

# Incorporating Climate Risk into Financial Strategies: Sustainable Solutions for Resilient Banking Systems

CHIKEZIE PAUL-MIKKI EWIM<sup>1</sup>, CHIMA AZUBUIKE<sup>2</sup>, OLAJUMOKE BOLATITO AJANI<sup>3</sup>,  
LAWRENCE DAMILARE OYENIYI<sup>4</sup>, TITILOPE TOSIN ADEWALE<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria

<sup>2</sup>Guaranty Trust Bank (Nigeria) Limited

<sup>3</sup>Newcross Exploration and Production Limited, Nigeria

<sup>4</sup>Independent Researcher, Lagos, Nigeria

<sup>5</sup>Independent Researcher, Canada

**Abstract-** *Incorporating climate risk into financial strategies is essential for building resilient banking systems capable of withstanding the multifaceted impacts of climate change. This paper explores the significant risks posed by both physical and transition climate-related events, which can undermine asset values, elevate credit risk, and destabilize financial markets. It emphasizes the need for financial institutions to adopt robust risk management frameworks that integrate climate risk assessments, develop climate stress testing, and incorporate scenario analysis. Additionally, the paper highlights the importance of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors in investment decisions to align financial activities with sustainability goals. Key strategies for enhancing resilience include the adoption of green finance and sustainable lending practices, innovation in financial products such as green bonds and climate risk insurance, and fostering collaborations and partnerships for climate resilience. The paper concludes with recommendations for policymakers, financial institutions, and stakeholders to ensure a comprehensive approach to managing climate risk and promoting global sustainability.*

**Indexed Terms-** *Climate risk, Resilient banking systems, Green finance, ESG factors, Climate stress testing*

## I. INTRODUCTION

The financial sector is inherently exposed to various risks, including market, credit, and operational risks. However, climate risk introduces a new dimension of

uncertainty that can significantly affect financial stability (Chenet, Ryan-Collins, & Van Lerven, 2021). Physical risks like extreme weather events can damage infrastructure, disrupt supply chains, and reduce asset values (Schweikert & Deinert, 2021). Transition risks arise from the shift towards a low-carbon economy, leading to changes in regulations, market preferences, and technological advancements. Both types of risks can have profound implications for financial institutions, necessitating a proactive approach to risk management (Chenet, Ryan-Collins, & Van Lerven, 2019).

This paper seeks to provide a comprehensive overview of the impact of climate risk on financial systems and explore strategies for integrating these risks into financial decision-making processes. The objectives include identifying key risks associated with climate change, examining the current regulatory landscape, and proposing sustainable solutions for enhancing the resilience of banking systems.

Defining key terms is essential to clearly understand the concepts discussed. Climate risk refers to the potential financial losses from climate change impacts, including physical and transition risks (Rising, Tedesco, Piontek, & Stainforth, 2022). Physical risks involve direct damage from climate-related events, while transition risks are associated with the economic shift towards sustainable practices. Resilience in the context of banking systems refers to the ability to absorb shocks and maintain stability in the face of climate-related disruptions. Sustainable finance encompasses financial practices that consider environmental, social, and governance factors to

promote long-term sustainability (Semieniuk, Campiglio, Mercure, Volz, & Edwards, 2021).

## II. IMPACT OF CLIMATE RISK ON FINANCIAL SYSTEMS

### 2.1 Overview of climate-related financial risks

Climate-related financial risks are primarily categorized into physical and transition risks. Physical risks arise from the direct impact of climate change on the environment. These include acute events like hurricanes, floods, and wildfires, as well as chronic phenomena such as rising sea levels and temperature increases. These risks can result in significant economic losses by damaging physical assets, disrupting supply chains, and adversely affecting business operations (Campiglio, Daumas, Monnin, & von Jagow, 2023).

Transition risks stem from the global shift towards a low-carbon economy. This transition involves regulatory changes, technological advancements, and shifts in consumer preferences aimed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions and mitigating climate change (Pointner & Ritzberger-Grünwald, 2019). For financial institutions, transition risks can lead to changes in the valuation of assets and liabilities. Companies that fail to adapt to new regulations or technological standards may face decreased profitability, reduced creditworthiness, and increased liability, which can, in turn, impact financial stability (Campiglio, Monnin, & von Jagow, 2019).

### 2.2 Effects on asset values, credit risk, and market stability

The impact of climate risk on asset values is multifaceted. Physical risks can directly reduce the value of properties and infrastructure by causing damage and increasing the cost of repairs and insurance. For example, properties in flood-prone areas or regions susceptible to wildfires may experience a decline in market value due to heightened risk perceptions. This devaluation can affect the balance sheets of banks and financial institutions that hold these assets as collateral (Condon, 2023).

Credit risk is also significantly influenced by climate-related events. Borrowers affected by physical climate risks may experience disruptions in their income

streams, reducing their ability to service debt. This can lead to higher default rates, increased provisions for bad loans, and a deterioration in the quality of banks' loan portfolios. Transition risks can similarly impact credit risk, as companies facing regulatory changes or market shifts may struggle to adapt, leading to financial distress and increased default probabilities (Val, Yurchenko, Nogal, & O'Connor, 2019).

Market stability is another area where climate risk exerts substantial influence. Financial markets are highly sensitive to perceptions of risk and uncertainty. Climate-related events can trigger sudden shifts in investor sentiment, leading to market volatility and fluctuations in asset prices. For instance, extreme weather events can cause abrupt sell-offs in affected sectors, while regulatory announcements related to climate policies can lead to rapid reallocation of capital. These dynamics can exacerbate market instability, posing challenges for financial institutions and regulators alike (D'Orazio, 2023).

### 2.3 Regulatory pressures and policy responses

In response to the growing recognition of climate risk, regulatory bodies and policymakers worldwide are implementing measures to ensure that financial institutions adequately address these risks (Campiglio et al., 2018). One significant initiative is the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), which provides guidelines for companies to disclose their climate-related financial risks. The TCFD framework encourages transparency and helps investors assess the resilience of companies to climate-related impacts (Eccles & Krzus, 2019).

Central banks and financial regulators also incorporate climate risk into their supervisory frameworks. For example, some central banks have begun to include climate-related scenarios in their stress-testing exercises. These scenarios assess the potential impact of climate-related risks on financial institutions' balance sheets, helping to identify vulnerabilities and ensure that banks are adequately capitalized to withstand climate shocks (Dafermos, 2022).

Regulatory pressures drive financial institutions to integrate climate risk into their risk management frameworks. This involves developing robust methodologies for assessing and quantifying climate-

related risks, incorporating these risks into strategic planning, and enhancing governance structures to ensure accountability (Adger, Brown, & Surminski, 2018). Financial institutions are increasingly required to conduct climate stress tests, which simulate the impact of various climate scenarios on their portfolios and operations. Moreover, policymakers are promoting the development of sustainable finance initiatives to support the transition to a low-carbon economy (Challinor et al., 2018). This includes encouraging the issuance of green bonds, which finance environmentally friendly projects, and supporting the development of climate risk insurance products. These measures aim to enhance the resilience of financial systems to climate-related shocks while promoting sustainable economic growth (D’Orazio & Popoyan, 2019).

In conclusion, the impact of climate risk on financial systems is profound and multifaceted. Physical and transition risks can affect asset values, credit risk, and market stability, posing significant challenges for financial institutions. Regulatory pressures and policy responses are crucial in driving the integration of climate risk into financial strategies, ensuring that financial systems are resilient in the face of climate-related disruptions.

### III. STRATEGIES FOR INCORPORATING CLIMATE RISK

#### 3.1 Integration of Climate Risk into Risk Management Frameworks

The integration of climate risk into risk management frameworks is essential for financial institutions aiming to enhance their resilience against climate-related disruptions. Traditional risk management frameworks often focus on market, credit, and operational risks but may not adequately address the unique challenges climate risk poses. To incorporate climate risk, financial institutions need to expand their risk assessment processes to include both physical and transition risks associated with climate change (Authority, 2021).

One approach is to embed climate risk considerations into existing risk management practices, such as credit risk assessments and asset valuations. This involves identifying sectors and geographic regions that are

particularly vulnerable to climate-related events and assessing the potential impact on borrowers and investments. Financial institutions can use climate risk data and analytics to enhance their understanding of climate risk exposure and inform decision-making processes (Attoh, de Bruin, Goosen, van Veldhoven, & Ludwig, 2022).

Additionally, governance structures must be strengthened to ensure accountability for managing climate risk. This includes establishing dedicated committees or roles focused on climate risk management and ensuring that senior management and board of directors are actively overseeing these efforts. By integrating climate risk into their overall risk management frameworks, financial institutions can better anticipate and mitigate the financial impacts of climate-related events (Mees & Driessen, 2019).

#### 3.2 Development of Climate Stress Testing and Scenario Analysis

Climate stress testing and scenario analysis are critical tools for assessing the resilience of financial institutions to climate-related risks. Stress testing involves simulating the impact of severe but plausible climate-related events on the financial institution's portfolio and operations. On the other hand, scenario analysis explores a range of potential future climate scenarios, including physical and transition risks, to understand their implications for financial stability (Cartellier, 2022).

Financial institutions need to identify relevant climate-related risk factors to develop effective climate stress tests and incorporate them into their stress testing models. This may involve using historical climate data, climate projections, and economic impact assessments to simulate the potential effects of climate-related events on asset values, credit risk, and liquidity. Institutions can identify vulnerabilities in their portfolios by conducting these tests and develop strategies to mitigate potential losses (DeMenno, 2022).

Scenario analysis involves exploring different pathways for future climate developments, including scenarios aligned with global climate goals such as limiting global warming to 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels. These scenarios can help financial institutions

understand the long-term implications of climate policies, technological advancements, and shifts in consumer behavior. By considering a range of scenarios, institutions can better prepare for uncertainty and make informed strategic decisions (Cartellier, 2022).

Regulators increasingly require financial institutions to conduct climate stress tests and scenario analyses as part of their supervisory frameworks. These requirements aim to ensure that institutions are adequately prepared for climate-related risks and are taking proactive measures to enhance their resilience. By adopting these practices, financial institutions can comply with regulatory expectations and improve their ability to withstand climate-related shocks (Boros, 2020).

### 3.3 Role of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) Factors in Investment Decisions

The incorporation of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors into investment decisions is becoming increasingly important for financial institutions seeking to address climate risk. ESG factors encompass various issues, including environmental sustainability, social responsibility, and corporate governance, that can impact investments' long-term performance and risk profile (Jinga, 2021). Integrating ESG factors into investment decisions involves evaluating the environmental performance of potential investments, such as their carbon footprint, resource efficiency, and exposure to climate-related risks. Financial institutions can use ESG ratings and data providers to assess companies' sustainability performance and identify those well-positioned to thrive in a low-carbon economy (Ziolo, Filipiak, Bąk, & Cheba, 2019).

By incorporating ESG factors, financial institutions can align their investment strategies with broader sustainability goals and mitigate climate-related risks. For example, investing in companies that are leaders in sustainability can reduce exposure to transition risks associated with regulatory changes and market shifts. Additionally, engaging with investee companies on ESG issues can drive positive change and improve their resilience to climate risks. The adoption of ESG-focused investment strategies can also enhance financial performance. Studies have shown that

companies with strong ESG performance tend to have lower volatility, higher profitability, and better long-term returns. As investors increasingly prioritize sustainability, integrating ESG factors into investment decisions can help financial institutions attract capital and enhance their reputation (Inderst & Stewart, 2018; Ziolo, Bąk, Cheba, Filipiak, & Spoz, 2023).

## IV. SUSTAINABLE SOLUTIONS FOR RESILIENT BANKING SYSTEMS

### 4.1 Adoption of Green Finance and Sustainable Lending Practices

The adoption of green finance and sustainable lending practices is essential for building resilient banking systems in the face of climate risk. Green finance refers to financial activities that promote environmentally sustainable development, including investments in renewable energy, energy efficiency, and pollution reduction. By prioritizing green finance, banks can support projects that contribute to environmental sustainability while also mitigating climate-related risks (Park & Kim, 2020).

Sustainable lending practices involve integrating environmental criteria into the lending process. This can include assessing the environmental impact of borrowers' activities and requiring compliance with environmental standards as a condition for financing. By doing so, banks can reduce their exposure to environmental risks and encourage borrowers to adopt more sustainable practices. Additionally, offering preferential terms for green projects, such as lower interest rates or longer repayment periods, can incentivize borrowers to invest in sustainability (Lamperti, Bosetti, Roventini, Tavoni, & Treibich, 2021; Pyka & Nocoń, 2021).

Banks can also develop green lending products tailored to specific sustainability goals. For instance, loans for energy-efficient home improvements, electric vehicles, or sustainable agriculture can help reduce greenhouse gas emissions and promote sustainable development. By aligning their lending practices with environmental objectives, banks can contribute to the transition to a low-carbon economy and enhance their resilience to climate-related disruptions (Lamperti et al., 2021).

#### 4.2 Innovation in Financial Products

Innovation in financial products is crucial for addressing climate risk and promoting sustainability within the banking sector. One significant innovation is the development of green bonds, which are debt instruments specifically earmarked for financing environmentally friendly projects. Green bonds allow investors to support sustainable initiatives while earning returns. The issuance of green bonds has grown rapidly in recent years, reflecting increasing investor demand for sustainable investment options (Bhutta, Tariq, Farrukh, Raza, & Iqbal, 2022).

Another innovative financial product is climate risk insurance, which provides coverage against losses resulting from climate-related events such as floods, hurricanes, and droughts. Climate risk insurance can help mitigate the financial impact of extreme weather events on individuals, businesses, and governments, enhancing their ability to recover and rebuild. By offering climate risk insurance, banks can help manage the financial risks associated with climate change and support their clients' resilience (Aghion et al., 2022).

Furthermore, banks can develop sustainability-linked loans, where the interest rates are tied to the borrower's performance on predetermined sustainability targets. These targets can include reducing carbon emissions, improving energy efficiency, or achieving specific environmental certifications. Sustainability-linked loans align the financial interests of banks and borrowers with environmental objectives, creating incentives for businesses to improve their sustainability performance (Alonso & Marqués, 2019).

#### 4.3 Collaborations and Partnerships for Climate Resilience

Collaborations and partnerships are vital for enhancing climate resilience within the banking sector. Financial institutions, governments, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and other stakeholders can share knowledge, resources, and best practices to address climate risk more effectively by working together. These collaborations can take various forms, including public-private partnerships, industry alliances, and multi-stakeholder initiatives.

Public-private partnerships can facilitate financing large-scale sustainable infrastructure projects, such as renewable energy installations, green transportation systems, and climate-resilient urban developments. Governments can provide regulatory support, financial incentives, and risk-sharing mechanisms, while private sector partners can bring expertise, innovation, and capital. Such partnerships can accelerate the implementation of sustainable projects and enhance the resilience of communities to climate change (Fayolle et al., 2019).

Industry alliances, such as the United Nations Environment Programme Finance Initiative (UNEP FI) and the Global Alliance for Banking on Values (GABV), bring together financial institutions committed to sustainable finance. These alliances provide platforms for banks to collaborate on developing best practices, standards, and tools for managing climate risk. By participating in these networks, banks can stay informed about emerging trends, regulatory developments, and innovative solutions in sustainable finance (Filipava & Murshudli, 2023).

Multi-stakeholder initiatives, involving collaboration between banks, NGOs, academia, and civil society, can address specific climate-related challenges. For example, initiatives focused on promoting financial inclusion can help vulnerable populations access financial services and build resilience to climate shocks. By engaging with diverse stakeholders, banks can better understand climate risk's social and environmental dimensions and develop more holistic solutions (Graham, 2020).

## V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Incorporating climate risk into financial strategies is crucial for building resilient banking systems capable of withstanding the multifaceted impacts of climate change. This paper has highlighted the significant risks posed by both physical and transition climate-related events, which can undermine asset values, elevate credit risk, and destabilize financial markets. Financial institutions must adopt robust risk management frameworks that integrate climate risk assessments, develop climate stress testing, and

incorporate scenario analysis to better understand and mitigate these risks. Additionally, the role of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) factors in investment decisions is essential for aligning financial activities with sustainability goals.

Adopting green finance and sustainable lending practices, innovation in financial products such as green bonds and climate risk insurance, and establishing collaborations and partnerships for climate resilience are pivotal strategies for enhancing the resilience of banking systems. These approaches mitigate climate-related risks and contribute to global sustainability by promoting environmentally responsible investments and behaviors.

Policymakers should establish clear regulatory frameworks that mandate the integration of climate risk into financial decision-making processes. This includes setting standards for climate-related disclosures, encouraging the adoption of the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) recommendations, and incorporating climate risk scenarios into stress testing requirements. Additionally, policymakers can incentivize green finance and sustainable investments, such as tax breaks or subsidies for environmentally friendly projects. International cooperation is also vital to ensure a cohesive and effective response to global climate risks.

Financial institutions must proactively incorporate climate risk into their risk management frameworks. This involves conducting thorough climate risk assessments, developing and implementing climate stress tests, and integrating scenario analysis into strategic planning. Institutions should also enhance their governance structures to ensure that climate risk is overseen at the highest levels. Embracing ESG factors in investment decisions is critical for aligning portfolios with sustainability goals and reducing exposure to climate-related risks. Moreover, financial institutions should innovate by developing and offering financial products that support sustainable practices, such as green bonds and climate risk insurance.

Stakeholders, including investors, customers, and civil society, play a crucial role in adopting sustainable

finance practices. Investors should demand greater transparency and accountability regarding climate risk from the companies and financial institutions they invest in. Customers can influence banks by choosing to do business with institutions that prioritize sustainability. Civil society organizations can advocate for stronger climate policies and hold financial institutions accountable for their environmental impact. Collaboration among stakeholders is essential to create a financial system that supports resilience and sustainability.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Adger, W. N., Brown, I., & Surminski, S. (2018). Advances in risk assessment for climate change adaptation policy. In (Vol. 376, pp. 20180106): The Royal Society Publishing.
- [2] Aghion, P., Boneva, L., Breckenfelder, J., Laeven, L., Olovsson, C., Popov, A., & Rancoita, E. (2022). *Financial markets and green innovation*: ECB working paper.
- [3] Alonso, A., & Marqués, J. M. (2019). Financial innovation for a sustainable economy. *Banco de España Occasional Paper*(1916).
- [4] Attoh, E. M., de Bruin, K., Goosen, H., van Veldhoven, F., & Ludwig, F. (2022). Making physical climate risk assessments relevant to the financial sector—Lessons learned from real estate cases in the Netherlands. *Climate Risk Management*, 37, 100447.
- [5] Authority, P. R. (2021). Climate-related financial risk management and the role of capital requirements. *Climate Change Adaptation Report*, 28.
- [6] Bhutta, U. S., Tariq, A., Farrukh, M., Raza, A., & Iqbal, M. K. (2022). Green bonds for sustainable development: Review of literature on development and impact of green bonds. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 175, 121378.
- [7] Boros, E. (2020). Risks of climate change and credit institution stress tests. *Financial and Economic Review*, 19(4), 107-131.
- [8] Campiglio, E., Dafermos, Y., Monnin, P., Ryan-Collins, J., Schotten, G., & Tanaka, M. (2018). Climate change challenges for central banks and

- financial regulators. *Nature climate change*, 8(6), 462-468.
- [9] Campiglio, E., Daumas, L., Monnin, P., & von Jagow, A. (2023). Climate-related risks in financial assets. *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 37(3), 950-992.
- [10] Campiglio, E., Monnin, P., & von Jagow, A. (2019). Climate risks in financial assets. *Council on Economic Policies, Discussion*, 1-31.
- [11] Cartellier, F. (2022). Climate stress testing, an answer to the challenge of assessing climate-related risks to the financial system? Available at SSRN 4179311.
- [12] Challinor, A. J., Adger, W. N., Benton, T. G., Conway, D., Joshi, M., & Frame, D. (2018). Transmission of climate risks across sectors and borders. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 376(2121), 20170301.
- [13] Chenet, H., Ryan-Collins, J., & Van Lerven, F. (2019). Climate-related financial policy in a world of radical uncertainty: Towards a precautionary approach. *UCL Institute for Innovation and Public Purpose WP*, 13.
- [14] Chenet, H., Ryan-Collins, J., & Van Lerven, F. (2021). Finance, climate-change and radical uncertainty: Towards a precautionary approach to financial policy. *Ecological Economics*, 183, 106957.
- [15] Condon, M. (2023). Climate services: The business of physical risk. *Ariz. St. LJ*, 55, 147.
- [16] D'Orazio, P. (2023). Navigating financial stability through the dual challenges of climate change and pandemics. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 65, 101386.
- [17] D'Orazio, P., & Popoyan, L. (2019). Fostering green investments and tackling climate-related financial risks: Which role for macroprudential policies? *Ecological Economics*, 160, 25-37.
- [18] Dafermos, Y. (2022). Climate change, central banking and financial supervision: beyond the risk exposure approach. In *The future of central banking* (pp. 175-194): Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [19] DeMenno, M. B. (2022). Environmental sustainability and financial stability: can macroprudential stress testing measure and mitigate climate-related systemic financial risk? *Journal of Banking Regulation*, 1.
- [20] Eccles, R. G., & Krzus, M. P. (2019). Implementing the task force on climate-related financial disclosures recommendations: An assessment of corporate readiness. *Schmalenbach Business Review*, 71, 287-293.
- [21] Fayolle, V., Fouvet, C., Soundarajan, V., Nath, V., Acharya, S., Gupta, N., & Petrarulo, L. (2019). Engaging the private sector in financing adaptation to climate change: Learning from practice. *Action on Climate Today, Learning Paper, February*.
- [22] Filipava, L., & Murshudli, F. (2023). The development of the global green finance market: The role of banks and non-banking institutional investors. In *Green finance instruments, FinTech, and investment strategies: Sustainable portfolio Management in the Post-COVID era* (pp. 27-46): Springer.
- [23] Graham, C. (2020). Managing Climate Change: The Role of Multi-Stakeholder Partnerships in Building Climate Resilience in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Partnership Studies*, 7(2), 4-4.
- [24] Inderst, G., & Stewart, F. (2018). Incorporating environmental, social and governance (ESG) factors into fixed income investment. *World Bank Group publication, April*.
- [25] Jinga, P. (2021). The increasing importance of environmental, social and governance (ESG) investing in combating climate change. *Environmental Management-Pollution, Habitat, Ecology, and Sustainability*.
- [26] Lamperti, F., Bosetti, V., Roventini, A., Tavoni, M., & Treibich, T. (2021). Three green financial policies to address climate risks. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 54, 100875.
- [27] Mees, H., & Driessen, P. (2019). A framework for assessing the accountability of local governance arrangements for adaptation to climate change. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 62(4), 671-691.
- [28] Park, H., & Kim, J. D. (2020). Transition towards green banking: role of financial regulators and financial institutions. *Asian Journal of*

*Sustainability and Social Responsibility*, 5(1), 1-25.

- [29] Pointner, W., & Ritzberger-Grünwald, D. (2019). Climate change as a risk to financial stability. *Financial Stability Report*, 38, 30-45.
- [30] Pyka, I., & Nocoń, A. (2021). Banks' capital requirements in terms of implementation of the concept of sustainable finance. *Sustainability*, 13(6), 3499.
- [31] Rising, J., Tedesco, M., Piontek, F., & Stainforth, D. A. (2022). The missing risks of climate change. *Nature*, 610(7933), 643-651.
- [32] Schweikert, A. E., & Deinert, M. R. (2021). Vulnerability and resilience of power systems infrastructure to natural hazards and climate change. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 12(5), e724.
- [33] Semieniuk, G., Campiglio, E., Mercure, J. F., Volz, U., & Edwards, N. R. (2021). Low-carbon transition risks for finance. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 12(1), e678.
- [34] Val, D. V., Yurchenko, D., Nogal, M., & O'Connor, A. (2019). Climate change-related risks and adaptation of interdependent infrastructure systems. In *Climate adaptation engineering* (pp. 207-242): Elsevier.
- [35] Ziolo, M., Bąk, I., Cheba, K., Filipiak, B. Z., & Spoz, A. (2023). Environmental, social, governance risk versus cooperation models between financial institutions and businesses. Sectoral approach and ESG risk analysis. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10, 1077947.
- [36] Ziolo, M., Filipiak, B. Z., Bąk, I., & Cheba, K. (2019). How to design more sustainable financial systems: The roles of environmental, social, and governance factors in the decision-making process. *Sustainability*, 11(20), 5604.