Revolutionizing Risk-Based Inspection Techniques to Minimize Costs and Maximize Safety in Offshore Operations

OLUSOLA TEMIDAYO OLADIPO[1], IKIOMOWORIO NICHOLAS DIENAGHA[2], WAGS NUMOIPIRI DIGITEMIE[3]
[1]*Independent Researcher, Canada*
[2]*Shell Petroleum Development Company, Lagos Nigeria*
[3]*Shell Energy Nigeria PLC*

Abstract- ***The offshore industry faces significant challenges in balancing operational safety with cost-efficiency. While effective, traditional inspection methods are often reactive, resource-intensive, and limited in scope. This paper explores the evolution of risk-based inspection (RBI) techniques, emphasizing the role of emerging technologies such as digital twins, predictive analytics, and real-time monitoring systems in transforming inspection practices. These innovative methods' economic and safety benefits are analyzed, highlighting reduced downtime, cost savings, and enhanced worker protection. Despite barriers such as high initial investment, workforce training demands, and regulatory complexities, solutions such as strategic partnerships, modular deployment, and adaptive regulations offer practical pathways for implementation. Recommendations include prioritizing digital tools, fostering collaboration between industry stakeholders, and investing in workforce development to align skills with advanced inspection frameworks. This study underscores the critical need for next-generation strategies to ensure sustainable and efficient offshore operations.***

Indexed Terms- ***Offshore operations, Risk-based inspection, Digital twins, Predictive analytics, Real-time monitoring, Safety optimization***

I. INTRODUCTION

Offshore operations are critical to the global energy and resource industries, playing a pivotal role in meeting the world's increasing demand for energy. These operations, conducted in challenging marine environments, encompass activities such as oil and gas extraction, wind farm installations, and subsea mining (Council, 2020). However, these activities carry inherent risks due to their complexity, exposure to harsh environmental conditions, and high stakes in terms of safety, environmental sustainability, and financial investment. Offshore platforms, pipelines, and support infrastructure are vulnerable to structural degradation, corrosion, and mechanical failures, which can lead to catastrophic incidents if not adequately managed (Kyriakides & Corona, 2023).

The importance of inspections in offshore operations cannot be overstated. Inspections are the cornerstone of safety assurance and operational efficiency, providing a mechanism to identify and address potential issues before they escalate (Denney, Pai, & Whiteside, 2019). A robust inspection regime ensures the integrity of equipment and infrastructure, minimizes the likelihood of failures, and protects both human lives and the environment. Furthermore, effective inspection practices can lead to significant cost-efficiency by reducing unplanned downtime, optimizing maintenance schedules, and extending the operational lifespan of critical assets (Hernández-Chover, Castellet-Viciano, & Hernández-Sancho, 2020).

Traditional inspection approaches, however, present several challenges. These methods are often reactive, addressing problems only after they arise. They can be labor-intensive and require manual intervention, increasing the personnel risk. Additionally, conventional inspections frequently result in extended operational downtime and may lack the precision needed for proactive risk management. The limitations of these outdated methods highlight the urgent need

for innovative strategies to enhance both safety and cost-efficiency in offshore operations (Paul & Nassis, 2015).

This paper aims to explore innovative risk-based inspection (RBI) strategies that address the limitations of traditional methods. By focusing on the integration of advanced technologies, predictive analytics, and strategic frameworks, this exploration seeks to outline pathways for optimizing inspection practices. The ultimate objective is to balance safety imperatives with cost considerations, ensuring that offshore operations remain both secure and economically viable.

II. EMERGING TRENDS IN RISK-BASED INSPECTION FOR OFFSHORE OPERATIONS

Offshore operations face continuous challenges in ensuring the safety and reliability of their infrastructure while maintaining cost-efficiency. The limitations of conventional inspection methods have spurred the adoption of innovative risk-based approaches, leveraging cutting-edge technologies and advanced methodologies. Emerging trends in this domain aim to enhance predictive capabilities, streamline processes, and reduce human exposure to hazardous environments. These advancements signify a transformative shift in conducting inspections, emphasizing efficiency and safety.

2.1 Technological Advancements

One of the most impactful developments in risk-based inspection is the integration of sophisticated technologies, such as digital twins, drones, and machine learning systems. Digital twins, which are virtual replicas of physical assets, enable operators to simulate, monitor, and analyze the performance of offshore structures in real-time. These models provide actionable insights into potential vulnerabilities, allowing for targeted interventions without disrupting operations (Khan, Farnsworth, McWilliam, & Erkoyuncu, 2020).

Unmanned aerial vehicles, commonly called drones, have become indispensable tools for inspecting offshore installations. Their ability to access hard-to-reach areas, such as flare stacks and undersea pipelines, eliminates the need for manual inspections in hazardous locations. With high-resolution cameras and sensors, drones can capture detailed images and data, which can then be analyzed for early detection of structural anomalies (Wanasinghe et al., 2020).

The application of machine learning further enhances inspection precision. Algorithms can analyze historical and real-time data to identify patterns indicative of wear, corrosion, or other issues. By automating these processes, companies can reduce the reliance on manual evaluations, increasing the efficiency and accuracy of inspections (Diez-Olivan, Del Ser, Galar, & Sierra, 2019).

2.2 Enhanced Data Analytics for Predictive Risk Assessment

The rise of advanced data analytics has revolutionized the predictive capabilities of risk-based inspections. Traditionally, inspections relied heavily on fixed schedules or reactive responses to observed issues. With enhanced data analytics, companies can now forecast potential risks based on historical performance, environmental conditions, and operational data (Hussain, Zhang, & Seema, 2023). Predictive models use statistical and machine-learning techniques to identify areas of concern before they develop into significant problems. For example, corrosion rates in offshore pipelines can be modeled based on environmental factors like salinity and pressure variations (Al-Sabaeei, Alhussian, Abdulkadir, & Jagadeesh, 2023). This enables operators to prioritize maintenance activities on high-risk sections while extending the inspection intervals for less critical areas. Such targeted approaches significantly reduce costs and improve resource allocation. Additionally, integrating analytics with condition-monitoring technologies ensures continuous assessment, further mitigating risks (Yadigarova, 2023).

2.3 Integration of Real-Time Monitoring Systems

Real-time monitoring systems are increasingly becoming a cornerstone of modern risk-based inspection strategies. Sensors embedded in offshore structures continuously transmit data on pressure, temperature, vibration, and strain parameters. This constant flow of information enables operators to detect deviations from normal operating conditions

immediately, facilitating swift corrective actions (Malekloo, Ozer, AlHamaydeh, & Girolami, 2022).

Moreover, these systems enhance decision-making by providing comprehensive insights into the state of offshore assets. For instance, the integration of acoustic sensors can detect micro-cracks or leaks in pipelines, while advanced imaging technologies can identify corrosion or biofouling. Combining these capabilities with cloud-based platforms allows stakeholders to access critical data from remote locations, improving collaboration and response times. By enabling real-time visibility into asset conditions, these systems shift the focus from periodic inspections to continuous monitoring. This minimizes the risks associated with unexpected failures and reduces the need for costly shutdowns (Wong & McCann, 2021).

2.4 Shift from Reactive to Proactive Inspection Models

The adoption of proactive inspection models marks a significant paradigm shift in offshore operations. Traditional approaches, which often addressed issues only after they manifested, have been increasingly replaced by strategies to prevent problems before they occur. This transition is driven by the integration of technologies and analytical tools that empower operators to anticipate risks (Zio, 2018).

Proactive models focus on dynamic risk assessments, which consider real-time data, operational changes, and external factors. This approach allows for flexible and adaptive inspection schedules, allocating resources based on current and projected risks. For instance, instead of inspecting an entire platform at fixed intervals, operators can concentrate on specific components exhibiting signs of potential failure (Raveendran, Renjith, & Madhu, 2022). Additionally, proactive strategies foster a culture of continuous improvement. By analyzing inspection trends and outcomes, companies can refine their practices and enhance their overall safety and efficiency frameworks. This reduces immediate risks and contributes to long-term resilience in offshore operations (Lizarelli & Toledo, 2016).

III. ECONOMIC AND SAFETY IMPLICATIONS OF ENHANCED INSPECTION STRATEGIES

3.1 Cost Savings Achieved Through Targeted Inspections

One of the most immediate and tangible benefits of enhanced inspection strategies is the reduction in operational costs. Traditional inspection methods often involve inspecting entire structures or systems, regardless of their risk profiles. This blanket approach can lead to inefficiencies, as resources are allocated uniformly rather than where they are needed most (Ljungberg, 2023).

In contrast, advanced inspection strategies leverage data analytics and condition-monitoring technologies to focus on high-risk areas. Operators can optimize their resource allocation by identifying critical components requiring immediate attention. For example, predictive analytics can prioritize inspections for pipeline sections exhibiting signs of accelerated corrosion, allowing for targeted interventions. This reduces unnecessary inspections and extends equipment's service life by addressing issues before they escalate (El Himer, 2019).

Additionally, digital technologies such as drones and remote sensors significantly lower costs by reducing the need for manual labor and associated expenses. These tools eliminate the logistical challenges of deploying personnel to remote or hazardous locations, providing a cost-effective alternative for routine inspections. The cumulative effect of these targeted approaches is a substantial reduction in operational expenditures without compromising safety (Emimi, Khaleel, & Alkrash, 2023).

3.2 Reduction in Downtime and Maintenance Frequency

Operational downtime is one of the most significant cost drivers in offshore industries. Traditional inspection and maintenance methods often require halting operations, resulting in lost productivity and revenue. Enhanced inspection strategies mitigate these challenges by enabling real-time monitoring and predictive maintenance (Ren, Verma, Li, Teuwen, & Jiang, 2021).

By utilizing continuous monitoring systems, operators can detect and address anomalies without the need for extended shutdowns. For instance, sensors embedded in offshore structures provide real-time data on structural integrity, allowing maintenance teams to address issues during planned operational pauses rather than emergency shutdowns. This approach minimizes disruptions and ensures the continuity of operations (Kaiser, 2019). Moreover, predictive maintenance frameworks reduce the frequency of maintenance activities. Instead of adhering to rigid, time-based schedules, inspections and repairs are conducted based on actual asset conditions. This reduces the direct costs associated with maintenance and enhances asset reliability, further contributing to operational efficiency (El Himer, 2019).

3.3 Improved Worker Safety Through Remote and Automated Methods

The offshore environment presents significant risks to workers, including exposure to harsh weather conditions, high-pressure systems, and dangerous heights. Traditional inspection methods often require personnel to access hard-to-reach or hazardous areas, increasing the likelihood of accidents (Shukla & Karki, 2016). Enhanced inspection strategies address these challenges by leveraging remote and automated technologies. For example, aerial drones equipped with cameras and sensors can inspect difficult or unsafe areas for humans to access (Besada et al., 2018). These devices can perform detailed assessments of structures such as flare stacks and undersea pipelines, eliminating the need for workers to perform these tasks manually. Similarly, robotic crawlers and underwater vehicles are used to inspect subsea installations, reducing the risk of accidents during underwater operations (Emimi et al., 2023).

Automation further enhances safety by minimizing human involvement in high-risk activities. Machine-learning algorithms and real-time monitoring systems can independently analyze data and identify potential issues, allowing workers to focus on tasks in safer environments. These methods significantly improve overall safety outcomes by reducing human exposure to dangerous conditions (Asadzadeh et al., 2020).

3.4 Long-Term Economic Benefits of Adopting Innovative Inspection Frameworks

The long-term economic implications of adopting advanced inspection strategies extend beyond immediate cost savings. Enhanced inspection practices contribute to the sustainable operation of offshore assets, ensuring their longevity and reliability. By proactively addressing risks and maintaining asset integrity, operators can extend the operational life of their infrastructure, reducing the need for costly replacements or overhauls (Ainin, Naqshbandi, & Dezdar, 2016).

Additionally, the integration of innovative inspection frameworks enhances regulatory compliance and environmental stewardship. Offshore industries are subject to stringent regulations to prevent accidents and minimize environmental impacts. Non-compliance can result in hefty fines and reputational damage. Advanced inspection methods allow operators to meet and exceed regulatory standards, safeguarding their financial and operational stability (Ikram, Ferasso, Sroufe, & Zhang, 2021). From a broader perspective, the adoption of modern inspection strategies fosters investor confidence and market competitiveness. Companies that are committed to safety, efficiency, and innovation are better positioned to attract investment and secure contracts. Furthermore, the reduction in operational risks translates to lower insurance premiums and liability costs, providing additional financial benefits (Cruz, Peters, & Shevchenko, 2015).

IV. CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS IN IMPLEMENTING NEXT-GENERATION INSPECTION APPROACHES

4.1 Barriers to Implementation

The substantial upfront cost is one of the most significant barriers to adopting advanced inspection technologies. Tools such as digital twins, real-time monitoring systems, and robotics require considerable capital expenditure for acquisition, installation, and integration with existing systems. These costs may be prohibitive for many offshore operators, particularly smaller companies (Javaid, Haleem, & Suman, 2023). Additionally, ongoing operational expenses, including software licenses, data storage, and system upgrades, further contribute to the financial burden. These

factors can deter companies from transitioning to next-generation strategies, especially when traditional methods remain functional, albeit less efficient (Singh et al., 2022).

The integration of advanced technologies necessitates a workforce proficient in their use. Digital tools such as machine-learning models and drone-based inspection systems require operators, analysts, and engineers with specialized technical skills. This represents a significant challenge for many companies, as the existing workforce may lack the necessary expertise. Training programs are often costly and time-intensive, posing a hurdle for companies seeking to upskill their employees. Furthermore, the rapid pace of technological innovation can render training obsolete, requiring continuous investment in workforce development to keep up with emerging trends (Nooralishahi et al., 2021).

The offshore industry is subject to rigorous regulatory frameworks designed to ensure safety, environmental protection, and operational integrity. While these regulations are critical, they can also act as barriers to the adoption of innovative inspection methods. For instance, approval processes for new technologies can be lengthy and complex, delaying their deployment (Maher, Long, Cromartie, Sutton, & Steinhilber, 2016). In some cases, existing regulations may not adequately accommodate advancements like remote monitoring systems or AI-driven inspection tools, creating uncertainty for operators. Companies may face difficulties aligning cutting-edge practices with compliance requirements, further slowing their implementation (Amaechi et al., 2022).

4.2 Solutions to Overcome Barriers

Collaborative partnerships between technology providers, industry stakeholders, and academic institutions can play a pivotal role in mitigating the high costs associated with next-generation inspection approaches. By pooling resources and expertise, these partnerships can accelerate the development, deployment, and adoption of innovative solutions (Colombo & Mattarolo, 2017). For example, offshore operators can work with technology developers to customize tools tailored to their specific needs, reducing unnecessary expenditures. Similarly, collaborations with research institutions can facilitate access to cutting-edge innovations without incurring the full cost of in-house development. Public-private partnerships can also provide financial support through subsidies, grants, or shared investment models, making advanced inspection technologies more accessible to smaller operators (Bento & Fontes, 2019).

A phased, modular approach to implementing next-generation inspection tools can help companies manage costs and reduce operational disruptions. Instead of a full-scale overhaul, operators can prioritize high-risk areas or critical assets for early adoption. This strategy allows companies to evaluate the effectiveness of new technologies in a controlled manner before expanding their use. For instance, deploying real-time monitoring systems on a single offshore platform can provide valuable insights and demonstrate a return on investment, building confidence in broader implementation. Modular deployment also enables operators to spread costs over time, making the transition to advanced inspection methods more financially manageable (Garcia, Aumeier, & Al-Rashdan, 2020).

Regulatory frameworks must evolve to keep pace with technological advancements. Policymakers can support the adoption of next-generation inspection strategies by creating adaptive regulations that encourage innovation while maintaining safety and environmental standards. For instance, regulatory bodies can establish pilot programs or sandbox environments where companies can test new technologies under controlled conditions without facing the full burden of compliance requirements. These initiatives provide valuable opportunities for stakeholders to identify potential challenges and refine their approaches before widespread implementation.

Additionally, streamlined approval processes for emerging technologies can reduce delays and uncertainty, fostering a more conducive environment for innovation. Open communication between regulators and industry stakeholders is essential to ensure that regulations remain relevant and supportive of technological progress.

CONCLUSION

The dynamic evolution of offshore operations necessitates the adoption of innovative inspection strategies to address safety and cost challenges. Risk-based inspection (RBI) has emerged as a transformative approach, shifting practices from reactive to proactive methods that prioritize prevention over response. This conclusion highlights the critical insights gained from the discussion, focusing on technological advancements, economic and safety implications, and the challenges of implementing advanced inspection frameworks.

Technological innovations such as digital twins, real-time monitoring systems, and artificial intelligence have revolutionized modern inspection practices. These tools enable accurate and predictive risk assessments, allowing operators to identify and mitigate potential hazards before they escalate. By integrating these advancements, offshore industries can optimize asset management and enhance operational efficiency, ensuring a safer working environment.

The economic and safety benefits of next-generation inspection methods are significant. Targeted inspections minimize unnecessary costs, reduce downtime, and allocate resources more effectively. Automation and remote technologies further enhance worker safety by limiting human exposure to hazardous environments. These improvements demonstrate the potential for advanced strategies to achieve both cost savings and higher safety standards. Despite their advantages, implementing sophisticated inspection methods poses challenges, including high initial costs, workforce training demands, and regulatory complexities. Addressing these barriers requires strategic approaches such as phased deployment, partnerships, and adaptive regulations. Collaborative efforts among stakeholders can streamline adoption and accelerate the integration of innovative practices.

To fully harness the benefits of advanced inspection frameworks, stakeholders must prioritize adopting digital technologies, fostering collaboration between operators and regulators, and investing in workforce training. Digital tools such as predictive analytics and cloud-based platforms can improve scalability and efficiency. Collaborative initiatives and adaptive policies ensure that innovations align with regulatory standards, while continuous training programs equip the workforce to meet evolving industry demands. These strategic actions will position the offshore industry for safer, more efficient, and sustainable operations.

REFERENCES

[1] Ainin, S., Naqshbandi, M. M., & Dezdar, S. (2016). Impact of adoption of Green IT practices on organizational performance. *Quality & Quantity*, *50*, 1929-1948.

[2] Al-Sabaeei, A. M., Alhussian, H., Abdulkadir, S. J., & Jagadeesh, A. (2023). Prediction of oil and gas pipeline failures through machine learning approaches: A systematic review. *Energy Reports*, *10*, 1313-1338.

[3] Amaechi, C. V., Reda, A., Kgosiemang, I. M., Ja'e, I. A., Oyetunji, A. K., Olukolajo, M. A., & Igwe, I. B. (2022). Guidelines on asset management of offshore facilities for monitoring, sustainable maintenance, and safety practices. *Sensors*, *22*(19), 7270.

[4] Asadzadeh, A., Arashpour, M., Li, H., Ngo, T., Bab-Hadiashar, A., & Rashidi, A. (2020). Sensor-based safety management. *Automation in Construction*, *113*, 103128.

[5] Bento, N., & Fontes, M. (2019). Emergence of floating offshore wind energy: Technology and industry. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *99*, 66-82.

[6] Besada, J. A., Bergesio, L., Campaña, I., Vaquero-Melchor, D., López-Araquistain, J., Bernardos, A. M., & Casar, J. R. (2018). Drone mission definition and implementation for automated infrastructure inspection using airborne sensors. *Sensors*, *18*(4), 1170.

[7] Colombo, E., & Mattarolo, L. (2017). Energy and development: the role of academia in education, research, and technological cooperation for sustainability. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment*, *6*(1), e215.

[8] Council, G. W. E. (2020). Global offshore wind report 2020. *GWEC: Brussels, Belgium, 19*, 10-12.

[9] Cruz, M. G., Peters, G. W., & Shevchenko, P. V. (2015). *Fundamental aspects of operational risk and insurance analytics: A handbook of operational risk*: John Wiley & Sons.

[10] Denney, E., Pai, G., & Whiteside, I. (2019). The role of safety architectures in aviation safety cases. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety, 191*, 106502.

[11] Diez-Olivan, A., Del Ser, J., Galar, D., & Sierra, B. (2019). Data fusion and machine learning for industrial prognosis: Trends and perspectives towards Industry 4.0. *Information Fusion, 50*, 92-111.

[12] El Himer, M. (2019). *Innovation in condition monitoring and predictive maintenance solutions in industrial contexts.* University of Stavanger, Norway,

[13] Emimi, M., Khaleel, M., & Alkrash, A. (2023). The current opportunities and challenges in drone technology. *Int. J. Electr. Eng. and Sustain.*, 74-89.

[14] Garcia, H. E., Aumeier, S. E., & Al-Rashdan, A. Y. (2020). Integrated state awareness through secure embedded intelligence in nuclear systems: Opportunities and implications. *Nuclear Science and Engineering, 194*(4), 249-269.

[15] Hernández-Chover, V., Castellet-Viciano, L., & Hernández-Sancho, F. (2020). Preventive maintenance versus cost of repairs in asset management: An efficiency analysis in wastewater treatment plants. *Process Safety and Environmental Protection, 141*, 215-221.

[16] Hussain, M., Zhang, T., & Seema, M. (2023). Adoption of big data analytics for energy pipeline condition assessment. *International Journal of Pressure Vessels and Piping*, 105061.

[17] Ikram, M., Ferasso, M., Sroufe, R., & Zhang, Q. (2021). Assessing green technology indicators for cleaner production and sustainable investments in a developing country context. *Journal of cleaner production, 322*, 129090.

[18] Javaid, M., Haleem, A., & Suman, R. (2023). Digital twin applications toward industry 4.0: A review. *Cognitive Robotics, 3*, 71-92.

[19] Kaiser, M. J. (2019). The role of factor and activity-based models in offshore operating cost estimation. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering, 174*, 1062-1092.

[20] Khan, S., Farnsworth, M., McWilliam, R., & Erkoyuncu, J. (2020). On the requirements of digital twin-driven autonomous maintenance. *Annual Reviews in Control, 50*, 13-28.

[21] Kyriakides, S., & Corona, E. (2023). *Mechanics of Offshore Pipelines: Volume I: Buckling and collapse*: Gulf Professional Publishing.

[22] Lizarelli, F. L., & Toledo, J. C. d. (2016). Practices for continuous improvement of the Product Development Process: a comparative analysis of multiple cases. *Gestão & Produção, 23*, 535-555.

[23] Ljungberg, S. (2023). Infrared techniques in buildings and structures: Operation and maintenance. In *Infrared methodology and technology* (pp. 211-252): CRC Press.

[24] Maher, S. T., Long, G. D., Cromartie, R. S., Sutton, I. S., & Steinhilber, M. R. (2016). Paradigm shift in the regulatory application of safety management systems to offshore facilities. *Process Safety Progress, 35*(4), 317-329.

[25] Malekloo, A., Ozer, E., AlHamaydeh, M., & Girolami, M. (2022). Machine learning and structural health monitoring overview with emerging technology and high-dimensional data source highlights. *Structural Health Monitoring, 21*(4), 1906-1955.

[26] Nooralishahi, P., Ibarra-Castanedo, C., Deane, S., López, F., Pant, S., Genest, M., . . . Maldague, X. P. (2021). Drone-based non-destructive inspection of industrial sites: A review and case studies. *Drones, 5*(4), 106.

[27] Paul, D. J., & Nassis, G. P. (2015). Testing strength and power in soccer players: the application of conventional and traditional methods of assessment. *The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research, 29*(6), 1748-1758.

[28] Raveendran, A., Renjith, V., & Madhu, G. (2022). A comprehensive review on dynamic

risk analysis methodologies. *Journal of Loss Prevention in the Process Industries*, *76*, 104734.

[29] Ren, Z., Verma, A. S., Li, Y., Teuwen, J. J., & Jiang, Z. (2021). Offshore wind turbine operations and maintenance: A state-of-the-art review. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, *144*, 110886.

[30] Shukla, A., & Karki, H. (2016). Application of robotics in offshore oil and gas industry—A review Part II. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, *75*, 508-524.

[31] Singh, M., Srivastava, R., Fuenmayor, E., Kuts, V., Qiao, Y., Murray, N., & Devine, D. (2022). Applications of digital twin across industries: A review. *Applied Sciences*, *12*(11), 5727.

[32] Wanasinghe, T. R., Gosine, R. G., De Silva, O., Mann, G. K., James, L. A., & Warrian, P. (2020). Unmanned aerial systems for the oil and gas industry: Overview, applications, and challenges. *IEEE access*, *8*, 166980-166997.

[33] Wong, B., & McCann, J. A. (2021). Failure detection methods for pipeline networks: From acoustic sensing to cyber-physical systems. *Sensors*, *21*(15), 4959.

[34] Yadigarova, S. A. (2023). *Condition Monitoring and Data Collection System.* Khazar University (Azerbaijan),

[35] Zio, E. (2018). The future of risk assessment. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, *177*, 176-190.