
WM	Descrip tion	WM	Description
3.69	Highly	3.67	Highly
	Agree		Competent
3.43	Highly	3.17	Highly
	Agree		Competent
3.47	Highly	3.50	Highly
	0.		Competent
	U		1
3.52	Highly	3.17	Highly
	Agree		Competent
	WM 3.69 3.43 3.47	 3.69 Highly Agree 3.43 Highly Agree 3.47 Highly Agree 3.47 Highly Agree 3.52 Highly 	WMDescrip tionWM tion3.69Highly Agree3.67 Agree3.43Highly Agree3.173.47Highly Agree3.50 Agree3.52Highly 3.173.17

© MAY 2024 | IRE Journals | Volume 7 Issue 11 | ISSN: 2456-8880

5. The power	3.47	Highly	3.33	Highly
training has		Agree		Competent
positively affected				
my ability to				
execute explosive				
movements in				
sports events.				
OVERALL	3.52	Highly	3.37	Highly
WEIGHTED		Agree		Competent
MEAN				
Legend:				
Athletes			Coach	
3.50-4.00 Highly Agre	e	3.50-4.00) Highly	Competent
2.50-3.49 Agree		2.50-3.49 Competent		
1.50-2.49 Slightly Not Agree		1.50-2.49 Slightly Not Competent		
1.00-1.49 Not Agree		1.00-1.49 Not Competent		

With an overall weighted mean of 3.52, the athletes' scores for each statement range from 3.43 to 3.69, suggesting that they think quite favorably of themselves in terms of power. Athletes stated that power training improved their general strength, explosiveness, and ability to execute explosively in sports and other physical activities. With a weighted average of 3.37 overall, the coaches' scores for each statement range from 3.17 to 3.67, showing that they believe their athletes to be extremely skilled in terms of power. The majority of coaches concurred with the athletes' evaluations, recognizing the benefits of power training for athletes' strength, explosiveness, and performance in sports. In general, power training is viewed as extremely pleasant and effective in improving athletes' strength, explosiveness, and performance in sports requiring explosive movements by both coaches and athletes. As stated by Kaur, et al (2024), lower training is important for athletes, especially badminton players, according to several studies. Quick force generation, explosive movement execution, and enhanced overall athletic performance all depend on power.

Table 3. Level of Skill Competency of Badminton
Athleteson Strength Components Along Muscular
\mathbf{C} (\mathbf{x} , \mathbf{y} , \mathbf{y}) (1)

Muscular	ATHI	ATHLETES		CH
strength	WM	Description	WM	Description
1. The muscular	3.56	Highly	3.67	Highly
strength training		Agree		Competent
sessions I				
participated in				
significantly				
improved my				
overall strength.				
2. I feel	3.61	Highly	3.33	Highly
physically		Agree		Competent
stronger after				
completing the				
muscular				

1			
strength			
training.			
3. The muscular 3.74	87	3.00	Highly
strength training	Agree		Competent
has positively			
influenced my			
performance in			
sports and			
activities that			
require strength.			
4. The muscular 3.65	5 Highly	3.50	Highly
strength training	Agree		Competent
has positively	e		
affected my			
ability to endure			
longer during			
physical			
activities.			
5. I feel more 3.65	5 Highly	3.33	Highly
confident in my	Agree		Competent
physical			2 sinperent
strength and			
ability to handle			
challenging			
physical tasks.			
OVERALL 3.64	Highly	3.37	Highly
WEIGHTED	Agree	5.57	Competent
MEAN	Agiee		Competent
-			
Legend: Athletes		Coach	
3.50-4.00 Highly Agree	3.50-4.0		Competent
2.50-3.49 Agree		9 Compet	
1.50-2.49 Slightly Not Ag		9 Slightly	
Competent		- •	
1.00-1.49 Not Agree	1.00-1.4	9 Not Co	mpetent

Muscular strength training has been shown to improve athletes' overall strength, endurance, strength-related sports performance, and self-assurance in doing physical tasks (Kaur, et al., 2024). With an overall weighted mean of 3.37 and coaches' ratings ranging from 3.00 to 3.67 for each statement, it is clear that coaches believe their athletes to be extremely skilled in terms of muscular strength. The majority of coaches concurred with the athletes' evaluations, recognizing the benefits of muscular strength training on athletes' general strength, athletic performance, and selfassurance. Muscular strength training is often regarded by athletes and coaches as being very pleasant and effective in improving athletes' strength, endurance, performance, and self-assurance when performing physical tasks. For the purpose of producing force, enhancing movement efficiency, and avoiding accidents, muscular strength is crucial. Interventions including muscular strength training markedly increased athletes' maximal strength, power output, and performance in movements unique to their sport, which improved their on-court performance in badminton and other sports.

		ascular Endu		TOACU
Cardiovascular Endurance	WM	THLETES Description		COACH Description
1. The	3.78	Description Highly	WM 3.500	Highly
cardiovascular	5.70	Agree	5.500	Competent
endurance		ngice		competent
training				
sessions I				
participated in				
significantly				
improved my				
overall				
endurance.				
2. I feel that my	3.52	Highly	3.51	Highly
stamina has		Agree		Competent
increased after		C		Ĩ
completing the				
cardiovascular				
endurance				
training				
3. The	3.70	Highly	3.50	Highly
cardiovascular		Agree		Competent
endurance				
exercises				
positively				
impacted my				
ability to				
sustain physical				
activities for				
longer				
durations.	2.57	TT' 11	2.22	TT' 11
4. The	3.57	Highly	3.33	Highly
cardiovascular		Agree		Competent
endurance				
training has				
positively influenced my				
performance in				
sports and				
activities that				
require				
sustained effort.				
5. I feel more	3.70	Highly	3.17	Highly
confident in my	5.70	Agree	5.17	Competent
ability to endure		1.8.00		competent
longer during				
physical				
activities				
requiring				
sustained effort.				
OVERALL	3.65	Highly	3.40	Highly
WEIGHTED		Agree		Competent
MEAN		0		r
egend:				
Athletes			Coach	
.50-4.00 Highly A	gree			Competent
.50-3.49 Agree		2.50-3.49		
.50-2.49 Slightly N				Not Competent

Table 4. Level of Skill Competency of Badminton
Athletes on Conditioning Components Along
Cardiovascular Endurance

With an overall weighted mean of 3.65 and values ranging from 3.52 to 3.78 for each statement, the athletes rate their cardiovascular endurance as extremely agreeable. Cardiovascular endurance training has been shown to improve athletes' overall endurance, stamina, capacity to maintain physical activity, performance in sports requiring prolonged effort, and self-assurance in enduring extended periods of time. With a weighted average of 3.40 overall, the coaches' scores for each statement range from 3.17 to 3.50, showing that they believe their players to be extremely skilled in terms of cardiovascular endurance. In general, coaches concurred with athletes' evaluations, recognizing the beneficial effects of cardiovascular endurance training on athletes' general endurance, stamina, confidence, and performance. Cardiovascular endurance training has been shown to be highly beneficial in improving athletes' endurance, stamina, sports performance, and confidence in their ability to endure extended periods of time, according to both athletes and coaches. Cardiovascular endurance training is crucial for athletes, especially badminton players, according to several studies (Liu, et al., 2020). Cardiovascular endurance is essential for maintaining performance during extended matches, recovering quickly in between rallies, and maintaining high-intensity efforts.

Table 5. Level of Skill Competency of Badminton
Athletes on Conditioning Components Along Speed

		Training		CO L CU
Speed Training		THLETES		COACH
-18	WM	Description	WM	Description
1. The speed	3.52	Highly	3.50	Highly
training		Agree		Competent
sessions I				
participated in				
significantly				
improved my				
overall speed.				
2. I feel more	3.65	Highly	3.67	Highly
capable of		Agree		Competent
moving swiftly				
and reacting				
quickly in				
various				
activities after				
completing the				
speed training.				
3. The speed-	3.47	Highly	3.51	Highly
focused drills		Agree		Competent
positively				
impacted my				
ability to				
perform tasks				
that require				
quick responses				

and				
movements.				
4. The speed	3.57	Highly	3.50	Highly
training has		Agree		Competent
positively				
influenced my				
performance in				
sports and				
activities that				
require quick				
movements.				
5. The speed	3.52	Highly	3.33	Highly
training has		Agree		Competent
positively				
affected my				
ability to				
compete in				
sports events				
that require				
quick				
movements.				
OVERALL	3.55	Highly	3.50	Highly
WEIGHTED		Agree		Competent
MEAN				
Legend:				
Athletes			Coach	
3.50-4.00 Highly A	Igree		0,	Competent
2.50-3.49 Agree	Not Acre		49 Compe	
1.50-2.49 Slightly 1.00-1.49 Not Agre	0		49 Slightly 49 Not Co	Not Competent
1.00-1.49 NOL Agr	<i>n</i>	1.00-1.4	+> NOL CO	mpetent

© MAY 2024 | IRE Journals | Volume 7 Issue 11 | ISSN: 2456-8880

The weighted mean (WM) of conditioning competency ratings for badminton players, as assessed by coaches and athletes, with regard to speed training is shown in Table 5. The competency level is described alongside the ratings, with extremely competent and highly agreeable receiving the highest ratings. With an overall weighted mean of 3.55 and ratings ranging from 3.47 to 3.65 for each statement, the athletes believe they are very pleasant coaches when it comes to speed training. The benefits of speed training on an athlete's overall speed, capacity to move rapidly and react, ability to complete tasks quickly, performance in sports demanding quick movements, and ability to compete in sports events requiring quick movements have all been repeatedly observed by athletes. With an overall weighted mean of 3.50 and ratings ranging from 3.33 to 3.67 for each statement, coaches believe their athletes are very skilled in speed training. While acknowledging the beneficial effects of speed training on athletes' overall speed, ability to move quickly and react, ability to complete tasks quickly, performance in sports requiring quick movements, and ability to compete in sports events requiring quick movements, coaches largely agreed with athletes' assessments (Robertson, et al., 2022).

	COACH		
on WM	Description		
3.50	Highly		
	Competent		
3.49	Highly		
	Competent		
3.33	Highler		
3.33	Highly Competent		
	Competent		
3.34	Highly		
	Competent		
3.51	Highly		
	Competent		
2.42	TT: -1-1		
3.43	Highly Competent		
	Competent		
Coach			
4.00 Highly			

Table 6. Level of Skill Competency of Badminton Athletes on Conditioning Components Along

Athletes' weighted mean scores show strong consensus regarding the value of flexibility exercise.

Athletes gave flexibility training an efficacy rating above 3.57 for all claims: the weighted average was 3.61 overall. This shows that flexibility training is highly helpful in helping athletes perform better overall in sports and physical activities, as well as increase their range of motion, comfort level, and flexibility. In a similar vein, coaches evaluated flexibility training as extremely good as well, albeit with slightly lower scores than athletes. Although there was a minor variance from the athletes' point of view, the overall weighted mean for coaches was 3.43, indicating a good assessment. The agreement in evaluations between coaches and athletes' points to a common knowledge of the value and efficacy of flexibility training in improving badminton players' health and game. The little disparity in scores between coaches and athletes, however, can be the result of divergent viewpoints, experiences, or assessments of the usefulness of flexibility exercise.

Table 7. Significant Difference in the Level of
Badminton Skill Competency of the Athletes on
Strength and Conditioning Across their Profile
Variables

Profile of the Respondents	Strength		Conditioning	
COACH	Stat	P- Value	Stat	P-Value
Sex	1.649	0.209	0.067	0.849
Highest	2.735	0.076	1.268	0.292
Educational				
Attainment				
Years in	2.218	0.121	3.407	0.043
Coaching				
Badminton				
Number of	1.649	0.209	0.037	0.849
Relevant				
Trainings and				
Seminars				
ATHLETES	Strength		Conditioning	
	Stat	P-Value	Stat	P-Value
Age	74.089	0.000*	41.31	0.000*
Age	74.089	0.000*	5	0.000*
Age Sex	74.089 16.701	0.000* 0.003*	5 27.26	0.000* 0.000*
Sex	16.701	0.003*	5 27.26 3	0.000*
Sex Number of Years			5 27.26	
Sex Number of Years of Playing	16.701	0.003*	5 27.26 3	0.000*
Sex Number of Years of Playing Badminton	16.701 38.378	0.003* 0.000*	5 27.26 3 20.98 6	0.000* 0.000*
Sex Number of Years of Playing Badminton Number of	16.701	0.003*	5 27.26 3 20.98	0.000*
Sex Number of Years of Playing Badminton Number of Relevant Awards	16.701 38.378	0.003* 0.000*	5 27.26 3 20.98 6	0.000* 0.000*
Sex Number of Years of Playing Badminton Number of Relevant Awards Received	16.701 38.378 10.015	0.003* 0.000* 0.000*	5 27.26 3 20.98 6 7.890	0.000* 0.000* 0.001*
Sex Number of Years of Playing Badminton Number of Relevant Awards Received Number of	16.701 38.378	0.003* 0.000*	5 27.26 3 20.98 6	0.000* 0.000*
Sex Number of Years of Playing Badminton Number of Relevant Awards Received Number of Relevant	16.701 38.378 10.015	0.003* 0.000* 0.000*	5 27.26 3 20.98 6 7.890	0.000* 0.000* 0.001*
Sex Number of Years of Playing Badminton Number of Relevant Awards Received Number of Relevant Trainings and	16.701 38.378 10.015	0.003* 0.000* 0.000*	5 27.26 3 20.98 6 7.890	0.000* 0.000* 0.001*
Sex Number of Years of Playing Badminton Number of Relevant Awards Received Number of Relevant Trainings and Seminars	16.701 38.378 10.015	0.003* 0.000* 0.000*	5 27.26 3 20.98 6 7.890	0.000* 0.000* 0.001*
Sex Number of Years of Playing Badminton Number of Relevant Awards Received Number of Relevant Trainings and	16.701 38.378 10.015	0.003* 0.000* 0.000*	5 27.26 3 20.98 6 7.890	0.000* 0.000* 0.001*

Table 7 displays the statistically significant variation in the profiles of participants, particularly coaches and athletes, concerning their strength and conditioning

attributes. Numerous variables are analyzed, including sex, years of experience, relevant accolades obtained. and attendance at pertinent seminars and trainings. After interpreting and debating the results for coaches and athletes alike, let's examine relevant research on these variables in relation to sports coaching and athletic performance. This demonstrates significant differences between the profiles of coaches and athletes with regard to strength and conditioning attributes, including things like sex, years of experience, relevant awards, and participation in relevant training and seminars. This is consistent with the results of a large body of research in coaching and sports science. Take into account, for example, how important coaching tenure is in determining training strategies and athlete growth. Coaches must pursue ongoing education in order to improve athlete outcomes and stay current with emerging techniques. Furthermore, the observed variations between the sexes are consistent with physiological variances that have been documented. Studies like the ones that were conducted emphasize the need for training approaches that are customized based on physiological responses that are distinct to each gender. Together, these results highlight the complexity of sports coaching and athlete development, emphasizing the need for a tailored strategy based on personal traits and environmental variables.

CONCLUSION

When it pertains to badminton players' ability competence across strength components, coaches and athletes mutually assess the same level of efficacy. Weighted scores between 3.51 and 3.64 show how confident athletes are in their abilities to move quickly, exert force, and build muscle. The weighted averages of their players, which range from 3.37 to 3.59, also give coaches confidence in their effectiveness. These findings are consistent with earlier studies that demonstrate the positive impacts of targeted training interventions on athletes' performance in skills unique to badminton. Lin, et al (2020) emphasize the importance of speed, power, and muscle strength to enhance on-court performance, agility, and endurance. The analysis reveals significant differences in the badminton skill proficiency of the athletes and coaches in terms of strength and conditioning across many profile parameters. Years of coaching show a significant relationship with conditioning, suggesting that various tactics are used depending on expertise. An athlete's level of strength and fitness is highly connected with age, gender, years of sports experience, relevant awards won, and participation at relevant workshops and seminars (Dieu, et al., 2022).

These findings support earlier studies and highlight the importance of individualized training programs based on personal characteristics. In order to adapt to evolving techniques, coaches require continuous education, and athletes require customized programs to fulfill their specific needs and reach their full potential.

REFERENCES

- Asistio, E. B., Furog, A. N., & Longakit, J. C. (2023). Challenges and Opportunities Experienced among Middle-Aged Badminton Players during the Home Confinement. International Journal of Qualitative Research, 3(2), 168-177.
- [2] Belandres, E. B. (2018). Introduction to research statistics (with SPSS applications).
- [3] Dieu, O., Llena, C., Davids, K., & Potdevin, F. (2022). Enriching organisational design for games: the case of badminton in physical education. Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy, 1-15.
- [4] Ghosh, I., Ramamurthy, S. R., Chakma, A., & Roy, N. (2022). Decoach: Deep learning-based coaching for badminton player assessment. Pervasive and Mobile Computing, 83, 101608.
- [5] Hambali, B., Ma'Mun, A., Susetyo, B., Hidayat, Y., & Gumilar, A. (2023). Coach's perceptions of life skill development in badminton sport: A preliminary study. Journal of Physical Education and Sport, 23(12), 3452-3460.
- [6] Hidayat, Y., Yudiana, Y., Hambali, B., Sultoni, K., Ustun, U. D., & Singnoy, C. (2023). The effect of the combined self-talk and mental imagery program on the badminton motor skills and self-confidence of youth beginner studentathletes. BMC psychology, 11(1), 35.
- [7] Kaur, K., Rakheja, H., & Anand, P. (2024). Determination Of Combined Functional And Core Training Among Badminton And Tennis Players-A Systematic Review. Journal of Advanced Zoology, 45(1).
- [8] Lin, K. C., Lee, D. S., Chien, S. A., Chiang, Y. H. V., & Chen, N. S. (2020). The effect of a badminton teaching system using Kinect on badminton skill performance. Physical Education Journal, 53(2).

- [9] Liu, H., Wang, W., Zhang, C., & Hastie, P. A. (2020). College students' development of badminton skills and tactical competencies following Play Practice. Journal of Teaching in Physical Education, 40(2), 284-292.
- [10] Nugroho, S., Hidayat, R. A., Komari, A., Pratama, K. W., Karakauki, M., & Ali, S. K. S. (2022). Effect of Plyometric Exercise and Leg Muscle Endurance on the Agility and VO₂max of Badminton Athletes. Physical Education Theory and Methodology, 22(3s), S71-S78.
- [11] Riwukore, J. R., Marnisah, L., Yustini, T., & Habaora, F. (2022). Display of Organizational Commitment from State Civil Apparatus at the Secretariat of Regional Government of Kupang City, East Nusa Tenggara Province. Review of Management and Entrepreneurship, 6(2), 111-144.
- [12] Robertson, K., Laureys, F., Mostaert, M., Pion, J., Deconinck, F. J., & Lenoir, M. (2022). Mind, body, and shuttle: multidimensional benchmarks for talent identification in male youth badminton. Biology of Sport, 39(1), 79-94.