Advancing SME Financing Through Public-Private Partnerships and Low-Cost Lending: A Framework for Inclusive Growth

ISAAC KAYODE OYEGBADE[1], ABBEY NGOCHINDO IGWE[2], ONYEKA CHRISANCTUS OFODILE[3], CHIMA AZUBUIKE[4]
[1] *PricewaterhouseCoopers Ltd, Nigeria*
[2] *Independent Researcher, Port Harcourt, Nigeria*
[3] *Sanctus Maris Concepts Ltd, Nigeria*
[4] *Guaranty Trust Bank (Nigeria) Limited*

Abstract- This review explores the role of Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) and low-cost lending mechanisms in advancing financing for Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs), with a focus on fostering inclusive economic growth. SMEs play a pivotal role in driving innovation, creating jobs, and contributing to economic stability. However, they often face significant barriers in accessing affordable financing, which impedes their growth and sustainability. Public-Private Partnerships, through government and private sector collaboration, have emerged as an effective solution to bridge this financing gap. PPPs provide SMEs with access to capital, risk mitigation, and capacity-building support, enhancing their ability to thrive in competitive markets. Additionally, low-cost lending mechanisms, including microfinance institutions, development banks, and peer-to-peer lending platforms, offer affordable financial products that ease SMEs' financial burdens. This review examines various PPP models such as loan guarantees, co-financing, and joint ventures, and assesses the success of these approaches in different global contexts. It also investigates the mechanisms of low-cost lending and their contribution to enhancing SMEs' access to working capital and financing for growth. The review highlights the synergy between PPPs and low-cost lending, emphasizing their collective potential to create an inclusive financing ecosystem that empowers underserved groups, encourages entrepreneurship, and drives sustainable economic growth. Key policy recommendations include strengthening PPP frameworks, expanding low-cost lending programs, and integrating digital technologies to improve financing accessibility and transparency. This review concludes that advancing SME financing through these models is critical to achieving inclusive growth, fostering innovation, and enhancing economic resilience in both developing and developed economies.

Indexed Terms- Small and medium enterprises (SME), Public-private partnerships, Low-cost lending, Inclusive growth

I. INTRODUCTION

Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) are generally defined as businesses that employ a relatively small number of people and generate a moderate volume of revenue (Okeke *et al*., 2022). The exact thresholds for categorizing an enterprise as an SME can vary by country or organization but typically include businesses with fewer than 250 employees and annual revenues of less than $50 million. SMEs encompass a wide range of industries, from manufacturing and retail to services and technology (Gouveia and São Mamede, 2022). These enterprises are often distinguished by their flexibility, innovation, and ability to quickly adapt to changes in the market, which makes them an essential component of both developing and developed economies.

SMEs play a vital role in the economic development of countries worldwide (Petrunenko *et al*., 2021). They represent the backbone of most economies, contributing significantly to job creation, innovation, and poverty reduction. According to the World Bank, SMEs account for approximately 90% of businesses and more than 50% of employment globally. In many

regions, SMEs are particularly important for fostering entrepreneurship, enhancing competition, and driving inclusive growth (Surya *et al*., 2021). They provide opportunities for marginalized groups, including women and youth, to participate in the economy. In addition, SMEs often contribute to the local economy by providing products and services tailored to regional needs, thus promoting community development. Furthermore, SMEs are critical in fostering innovation and economic diversification, which are essential for long-term sustainable development. In developing economies, the role of SMEs becomes even more crucial. They can stimulate local economies by creating jobs, enhancing technological adoption, and providing the necessary competition for larger businesses. By tapping into local resources and addressing local needs, SMEs contribute to reducing economic disparities and can drive regional development (Smith *et al*., 2022). In addition, SMEs often serve as suppliers for larger corporations, which further strengthens the entire economic ecosystem.

Despite their significant contributions to economic development, SMEs face substantial challenges in accessing finance, which hinders their growth and expansion (Rajamani *et al*., 2022). One of the most pressing barriers is the limited availability of financing options that are both affordable and accessible. Many SMEs lack the collateral, credit history, or scale to qualify for traditional bank loans, which limits their ability to secure capital for business expansion, innovation, and operational growth. The financing gap is particularly pronounced in emerging and developing economies, where banks and financial institutions tend to be risk-averse (Okeke *et al*., 2022). The absence of effective credit-rating systems, limited financial literacy among SME owners, and the lack of tailored financial products further exacerbate the situation. Consequently, SMEs often resort to informal and high-cost lending sources, such as family loans or moneylenders, which can result in unfavorable terms and increase the financial strain on businesses. Another challenge is the inadequate support in the form of technical assistance, advisory services, and capacity building for SMEs to effectively manage finances and make sound financial decisions (Park *et al*., 2020). As a result, many SMEs fail to build robust financial records, which can prevent them from attracting investors or qualifying for government-backed lending programs. These constraints create a vicious cycle, wherein limited access to finance results in slow growth, limited market expansion, and an inability to compete effectively in the global market.

The primary purpose of this, is to explore how Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) and low-cost lending models can serve as effective mechanisms for advancing SME financing. Given the significant challenges SMEs face in accessing affordable capital, this study seeks to identify strategies that can help bridge the financing gap. By examining successful PPP models and low-cost lending initiatives, the research aims to provide insights into how these mechanisms can be scaled and adapted to different economic contexts. Furthermore, this intends to evaluate the potential of these financing models to foster inclusive growth by ensuring that SMEs from marginalized and underserved sectors also gain access to much-needed capital. The goal is to develop a framework that policymakers, financial institutions, and development organizations can use to enhance access to finance for SMEs, with a focus on sustainability, inclusivity, and long-term economic resilience. Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) have become increasingly recognized as a key strategy for addressing financing challenges in various sectors, including SME development. In the context of SME financing, PPPs involve collaboration between the public sector (government agencies, development banks) and private sector entities (banks, venture capitalists, and investors) to provide funding, resources, and expertise (Okeke *et al*., 2022). These partnerships aim to reduce the financial risks for both parties, increase the availability of capital for SMEs, and promote economic growth. Models of PPPs in SME financing include co-financing arrangements, loan guarantees, and joint ventures, where risks and rewards are shared between the government and private investors. Governments can provide credit enhancements or partial guarantees to make investments in SMEs more attractive to private investors, thereby unlocking financing that would otherwise be unavailable.

Low-cost lending models, such as microfinance institutions, development banks, and peer-to-peer lending platforms, offer more affordable alternatives to traditional commercial bank loans (Jameaba, 2020).

These models typically provide loans at lower interest rates, longer repayment terms, and fewer collateral requirements, making them more accessible to SMEs with limited resources. Microfinance institutions, for example, focus on providing small loans to underserved or low-income entrepreneurs, while development banks may offer specialized loans for targeted sectors like technology or green energy. Peer-to-peer lending platforms leverage technology to connect individual lenders with SMEs in need of capital, often bypassing traditional financial institutions and reducing costs. Together, these low-cost lending models help mitigate some of the financial barriers SMEs face, allowing them to grow and expand their businesses (Okeke *et al*., 2022). This investigates how both PPPs and low-cost lending models can work in synergy to provide a comprehensive solution to the financing challenges SMEs face, fostering inclusive growth and supporting the long-term development of the SME sector.

II. THE ROLE OF PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS (PPPS) IN SME FINANCING

Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) are collaborative agreements between government agencies and private sector entities aimed at leveraging the strengths of both sectors to achieve mutual objectives (Giti *et al*., 2020). In the context of financial sector development, PPPs can serve as a powerful tool for addressing gaps in financing, promoting economic growth, and supporting the development of SMEs. While the private sector typically provides capital and expertise, the public sector brings regulatory frameworks, risk mitigation tools, and targeted interventions that can foster an enabling environment for SMEs. PPPs have become a critical instrument in developing economies, where traditional financial mechanisms often fall short in providing affordable and accessible financing to small and medium-sized enterprises. PPPs can be particularly effective in addressing the market failures that impede the growth of SMEs, such as information asymmetry, high borrowing costs, and limited access to credit. By combining the resources and capabilities of both public and private actors, PPPs create financing models that are more flexible, inclusive, and accessible to SMEs. The public sector can play a pivotal role in reducing the risks associated with lending to SMEs, enabling the private sector to participate in financing activities that might otherwise be considered too risky or unprofitable.

Public-Private Partnerships in SME financing come in various models, each designed to address specific challenges faced by SMEs in accessing capital. The key models of PPPs include government-backed loan guarantees, joint venture partnerships, and co-financing and risk-sharing arrangements (Owen *et al*., 2020). One of the most common models of PPPs in SME financing is government-backed loan guarantees. In this model, the government assumes a portion of the risk associated with lending to SMEs by providing a guarantee for a loan that the private lender may not be willing to extend otherwise. The government's guarantee reduces the lender's perceived risk, making it more likely that private financial institutions will lend to SMEs, especially those with limited collateral or a short credit history. This model enables SMEs to access credit at more favorable terms, such as lower interest rates or longer repayment periods, which might otherwise be unavailable through traditional banking channels. Joint venture partnerships between the public and private sectors are another model of PPPs in SME financing. In this model, both sectors collaborate to co-invest in the SME sector by providing capital, technical expertise, and management support. The government may take an equity stake in the venture, offering not only funding but also a commitment to the long-term growth of SMEs (Cicchiello and Leone, 2020). Joint ventures can help SMEs scale their operations, develop new products, and enter new markets. By pooling resources, these partnerships can significantly reduce the financial burden on individual SMEs, allowing them to access more substantial capital pools that would otherwise be inaccessible. Co-financing and risk-sharing models are also widely used in PPPs to address financing challenges in the SME sector. Under co-financing arrangements, the government and private investors share the cost of financing a project or business. The private sector typically provides the majority of the capital, with the government contributing a portion to reduce the financial burden on SMEs (Megersa, 2020). In risk-sharing models, the government may assume a portion of the credit or operational risk, thereby mitigating the risks to private investors. These models enable SMEs

to access larger amounts of capital, while simultaneously sharing the financial risks between the public and private sectors, thus making investments in SMEs more attractive.

The implementation of PPPs in SME financing offers a range of benefits for SMEs, helping them overcome barriers to growth and fostering long-term success (Hilkenmeier *et al*., 2021). These benefits include increased access to capital, risk mitigation, and opportunities for capacity building and mentorship. One of the most significant advantages of PPPs for SMEs is increased access to capital. Through government-backed guarantees and co-financing models, SMEs are able to secure the funding they need to expand their businesses, invest in new technologies, and improve their operations. The reduction in lending risks provided by the public sector makes private investors more willing to finance SMEs, particularly those in high-risk or underserved sectors. This enhanced access to capital is crucial for SMEs looking to grow and compete in a rapidly evolving marketplace. SMEs often struggle with high levels of financial risk due to their small size, limited resources, and vulnerability to market fluctuations. PPPs help mitigate these risks by sharing the financial burden between the public and private sectors. In joint ventures or co-financing models, the government's involvement ensures that SMEs have a safety net in case of financial difficulties, which can encourage private investors to participate in financing SMEs. Furthermore, government-backed guarantees can protect lenders against defaults, making it more likely for SMEs to receive loans under favorable terms (Cowling *et al*., 2021). In addition to financial support, PPPs can provide valuable non-financial benefits, such as capacity building and mentorship. The involvement of the public sector often includes technical assistance, training, and advisory services that help SMEs improve their business practices and financial management. These programs can enhance the long-term sustainability of SMEs by improving their ability to manage growth, access new markets, and develop innovative solutions. Moreover, private sector partners often provide mentorship and strategic advice, which can help SMEs build the necessary skills to scale their operations effectively.

Several successful PPP initiatives have demonstrated the potential of these models in enhancing SME financing. In emerging economies, one example is the Development Bank of Latin America's (CAF) initiative to support small businesses through co-financing arrangements with local banks (Kamal and Ray, 2020). In this case, the CAF provided partial guarantees to private sector banks, which enabled SMEs to access larger loans at favorable terms. This model has been successful in reducing financing costs for SMEs while improving their ability to scale operations and create jobs. In developed markets, the UK's Enterprise Finance Guarantee (EFG) scheme serves as another example of government-backed loan guarantees in action. Through the EFG, the government guarantees a portion of loans issued to SMEs, thereby allowing smaller firms with limited collateral to access financing from banks. This initiative has played a crucial role in sustaining SMEs during times of economic uncertainty, such as during the 2008 financial crisis.

These case studies illustrate the potential of PPPs to create an enabling environment for SME growth, particularly in regions or sectors where traditional financing models fail to meet the needs of smaller businesses. The success of these models highlights the importance of collaboration between the public and private sectors in overcoming the financial challenges faced by SMEs (Leckel *et al*., 2020). PPPs represent a powerful mechanism for advancing SME financing, providing both financial resources and risk mitigation tools to support long-term growth. Through various models such as loan guarantees, joint ventures, and co-financing, these partnerships help SMEs access the capital needed to expand and thrive in competitive markets. With the right policy frameworks, PPPs can play a transformative role in fostering inclusive and sustainable economic development.

2.1 Low-Cost Lending Mechanisms for SMEs

Low-cost lending refers to financial products that offer SMEs access to capital at significantly lower interest rates compared to traditional lending mechanisms, thereby reducing the financial burden on borrowers. These loans are often designed to support the growth and sustainability of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs), which typically struggle with

access to affordable credit due to limited collateral, high perceived risks, and insufficient credit histories (Elahi *et al*., 2021). Low-cost lending mechanisms are crucial for fostering entrepreneurship, driving job creation, and stimulating economic development, particularly in emerging economies where SMEs often represent the backbone of the economy. The importance of low-cost lending lies in its ability to provide affordable financial resources for SMEs to invest in business growth, innovation, and infrastructure development. By making capital more accessible, low-cost lending can help reduce the barriers that prevent SMEs from scaling up and contributing fully to the economy. These mechanisms are also instrumental in improving financial inclusion, enabling underserved or marginalized populations to participate in economic activities, thereby fostering equitable growth. Ultimately, low-cost lending mechanisms can play a critical role in reducing poverty and enhancing social mobility by empowering small businesses and entrepreneurs.

There are several low-cost lending models that have been developed to address the unique financing challenges faced by SMEs. These models are tailored to meet the specific needs of small businesses, offering them access to capital at terms that are more favorable than those provided by traditional financial institutions. Microfinance institutions are one of the most well-known models of low-cost lending (Parvin *et al*., 2020). These institutions specialize in providing small loans to low-income entrepreneurs, including those running SMEs. MFIs typically offer microloans with minimal or no collateral requirements, making them accessible to businesses that would not qualify for loans from commercial banks. These loans are often provided at low interest rates and come with flexible repayment schedules. In addition to financial support, MFIs often provide non-financial services such as training, mentorship, and business development support, which help borrowers build capacity and improve their business practices. This holistic approach helps ensure the sustainability of businesses and enables them to grow despite their limited initial resources (Okeke *et al*., 2022). Development banks and state-supported lending programs are another key component of low-cost lending mechanisms. These institutions, often owned or backed by the government, focus on providing financing to SMEs with an emphasis on fostering economic development. Development banks typically offer long-term loans with lower interest rates, as their primary goal is to promote social and economic development rather than to generate profit. State-supported lending programs, such as government-backed loan guarantee schemes, often work in tandem with commercial banks to reduce the perceived risk of lending to SMEs, allowing these businesses to access financing at lower costs (Baker, 2021; Okeke *et al*., 2022). These programs are especially important in emerging markets where access to affordable capital is limited and SMEs face significant barriers to financing. Peer-to-peer (P2P) lending platforms have emerged as an innovative model for low-cost lending to SMEs. These online platforms connect borrowers directly with individual or institutional investors, bypassing traditional financial intermediaries such as banks. P2P lending platforms allow SMEs to access capital at lower interest rates by reducing the overhead costs associated with traditional banking systems. Additionally, P2P platforms enable investors to diversify their portfolios by lending to small businesses, which can yield attractive returns. For SMEs, P2P lending offers a faster and more transparent lending process, with the potential for more flexible terms. This model is particularly beneficial for businesses in niche markets or high-risk sectors that may have difficulty securing financing through traditional banks.

For low-cost lending mechanisms to be effective in supporting SME growth, certain criteria must be met (Alumasa and Muathe, 2021). These criteria are essential for ensuring that lending models remain sustainable and impactful in addressing the financing needs of small businesses. Interest rate subsidies are a fundamental component of successful low-cost lending programs. By reducing the interest rates charged on loans, governments or development institutions make financing more affordable for SMEs, which often operate with limited cash flow. Subsidized rates help mitigate the financial strain on borrowers and enable them to invest in their businesses without being overwhelmed by debt servicing costs. Interest rate subsidies can be particularly effective when combined with other forms of financial support, such as loan guarantees or grants. Flexibility in repayment terms is another key factor in

ensuring the success of low-cost lending mechanisms. SMEs face fluctuating cash flow cycles, and inflexible repayment schedules can pose significant challenges, especially for businesses in their early stages. Low-cost lending models that offer adjustable repayment schedules based on the financial capacity of the borrower are more likely to succeed. Flexible terms, such as grace periods or deferred payments, allow SMEs to manage their debt more effectively, ensuring that they can meet their financial obligations without compromising their growth potential (Gordana and Biljana, 2021). Access to working capital is crucial for the day-to-day operations of SMEs. Low-cost lending mechanisms that prioritize the provision of working capital, as opposed to just long-term investment capital, can have a significant impact on a business's ability to function and grow. Working capital loans help SMEs manage operational expenses, such as payroll, inventory, and supply chain costs, ensuring that businesses can maintain a stable and sustainable operational structure. By providing short-term financial support, these loans enable SMEs to remain competitive and resilient, particularly in challenging market conditions.

Despite the numerous benefits, low-cost lending mechanisms face several challenges and limitations that must be addressed to ensure their long-term success. One of the primary challenges of low-cost lending is the inherent credit risk associated with lending to SMEs. Small businesses often lack a proven track record, making them more susceptible to financial difficulties and defaults (Bakhtiari *et al*., 2020). Credit risk can deter private investors from participating in low-cost lending programs, as the likelihood of loan repayment may be uncertain. To mitigate this risk, lending institutions may require collateral or other forms of security, which can be challenging for SMEs to provide. Alternatively, governments or development banks may need to share the risk through loan guarantees or other risk-sharing mechanisms. High loan default rates are another challenge that can undermine the sustainability of low-cost lending models. If SMEs are unable to repay their loans due to cash flow issues or market volatility, the lending institutions may face significant financial losses. To reduce default rates, lenders must ensure that the loans are issued to businesses with solid business plans and sound financial management. Furthermore, loan servicing conditions should be aligned with the borrower's financial capacity to minimize the likelihood of default. The sustainability of funding for low-cost lending programs is a critical issue. These programs often rely on government subsidies, donor funding, or philanthropic capital to reduce the cost of borrowing. If funding is not sustainable or fails to scale with growing demand, the programs may become ineffective. To ensure sustainability, these mechanisms must be supported by robust financial infrastructure, transparent governance, and long-term funding sources. Low-cost lending mechanisms represent a vital avenue for enabling SME growth, particularly in underserved markets. While the models are diverse and include microfinance institutions, development banks, and P2P platforms, each has its own advantages and challenges (Okeke *et al*., 2022). By addressing criteria such as interest rate subsidies, flexible repayment terms, and access to working capital, low-cost lending can provide SMEs with the necessary resources to thrive. However, challenges such as credit risk, loan defaults, and funding sustainability must be managed to ensure the long-term effectiveness and scalability of these mechanisms.

2.2 The Interplay Between PPPs and Low-Cost Lending in Promoting Inclusive Growth

Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) and low-cost lending mechanisms share a common goal of fostering economic development, but their synergy can significantly amplify their impact, especially in advancing inclusive growth. PPPs involve collaboration between government entities and private sector partners to address challenges and meet shared objectives (Joudyian *et al*., 2021). In the context of SME financing, PPPs can create a conducive environment for low-cost lending by combining the efficiency and resource mobilization capabilities of the private sector with the social focus and risk-mitigating role of the public sector. The synergy between PPPs and low-cost lending is most evident in models where the public sector de-risks lending for financial institutions or private investors, making capital more accessible and affordable for SMEs. For example, government-backed loan guarantee schemes, often a feature of PPPs, mitigate the risk for private investors or banks, encouraging them to extend credit

at lower interest rates to SMEs. This collaborative approach reduces the financing gap for small businesses that may otherwise be excluded from traditional lending channels. By combining the strengths of both sectors, the partnership can unlock financial resources for SMEs, ensuring their growth and long-term sustainability, thereby fostering inclusive growth (Okeke *et al*., 2022).

Inclusive growth hinges on ensuring that all segments of society, particularly those that are underserved or marginalized, can access the financial resources necessary to grow their businesses (Patnaik and Bhowmick, 2020). SMEs in developing regions or remote areas, as well as those run by women, youth, and other vulnerable groups, often face heightened challenges in securing financing (Tunio *et al*., 2021). PPPs and low-cost lending mechanisms play a pivotal role in bridging this gap by providing targeted support for these groups. Through tailored loan products and government incentives, SMEs in underserved areas can access affordable capital, which is crucial for their success and for driving broader economic inclusion. PPPs and low-cost lending are especially vital in empowering women entrepreneurs, who typically face higher barriers to accessing financing due to gender-based discrimination and social norms. By offering loan guarantees, subsidies, and lower interest rates, PPPs can help to level the playing field, encouraging more women to participate in the entrepreneurial ecosystem. Additionally, low-cost lending institutions like microfinance providers have proven particularly effective in supporting women-led businesses, further driving gender equity in business ownership and management. SMEs are often at the forefront of innovation and entrepreneurship, yet they typically struggle to access the necessary financial resources to support new ventures, technologies, or business models (Gasparin *et al*., 2021). PPPs and low-cost lending mechanisms help foster an environment conducive to innovation by reducing the financial risk for entrepreneurs and providing them with access to capital at affordable rates. Government support through PPPs can complement private sector resources by offering funding for research and development (R&D), pilot projects, and market exploration, thus enabling entrepreneurs to take on risks associated with innovation (Ferraris *et al*., 2020). Moreover, low-cost lending models, such as peer-to-peer lending and microfinance, help de-risk the early stages of entrepreneurship by offering flexible repayment terms and low-interest rates. This model supports individuals who may otherwise lack the collateral or credit history required by traditional financial institutions. The combination of PPPs and low-cost lending creates an ecosystem that encourages risk-taking and entrepreneurship, contributing to economic dynamism and innovation (Kim *et al*., 2020).

The advent of digital technology has significantly enhanced the accessibility of finance, especially for SMEs in remote or underserved areas (Manzoor *et al*., 2021). Digital platforms that facilitate loan applications streamline the process, reducing the time and costs associated with accessing credit. By removing geographical barriers, these platforms enable SMEs to apply for loans online, thus expanding their reach beyond traditional banking branches (Okeke *et al*., 2022). PPPs can play a vital role in facilitating the development of these platforms, particularly in underbanked regions, by partnering with technology firms, financial institutions, and government agencies. This partnership can help create digital ecosystems that support seamless, low-cost access to finance for SMEs. Digital platforms also provide SMEs with the flexibility to choose from a range of financing options, improving the efficiency of the lending process. Additionally, digital tools like credit scoring models based on alternative data can enhance the accuracy of risk assessment, thus broadening access to finance for businesses that may have been excluded from traditional lending channels (Djeundje *et al*., 2021). Blockchain technology offers significant potential in enhancing the transparency, security, and efficiency of financial transactions in the SME financing sector. By leveraging blockchain, PPPs and low-cost lending mechanisms can ensure that loan agreements and financial data are securely stored, reducing the risk of fraud or mismanagement. This level of transparency fosters trust between SMEs and lenders, encouraging more private sector involvement in low-cost lending programs. Blockchain also enables the use of smart contracts, which can automate loan disbursement and repayment processes, ensuring compliance with loan agreements and reducing administrative costs. The transparency and immutability of blockchain records can also serve as a secure form of collateral for SMEs, making it

easier for them to access credit through innovative means such as asset-backed lending (Tian *et al*., 2020; Chen *et al*., 2021).

For PPPs and low-cost lending mechanisms to effectively promote inclusive growth, supportive regulatory frameworks are essential. Governments play a crucial role in establishing clear guidelines and policies that facilitate the collaboration between the public and private sectors (Abbott and Snidal, 2021). These frameworks ensure that lending practices are fair, transparent, and inclusive, protecting both borrowers and lenders while encouraging the flow of capital into the SME sector (Okeke *et al*., 2022). For example, regulations governing loan guarantee schemes, interest rate caps, and the use of digital platforms can ensure that low-cost lending remains accessible to SMEs without exacerbating financial risks. Furthermore, governments can promote policies that incentivize private sector involvement in PPPs for SME financing. Tax breaks, subsidies, and other incentives can attract private investors and financial institutions, encouraging them to partner with the public sector to fund SME growth. To ensure the successful integration of PPPs and low-cost lending mechanisms, financial literacy and capacity building are critical components. Many SMEs, especially in developing economies, lack the financial management skills required to effectively utilize credit. Through targeted training programs, workshops, and resources, governments and financial institutions can equip SME owners with the knowledge necessary to manage loans, plan for growth, and understand their financial obligations. By improving financial literacy, these programs help reduce the risk of loan default and improve the overall effectiveness of low-cost lending (Bradley, 2021). Additionally, capacity-building initiatives can support SMEs in developing business strategies, improving cash flow management, and leveraging technology for business growth. By strengthening the capabilities of SMEs, governments can ensure that the financing they provide leads to sustainable and inclusive growth. The interplay between PPPs and low-cost lending mechanisms presents a powerful tool for promoting inclusive growth by providing SMEs with the financial resources they need to thrive (Nure *et al*., 2020). Through targeted policies, innovative technological solutions, and tailored financing models, these mechanisms can support underserved groups, foster entrepreneurship, and reduce the financial barriers that impede economic development. With proper policy support and institutional backing, the integration of PPPs and low-cost lending can create a more inclusive and equitable financial ecosystem, ensuring that all SMEs, regardless of size or location, have access to the capital needed to drive growth and innovation (Randa, 2020; Okeke *et al*., 2022).

2.3 Policy Recommendations for Advancing SME Financing Through PPPs and Low-Cost Lending

One of the most critical elements for advancing SME financing through Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) is the active participation of the private sector. Governments can play a pivotal role in incentivizing private sector engagement by offering financial and non-financial incentives (Bello *et al*., 2022). These incentives could include tax breaks, reduced regulatory burdens, and guaranteed loan portfolios that mitigate financial risks for investors. By lowering the perceived risks associated with financing SMEs, private financial institutions, venture capitalists, and other private investors will be more willing to collaborate in PPPs. Additionally, fostering a strong relationship between the public and private sectors through regular dialogues, joint policy development, and shared objectives can lead to greater alignment between public goals for SME growth and the private sector's business objectives (Das and Rangarajan, 2020). Ensuring private sector participation requires clear policy direction that demonstrates the long-term benefits of supporting SME financing, such as boosting local economies, creating jobs, and enhancing market competitiveness (Durst and Gerstlberger, 2020; Lee *et al*., 2021). Effective government structures are essential to the success of PPPs for SME financing. Governments should streamline processes that facilitate the establishment and management of PPPs, reducing bureaucratic inefficiencies and ensuring that the policies governing these partnerships are transparent and simple to navigate. This could involve centralizing PPP operations through dedicated agencies that can monitor, manage, and evaluate the impact of these programs. Governments can also facilitate PPPs by designing flexible policies that respond to market dynamics and SME needs (Hervas-Oliver *et al*., 2021).

These may include creating adaptive frameworks for different types of SMEs based on their size, sector, and stage of development. Such adaptability ensures that policies can remain relevant as the financing landscape evolves.

To maximize the impact of low-cost lending programs, policies should be designed to target sectors that are both strategically important for national economic growth and have a high potential for job creation and innovation (Ajide, 2020; Sharma e al., 2021). High-impact sectors such as renewable energy, technology, agriculture, and healthcare, especially in developing economies, are often underserved by traditional financing models. Policymakers can develop targeted low-cost lending programs that provide favorable terms to SMEs operating within these sectors (Asah *et al*., 2020). Such targeted programs ensure that SMEs working in key areas receive the financial support they need to scale, ultimately contributing to broader economic development goals. Moreover, these sectors often face unique financing challenges that require specialized products, such as long-term financing or flexible repayment terms. By tailoring lending schemes to meet the needs of these sectors, governments can promote sustainable economic growth, innovation, and entrepreneurship in areas critical to national development (Albaz *et al*., 2020). For low-cost lending to be sustainable and effective, it is crucial to incentivize lenders to offer favorable terms to SMEs. Governments can provide financial incentives such as interest rate subsidies or partial loan guarantees to encourage financial institutions to lend to SMEs at lower rates. These incentives reduce the risk of lending and make it more attractive for lenders to engage with SMEs that may not meet the stringent criteria typically required by traditional lending institutions. In addition to financial incentives, policymakers can create a conducive environment by establishing credit risk-sharing programs and utilizing technology for efficient loan assessment. Financial institutions can benefit from government-backed risk mitigation mechanisms, such as loan guarantees or insurance, which reduce exposure to defaults and non-performing loans. By improving the risk-return balance for lenders, low-cost lending models will be more likely to thrive and deliver the intended benefits to SMEs (Brown *et al*., 2021).

Promoting financial inclusion is central to creating a sustainable financing ecosystem for SMEs. Access to affordable and appropriate financial products should be available to SMEs across various regions, including underserved and rural areas (Yuan *et al*., 2020). Policymakers can encourage financial inclusion by incentivizing the creation of innovative financial products, such as micro-loans, invoice financing, and asset-backed lending, that are accessible to SMEs with limited credit histories or collateral. Furthermore, financial institutions can partner with technology companies to develop digital platforms that allow SMEs to easily apply for loans, receive advice, and track their financial health. These platforms can significantly reduce barriers to financing by expanding access to underserved groups, including women entrepreneurs and smallholder farmers, who often face challenges in accessing traditional financing channels. Policymakers can also work with financial institutions to enhance credit assessment methods, incorporating alternative data sources such as payment histories, utility bills, and even social media activity, to evaluate the creditworthiness of SMEs. This approach allows lenders to consider more SMEs that would traditionally be excluded from formal financing, promoting equitable access to financial resources. For low-cost lending models to be sustainable in the long term, it is important that they are designed with mechanisms to ensure their continued viability and impact. Policymakers need to ensure that financing models are adaptable to market changes and remain resilient during economic downturns or times of financial instability (Hynes *et al*., 2020). Governments can achieve this by implementing robust monitoring systems that assess the performance of lending programs and identify areas for improvement or adjustment. In addition, to ensure that low-cost lending models do not lead to unsustainable debt levels for SMEs, it is crucial to include repayment structures that are flexible and tailored to the business cycles of SMEs. For example, offering grace periods or deferred repayment options for businesses facing temporary cash flow challenges could improve loan repayment rates and reduce defaults. Furthermore, it is important to incorporate a feedback loop within financing models (Sjödin *et al*., 2021). This loop ensures that SMEs can provide input on their financing experiences and suggest areas for improvement, enabling policymakers to fine-tune lending terms,

expand access, and address emerging challenges (Arner *et al*., 2021). By maintaining flexibility and responsiveness, financing models can continue to evolve in ways that meet the changing needs of SMEs and promote long-term growth.

To advance SME financing through Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) and low-cost lending, policymakers must focus on creating an environment that promotes collaboration, provides targeted support, and ensures sustainability. Strengthening PPP frameworks, expanding low-cost lending programs, and promoting financial inclusion are key to achieving inclusive growth (Paul *et al*., 2021). Moreover, fostering a sustainable financing ecosystem that adapts to the evolving needs of SMEs will ensure that these businesses can thrive, innovate, and contribute to broader economic development. With effective policies and strategic collaboration between the public and private sectors, SME financing can serve as a powerful tool for inclusive economic growth.

CONCLUSION

This has explored the critical role of Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) and low-cost lending mechanisms in advancing SME financing and promoting inclusive growth. Key insights from the analysis highlight that SMEs are pivotal drivers of economic development, contributing significantly to job creation, innovation, and poverty reduction. However, they face substantial barriers in accessing finance, including high-interest rates, rigid lending terms, and limited collateral. Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) have emerged as an effective model to bridge this financing gap by combining public sector resources and private sector expertise. These collaborations offer benefits such as improved access to capital, risk mitigation, and capacity building for SMEs. Moreover, low-cost lending mechanisms, such as microfinance institutions, development banks, and peer-to-peer lending platforms, have proven to be vital in offering affordable financial products tailored to the needs of SMEs. These models help reduce the financial burden on small businesses, enabling them to scale and contribute to economic growth. The integration of PPPs with low-cost lending mechanisms can amplify the positive outcomes for SMEs, providing a more comprehensive support system that fosters innovation, entrepreneurship, and economic inclusion.

Public-Private Partnerships and low-cost lending models are powerful tools for advancing inclusive economic growth, particularly in developing economies where SMEs are often constrained by limited access to financing. By fostering collaboration between the public and private sectors, these models offer a more sustainable and flexible approach to financing that can adapt to the diverse needs of SMEs across various industries. The synergy between PPPs and low-cost lending creates a more robust financing ecosystem that ensures that capital is not only accessible but also appropriately tailored to the unique challenges faced by SMEs. Moreover, such collaborations can encourage innovation, attract investment, and create employment opportunities, especially in underserved regions. Therefore, the integration of these models should be a central part of any strategy aimed at promoting inclusive growth.

Looking ahead, the future of SME financing is poised to be shaped by advancements in technology, regulatory reforms, and evolving market conditions. As digital platforms, fintech solutions, and blockchain technologies continue to evolve, they will likely improve access to finance for SMEs, making it easier for businesses to apply for loans, monitor their financial health, and connect with investors globally. Moreover, governments and international organizations will play a crucial role in shaping the regulatory and institutional frameworks that support SME financing. Policies that encourage innovation in financing models, enhance financial literacy, and ensure the long-term sustainability of lending programs will be pivotal in creating a more inclusive global economy. The continued development and refinement of PPPs and low-cost lending mechanisms will be key drivers of SME growth and, by extension, inclusive economic development in the future. Through strategic policy-making, collaboration, and innovation, the global community can create an environment where SMEs thrive, contributing to shared prosperity and sustainable economic growth.

REFERENCES

[1] Abbott, K.W. and Snidal, D., 2021. The governance triangle: Regulatory standards institutions and the shadow of the state. In *The spectrum of international institutions* (pp. 52-91). Routledge.

[2] Ajide, F.M., 2020. Financial inclusion in Africa: does it promote entrepreneurship?. *Journal of Financial Economic Policy*, *12*(4), pp.687-706.

[3] Albaz, A., Dondi, M., Rida, T. and Schubert, J., 2020. Unlocking growth in small and medium-size enterprises. *McKinsey & Company*.

[4] Alumasa, S. and Muathe, S., 2021. Mobile Credit and Performance: Experience and Lessons from Micro and Small Enterprises in Kenya. *Journal of Applied Finance & Banking*, *11*(4), pp.135-161.

[5] Arner, D.W., Buckley, R.P., Zetzsche, D.A., Selga, E., Mohammad, G., Parvez, J. and Consiglio, R., 2021. AFI Innovative Regulatory Approaches Toolkit. *Available at SSRN 3827568*.

[6] Asah, F.T., Louw, L. and Williams, J., 2020. The availability of credit from the formal financial sector to small and medium enterprises in South Africa. *Journal of Economic and Financial Sciences*, *13*(1), pp.1-13.

[7] Baker, C. and Baker, C., 2021. Unpicking the Stitches: Dynamics of Change. *A Sociology of Place in Australia: Farming, Change and Lived Experience*, pp.173-205.

[8] Bakhtiari, S., Breunig, R., Magnani, L. and Zhang, J., 2020. Financial constraints and small and medium enterprises: A review. *Economic Record*, *96*(315), pp.506-523.

[9] Bello, O.A., Folorunso, A., Ogundipe, A., Kazeem, O., Budale, A., Zainab, F. and Ejiofor, O.E., 2022. Enhancing Cyber Financial Fraud Detection Using Deep Learning Techniques: A Study on Neural Networks and Anomaly Detection. *International Journal of Network and Communication Research*, *7*(1), pp.90-113.

[10] Bradley, S.L., 2021. Financial literacy education: An opportunity for colleges and sociology. *Sociology Compass*, *15*(10), p.e12922.

[11] Brown, G., Harris, R. and Munday, S., 2021. Capital structure and leverage in private equity buyouts. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*, *33*(3), pp.42-58.

[12] Chen, J., Chen, S., Liu, Q. and Shen, M.I., 2021. Applying blockchain technology to reshape the service models of supply chain finance for SMEs in China. *The Singapore Economic Review*, pp.1-18.

[13] Cicchiello, A.F. and Leone, D., 2020. Encouraging investment in SMEs through equity-based crowdfunding. *International Journal of Globalisation and Small Business*, *11*(3), pp.258-278.

[14] Cowling, M., Liu, W. and Calabrese, R., 2021. Has previous loan rejection scarred firms from applying for loans during Covid-19?. *Small Business Economics*, pp.1-24.

[15] Das, M. and Rangarajan, K., 2020. Impact of policy initiatives and collaborative synergy on sustainability and business growth of Indian SMEs. *Indian Growth and Development Review*, *13*(3), pp.607-627.

[16] Djeundje, V.B., Crook, J., Calabrese, R. and Hamid, M., 2021. Enhancing credit scoring with alternative data. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *163*, p.113766.

[17] Durst, S. and Gerstlberger, W., 2020. Financing responsible small-and medium-sized enterprises: An international overview of policies and support programmes. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, *14*(1), p.10.

[18] Elahi, A.R., Ahmed, A., Majid, S. and Asif, M.F., 2021. Critical factors associated with the access to bank credit: An exploratory study. *Humanities and Social Sciences Reviews*, *9*(3), pp.135-144.

[19] Ferraris, A., Santoro, G. and Pellicelli, A.C., 2020. “Openness” of public governments in smart cities: removing the barriers for innovation and entrepreneurship. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, *16*(4), pp.1259-1280.

[20] Gasparin, M., Green, W., Lilley, S., Quinn, M., Saren, M. and Schinckus, C., 2021. Business as unusual: A business model for social innovation. *Journal of Business Research*, *125*, pp.698-709.

[21] Giti, D.M., K'Akumu, O.A. and Ondieki, E.O., 2020. Enhanced role of private sector through public private partnerships in low income urban housing in Kenya. *Journal of Financial Management of Property and Construction*, *25*(2), pp.293-312.

[22] Gordana, D.P. and Biljana, I.S., 2021. Sustaining SME management and innovations in the post-COVID era. In *Handbook of Research on Strategies and Interventions to Mitigate COVID-19 Impact on SMEs* (pp. 588-608). IGI Global.

[23] Gouveia, F.D. and São Mamede, H., 2022. Digital transformation for SMES in the retail industry. *Procedia Computer Science*, *204*, pp.671-681.

[24] Hervas-Oliver, J.L., Gonzalez-Alcaide, G., Rojas-Alvarado, R. and Monto-Mompo, S., 2021. Emerging regional innovation policies for industry 4.0: analyzing the digital innovation hub program in European regions. *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal*, *31*(1), pp.106-129.

[25] Hilkenmeier, F., Fechtelpeter, C. and Decius, J., 2021. How to foster innovation in SMEs: Evidence of the effectiveness of a project-based technology transfer approach. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, pp.1-29.

[26] Hynes, W., Trump, B., Love, P. and Linkov, I., 2020. Bouncing forward: a resilience approach to dealing with COVID-19 and future systemic shocks. *Environment Systems and Decisions*, *40*, pp.174-184.

[27] Jameaba, M.S., 2020. Digitization revolution, FinTech disruption, and financial stability: Using the case of Indonesian banking ecosystem to highlight wide-ranging digitization opportunities and major challenges. *FinTech Disruption, and Financial stability: Using the Case of Indonesian Banking Ecosystem to highlight wide-ranging digitization opportunities and major challenges (July 16 2, 2020)*.

[28] Joudyian, N., Doshmangir, L., Mahdavi, M., Tabrizi, J.S. and Gordeev, V.S., 2021. Public-private partnerships in primary health care: a scoping review. *BMC health services research*, *21*, pp.1-18.

[29] Kamal, R. and Ray, R., 2020. A connected and sustainable future–comparing lessons from Southern-led regional banks and networks, CAF and the Islamic Development Bank compared. In *Southern-Led Development Finance* (pp. 181-204). Routledge.

[30] Kim, J., Shah, P., Gaskell, J.C. and Prasann, A., 2020. *Scaling up disruptive agricultural technologies in Africa*. World Bank Publications.

[31] Leckel, A., Veilleux, S. and Dana, L.P., 2020. Local Open Innovation: A means for public policy to increase collaboration for innovation in SMEs. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *153*, p.119891.

[32] Lee, W.J., Juskenaite, I. and Mwebaza, R., 2021. Public–private partnerships for climate technology transfer and innovation: lessons from the climate technology centre and network. *Sustainability*, *13*(6), p.3185.

[33] Manzoor, F., Wei, L. and Sahito, N., 2021. The role of SMEs in rural development: Access of SMEs to finance as a mediator. *Plos one*, *16*(3), p.e0247598.

[34] Megersa, K., 2020. Improving SMEs' access to finance through capital markets and innovative financing instruments: some evidence from developing countries. *Nairobi Securities Exchange website: https://www. nse. co. ke*.

[35] Nure, G., Bazini, E. and Madani, F., 2020. SME: Apparently Small But of Great Derivative Value! Literature Review of Tourism SMEs to Create Employment and Access to Finance. *Business and Economic Research*.

[36] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). Developing a regulatory model for product quality assurance in Nigeria's local industries. International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, 1(02), 54–69.

[37] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A service standardization model for Nigeria's healthcare system: Toward improved patient care. International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, 1(2), 40–53.

[38] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A model for wealth management through standardized financial advisory practices in Nigeria.

International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, 1(2), 27–39.

[39] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A conceptual model for standardizing tax procedures in Nigeria's public and private sectors. International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, 1(2), 14–26

[40] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A conceptual framework for enhancing product standardization in Nigeria's manufacturing sector. International Journal of Frontline Research in Multidisciplinary Studies, 1(2), 1–13.

[41] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). Modeling a national standardization policy for made-in-Nigeria products: Bridging the global competitiveness gap. International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology, 1(2), 98–109.

[42] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A theoretical model for standardized taxation of Nigeria's informal sector: A pathway to compliance. International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology, 1(2), 83–97.

[43] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A model for foreign direct investment (FDI) promotion through standardized tax policies in Nigeria. International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology, 1(2), 53–66.

[44] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A regulatory model for standardizing financial advisory services in Nigeria. International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology, 1(2), 67–82.

[45] Okeke, I. C., Agu, E. E., Ejike, O. G., Ewim, C. P., & Komolafe, M. O. (2022). A model for foreign direct investment (FDI) promotion through standardized tax policies in Nigeria. International Journal of Frontline Research in Science and Technology, 1(2), 53–66.

[46] Owen, R., Lehner, O., Lyon, F. and Brennan, G., 2020. Early stage investing in green SMEs. *ACRN Journal of Finance and Risk Perspectives*.

[47] Park, S., Lee, I.H. and Kim, J.E., 2020. Government support and small-and medium-sized enterprise (SME) performance: the moderating effects of diagnostic and support services. *Asian Business & Management*, *19*, pp.213-238.

[48] Parvin, S.S., Hossain, B., Mohiuddin, M. and Cao, Q., 2020. Capital structure, financial performance, and sustainability of micro-finance institutions (MFIs) in Bangladesh. *Sustainability*, *12*(15), p.6222.

[49] Patnaik, J. and Bhowmick, B., 2020. Promise of inclusive innovation: A Re-look into the opportunities at the grassroots. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *259*, p.121124.

[50] Paul, B.V., Dutta, P.V. and Chaudhary, S., 2021. Assessing the Impact and Cost of Economic Inclusion Programs. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, *9536*.

[51] Petrunenko, Y.V., Petrunenko, I.V., Khmarska, I.A., Tkachenko, T.V., Koptieva, H.M. and Komandrovska, V.Y., 2021. The importance of small and medium enterprises in the economic development of eastern europe.

[52] Rajamani, K., Jan, N.A., Subramani, A.K. and Raj, A.N., 2022. Access to finance: challenges faced by micro, small, and medium enterprises in India. *Engineering Economics*, *33*(1), pp.73-85.

[53] Randa, I.O., 2020. Inclusive markets and enterprise growth through public-private partnerships for local economic development. In *Handbook of research on entrepreneurship development and opportunities in circular economy* (pp. 453-479). IGI Global.

[54] Sharma, H.B., Vanapalli, K.R., Samal, B., Cheela, V.S., Dubey, B.K. and Bhattacharya, J., 2021. Circular economy approach in solid waste management system to achieve UN-SDGs: Solutions for post-COVID recovery. *Science of the Total Environment*, *800*, p.149605.

[55] Sjödin, D., Parida, V., Palmié, M. and Wincent, J., 2021. How AI capabilities enable business

model innovation: Scaling AI through co-evolutionary processes and feedback loops. *Journal of Business Research*, *134*, pp.574-587.

[56] Smith, H., Discetti, R., Bellucci, M. and Acuti, D., 2022. SMEs engagement with the Sustainable Development Goals: A power perspective. *Journal of Business Research*, *149*, pp.112-122.

[57] Surya, B., Menne, F., Sabhan, H., Suriani, S., Abubakar, H. and Idris, M., 2021. Economic growth, increasing productivity of SMEs, and open innovation. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *7*(1), p.20.

[58] Tian, Y., Adriaens, P., Minchin, R.E., Chang, C., Lu, Z. and Qi, C., 2020. Asset tokenization: A blockchain solution to financing infrastructure in emerging markets and developing economies. *ADB-IGF Special Working Paper Series "fintech to Enable Development, Investment, Financial Inclusion, and Sustainability*.

[59] Tunio, M.N., Jariko, M.A., Børsen, T., Shaikh, S., Mushtaque, T. and Brahmi, M., 2021. How entrepreneurship sustains barriers in the entrepreneurial process—A lesson from a developing nation. *Sustainability*, *13*(20), p.11419.

[60] Yuan, Y., Azam, S.F. and Tham, J., 2020. Conceptualising the financial accessibility of small and medium enterprises (SMES) in Malaysia. *European Journal of Economic and Financial Research*.